

INFORMATION TO OFFERORS OR QUOTERS SECTION A - COVER SHEET		Form Approved OMB No. 9000-0002 Expires Oct 31, 2004								
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 35 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (9000-0002), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person will be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.										
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS. RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO THE ADDRESS IN BLOCK 4 BELOW.										
1. SOLICITATION NUMBER F19628-03-R-0064	2. (X one) <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30px; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>a. INVITATION FOR BID (IFB)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>b. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>c. REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ)</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	a. INVITATION FOR BID (IFB)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	b. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	c. REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ)	3. DATE/TIME RESPONSE DUE 27 MAY 2003 4:00 PM		
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. INVITATION FOR BID (IFB)									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	b. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)									
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. REQUEST FOR QUOTATION (RFQ)									
INSTRUCTIONS NOTE: The provision entitled "Required Central Contractor Registration" applies to most solicitations 1. If you are not submitting a response, complete the information in Blocks 9 through 11 and return to the issuing office in Block 4 unless a different return address is indicated in Block 7. 2. Offerors or quoters must include full, accurate, and complete information in their responses as required by this solicitation (including attachments). "Fill-ins" are provided on Standard Form 18, Standard Form 33, and other solicitation documents. Examine the entire solicitation carefully. The penalty for making false statements is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001. 3. Offerors or quoters must plainly mark their responses with the Solicitation Number and the date and local time for bid opening or receipt of proposals that is in the solicitation document. 4. Information regarding the timeliness of response is addressed in the provision of this solicitation entitled either "Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawal of Bids" or "Instructions to Offerors - Competitive Acquisition".										
4. ISSUING OFFICE (Complete mailing address, including Zip Code) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CENTER AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND, USAF 15 EGLIN STREET, BLDG 1607, RM 137 HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731	5. ITEMS TO BE PURCHASED (Brief description) Integrated Base Defense Security System. Supply, design, installation, maintenance of integrated security systems for fixed and tactical installations.									
6. PROCUREMENT INFORMATION (X and complete as applicable)										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30px; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>a. THIS PROCUREMENT IS UNRESTRICTED</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>b. THIS PROCUREMENT IS <u>50</u> % SET-ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: <u>578731</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>c. THIS PROCUREMENT IS _____ % SET-ASIDE FOR HUB ZONE CONCERNS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>d. THIS PROCUREMENT IS RESTRICTED TO FIRMS ELIGIBLE UNDER SECTION 8(a) OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ACT.</td> </tr> </table>			<input type="checkbox"/>	a. THIS PROCUREMENT IS UNRESTRICTED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	b. THIS PROCUREMENT IS <u>50</u> % SET-ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: <u>578731</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	c. THIS PROCUREMENT IS _____ % SET-ASIDE FOR HUB ZONE CONCERNS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	d. THIS PROCUREMENT IS RESTRICTED TO FIRMS ELIGIBLE UNDER SECTION 8(a) OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ACT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. THIS PROCUREMENT IS UNRESTRICTED									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	b. THIS PROCUREMENT IS <u>50</u> % SET-ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: <u>578731</u>									
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. THIS PROCUREMENT IS _____ % SET-ASIDE FOR HUB ZONE CONCERNS. THE APPLICABLE NAICS CODE IS: _____									
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. THIS PROCUREMENT IS RESTRICTED TO FIRMS ELIGIBLE UNDER SECTION 8(a) OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ACT.									
7. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION										
8. POINT OF CONTACT FOR INFORMATION										
a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial) WALTER L. RONTEN		b. ADDRESS (Include Zip Code) See Block 4								
c. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code and Extension) (781) 377-8235 X	d. E-MAIL ADDRESS walter.ronten@hanscom.af.mil									
9. REASONS FOR NO RESPONSE (X all that apply)										
<input type="checkbox"/> a. CANNOT COMPLY WITH SPECIFICATIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> d. DO NOT REGULARLY MANUFACTURE OR SELL THE TYPE OF ITEMS INVOLVED									
<input type="checkbox"/> b. UNABLE TO IDENTIFY THE ITEM(S)	<input type="checkbox"/> e. OTHER (Specify)									
<input type="checkbox"/> c. CANNOT MEET DELIVERY REQUIREMENT										
10. MAILING LIST INFORMATION (X one)										
WE <input type="checkbox"/> DO <input type="checkbox"/> DO NOT DESIRE TO BE RETAINED ON THE MAILING LIST FOR FUTURE PROCUREMENT OF THE TYPE INVOLVED.										
11a. COMPANY NAME	b. ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)									
c. ACTION OFFICER										
(1) TYPED OR PRINTED NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	(2) TITLE									
(3) SIGNATURE		(4) DATE SIGNED (YYYYMMDD)								

FOLD

FOLD

FROM

AFFIX
STAMP
HERE

SOLICITATION NUMBER F19628-03-R-0064	
DATE (YYYYMMDD) 27 MAY 2003	LOCAL TIME 4:00 PM

SOLICITATION, OFFER AND AWARD				1. THIS CONTRACT IS A RATED ORDER UNDER DPAS (15 CFR 350) ➡		RATING DO-A7		PAGE 1 OF 25 PAGES	
2. CONTRACT NO.		3. SOLICITATION NO. F19628-03-R-0064		4. TYPE OF SOLICITATION <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID (IFB) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED (RFP)		5. DATE ISSUED		6. REQUISITION/PURCHASE NO.	
7. ISSUED BY ESC/FDK ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CENTER AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND, USAF 15 EGLIN STREET, BLDG 1607, RM 137 HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 WALTER L. RONTEN (781) 377-8235 WALTER.RONTEN@HANSCOM.AF.MIL				CODE FA8731		8. ADDRESS OFFER TO (If other than Item 7)			
NOTE: In sealed bid solicitations "offer" and "offeror" mean "bid" and "bidder".									
SOLICITATION									
9.									
10. FOR INFORMATION CALL: ➡		A. NAME ALICIA J. GETTMAN		B. TELEPHONE (Include area code) (NO COLLECT CALLS) 781-377-8673		C. E-MAIL ADDRESS gettmana@hanscom.af.mil			
11. TABLE OF CONTENTS									
(√)	SEC.	DESCRIPTION		PAGE(S)	(√)	SEC.	DESCRIPTION		PAGE(S)
<i>PART I - THE SCHEDULE</i>					<i>PART II - CONTRACT CLAUSES</i>				
√	A	SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM		1	√	I	CONTRACT CLAUSES		13
√	B	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS		3	<i>PART III - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER ATTACH.</i>				
√	C	DESCRIPTION/SPECS./WORK STATEMENT		5	√	J	LIST OF ATTACHMENTS		25
√	D	PACKAGING AND MARKING		6	<i>PART IV - REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS</i>				
√	E	INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE		7	√	K	REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS, AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS		K - 1
√	F	DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE		8	√	L	INSTRS, CONDS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS		L - 1
√	G	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA		9	√	M	EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD		M - 1
√	H	SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS		10					
OFFER (Must be fully completed by offeror)									
NOTE: Item 12 does not apply if the solicitation includes the provisions at 52.214-16, Minimum Bid Acceptance Period.									
12. In compliance with the above, the undersigned agrees, if this offer is accepted within <u>120</u> calendar days (60 calendar days unless a different period is inserted by the offeror) from the date of receipt of offers specified above, to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item, delivered at the designated point(s), within the time specified in the schedule.									
13. DISCOUNT FOR PROMPT PAYMENT (See Section I, Clause No. 52.232-8) ➡		10 CALENDAR DAYS %		20 CALENDAR DAYS %		30 CALENDAR DAYS %		CALENDAR DAYS %	
14. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS OF AMENDMENTS (The offeror acknowledges receipt of amendments to the SOLICITATION for offerors and related documents numbered and dated:		AMENDMENT NO.		DATE		AMENDMENT NO.		DATE	
15A. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR		CODE		FACILITY		16. NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN OFFER (Type or print)			
15B. TELEPHONE NO. (Include area code)		15C. CHECK IF REMITTANCE ADDRESS IS DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE - ENTER SUCH ADDRESS IN SCHEDULE. <input type="checkbox"/>		17. SIGNATURE		18. OFFER DATE			
AWARD (To be completed by Government)									
19. ACCEPTED AS TO ITEMS NUMBERED		20. AMOUNT		21. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION					
22. AUTHORITY FOR USING OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION: <input type="checkbox"/> 10 U.S.C. 2304(c) () <input type="checkbox"/> 41 U.S.C. 253(c) ()				23. SUBMIT INVOICES TO ADDRESS SHOWN IN (4 copies unless otherwise specified) ➡				ITEM	
24. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 7) CODE				25. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY CODE					
26. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)				27. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)				28. AWARD DATE	

IMPORTANT - Award will be made on this Form, or on Standard Form 26, or by other authorized official written notice.

AUTHORIZED FOR LOCAL REPRODUCTION
PREVIOUS EDITION IS UNUSABLE

ConWrite Version 5.2.10
Created 21 Apr 2003 11:16 AM

STANDARD FORM 33 (REV. 9-97)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.21(c)

NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

B001 ESTIMATED COST (FULLY FUNDED) (MAY 1997)

Pursuant to FAR 52.232-20, "Limitation of Cost," SECTION I hereof, the estimated cost is as specified in task orders containing CLINs 0003, 0004, 0008, 0009, 0010, 0012 where these CLINs are fully funded..

B028 CONTRACT TYPE: FIRM FIXED PRICE (FEB 1997) (TAILORED)

Total Price as stated in each applicable task order.

Applicable to following Line Items: 0001, 0007

Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.

B035 CONTRACT TYPE: LABOR- HOUR (FEB 1997) (TAILORED)

(a) The Contractor shall furnish at the hourly rates stated below, all necessary and qualified personnel, managing and directing the same to complete CLIN(s) 0011 within the performance period specified in Section F. In performance of these CLIN(s), Contractor shall be reimbursed for direct labor (exclusive of any work performed in an unpaid overtime status) at the hourly rates listed below for the identified labor categories.

CATEGORIES	HOURLY RATE
------------	-------------

Categories are described in Attachment 2, Labor Categories. Rates are listed in Exhibit B, Equipment and Labor Tables. Categories, rates, and hours for each applicable task order shall be specified in Exhibit B of the applicable Task Order.

(b) For the purposes of the clause of this contract entitled "Payments Under Time-and-Material and Labor-Hour Contracts", the total ceiling price of the CLIN(s) specified in paragraph (a) above is as specified in each applicable task order.

Applies to Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.

B036 CONTRACT TYPE: TIME-AND-MATERIALS (FEB 1997) (TAILORED)

(a) The Contractor shall furnish at the hourly rates stated below, all necessary and qualified personnel, managing and directing the same to complete CLIN(s) 0002, 0005 within the performance period specified in Section F. In performance of these CLIN(s), Contractor shall be reimbursed for direct labor (exclusive of any work performed in an unpaid overtime status) at the hourly rates listed below for the identified labor categories.

CATEGORIES	HOURLY RATE
------------	-------------

Categories are described in Attachment 2, Labor Categories. Rates are listed in Exhibit B, Equipment and Labor Tables. Categories, rates, and hours for each applicable task order shall be specified in Exhibit B of the applicable Task Order.

(b) For the purposes of the clause of this contract entitled "Payments Under Time-and-Material and Labor-Hour Contracts", the total ceiling price of the CLIN(s) specified in paragraph (a) above is as specified in Exhibit B of each applicable task order.

Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s) only.

B038 CONTRACT TYPE: COST-PLUS-AWARD-FEE (FEB 1997)

Contractor shall be reimbursed for performance of this contract in accordance with the contract clauses and the following additional terms:

- (a) The total estimated cost of performance is as specified in each applicable Task Order
- (b) The base fee is \$0.00
- (c) The maximum award fee is as specified in each applicable Task Order but in no case exceeding 15 % of total estimated costs, excluding travel.
- (d) The award fee earned for performance from inception of contract through the evaluation period ending as specified in each applicable Task Order has been determined to be as specified in each applicable Task Order.
Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s) only.

B050 ALLOWABLE COST AND PAYMENT (COST CONTRACTS (NO FEE)) (SEP 1997) (TAILORED)

Contractor shall be reimbursed for performance of this contract in accordance with the contract clauses and the following additional terms:

The total estimated cost of performance is as specified in each applicable task order.

Applicable to following Line Items: 0006, 0009, 0010
Applies to Cost CLIN(s) only.

NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

C002 REFERENCE TO SPECIFIC PARAGRAPHS OF THE STATEMENT OF WORK (MAY 1997)

Reference to specific paragraphs of the Statement of Work (SOW) indicates only where the CLIN/SubCLIN requirement is principally described and does not absolve the Contractor from the requirement to comply with the contractual provisions applicable to those CLINs/SubCLINs.

C003 INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS/REQUIREMENTS (APR 1998)

Technical Data Package (TDP), Government will provide a Technical Data Package consisting of the following documents. These specifications and requirements are incorporated in the Contract by reference:

1. Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Smart Gate System , 3 Jan 2003, 15 pages
2. Draft Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Flightline Security Enhancement Program (FSEP), 7 April 2003, 24 pages.
3. Draft Performance Specification for the Command and Control Display Equipment (CCDE), Communications, and Power of the Integrated Base Defense Security System (IBDSS), (CCDE for IBDSS-001), dated 12 Feb 03, 19 pages.
4. Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Remotely Operated Weapon System (ROWS), (PRF ROWS-001), dated 30 Dec 02, 11 pages.
5. Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Force Protection Airborne Surveillance System II (FPASS II), (PRF FPASS-002), dated 10 Oct 02, 13 pages.
6. Draft Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Active Denial System (ADS), (TBD), dated 29 July 02, 11 pages.
7. Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Massive Modular Blocks (MMB), (TBD), dated TBD, 1 pages.
8. Standardized Electronic Security Equipment Siting and Design Guidance for Permanent Installations, (ESE-SIT-0001), dated 1 Mar 03, 372 pages.
9. Performance Specification, Segment Specification for the Alarm Monitor Set, AN/USQ-139, Tactical Automated Security System, (BISS-SEG-27000B), dated 21 Oct 02, 120 pages.
10. Performance Specification, Item Specification for the Wide Area Surveillance Thermal Imager (WSTI) - Uncooled With Integrated Bore-sighted CCTV (Un-Bundled System), (PRF BISS-IMG-27654), dated 8 Oct 02, 28 pages.
11. Performance Specification, Item Specification for the Hand Held Thermal Imager (HHTI), (BISS-IMG-27351), dated 1 May 2000, 19 pages.
12. Performance Specification, Item Specification for the Tactical Automated Security System Mobile Thermal Imager (TMTI), (PRF BISS-IMG-27551A), dated 15 Sep 02, 23 Pages.
13. Performance Specification, Item Specification for the Medium Range Thermal Imager (MRTI), (PRF BISS-IMG-27553), dated 3 June 2002, 18 Pages.
14. IBDSS Architecture Dictionary, dated 21 Mar 03, 8 Pages
15. USAF Security Forces Integrated Base Defense (IBD) 2020 Operational Architecture, dated 21 Mar 03, 40 Pages
16. IBDSS Architecture v.1.0, as of 21 Mar 03, 25 Pages
17. DoD 5020.22M, Notional Security Program Operating Manual, dated Feb 95, 59 pages
18. Air Force Instruction 31-101, AF Installation Security Program, dated 1 June 2002, 221 pages
19. Priority Resource Security Systems ORD, 10 Aug 98, 70 pages
20. DoD Manual 5210.4M, Nuclear Weapons Security Manual, dated 1 Sept 99, 250 pages
21. Draft IBDSS ORD, as of 7 May 2002, 74 pages

I. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

**AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT
CONTRACT CLAUSES**

5352.247-9008 CONTRACTOR COMMERCIAL PACKAGING (AFMC) (SEP 1998)

II. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

D001 PRESERVATION, PACKAGING, PACKING AND MARKING REQUIREMENTS (FEB 1997)

Preservation, packaging, packing and marking shall be set forth in the individual order.

I. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION CONTRACT CLAUSES

52.246-02 INSPECTION OF SUPPLIES -- FIXED-PRICE (AUG 1996)
52.246-03 INSPECTION OF SUPPLIES -- COST-REIMBURSEMENT (MAY 2001)
52.246-04 INSPECTION OF SERVICES -- FIXED-PRICE (AUG 1996)
52.246-05 INSPECTION OF SERVICES -- COST-REIMBURSEMENT (APR 1984)
52.246-06 INSPECTION -- TIME-AND-MATERIAL AND LABOR-HOUR (MAY 2001)
52.246-08 INSPECTION OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT -- COST-REIMBURSEMENT (MAY 2001)
52.246-16 RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUPPLIES (APR 1984)
Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.

B. DEFENSE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.246-7000 MATERIAL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (MAR 2003)

II. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

**E002 REQUIREMENTS FOR DATA ACCEPTANCE (PERIODIC DD FORM 250) (MAY 1997)
(TAILORED)**

The Contractor shall prepare and submit a periodic DD Form 250 on a Quarterly basis collectively accounting for all completed Exhibit Line/Subline Items which called for submission of the data by letter of transmittal. Each periodic DD Form 250 shall include a list and an account of all data submitted by letter of transmittal and approved by the Government during the reporting period.

E006 RECEIVING REPORT (DD FORM 250) MAILING ADDRESS (APR 1998)

(a) Submit original DD Form(s) 250 for all items deliverable under this contract (e.g. hardware, software, exhibit line items, status reports, services, etc.) to the following address:

As specified in each Task/Delivery Order

(b) In addition, a copy of the DD Form 250 shall accompany each shipment for all deliverable items. Shipment addresses are specified in Section F of the schedule and/or on the Contract Data Requirements List.

(c) PROCESSING STATUS. Any inquiry as to the processing status of a DD Form 250 should be made to the following office:

ESC/FDK

I. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION CONTRACT CLAUSES

52.242-15	STOP-WORK ORDER (AUG 1989) - ALTERNATE I (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.242-17	GOVERNMENT DELAY OF WORK (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.247-29	F.O.B. ORIGIN (JUN 1988)
52.247-32	F.O.B. ORIGIN, FREIGHT PREPAID (JUN 1988)
52.247-52	CLEARANCE AND DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS -- SHIPMENTS TO DOD AIR OR WATER TERMINAL TRANSSHIPMENT POINTS (APR 1984)

II. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

F002 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE (FEB 1997)

Period of performance under this contract shall be 60 months after contract award..

F003 CONTRACT DELIVERIES (FEB 1997)

The following terms, if used within this contract in conjunction with contract delivery requirements (including data deliveries), are hereby defined as follows:

- (a) "MAC" and "MARO" mean "months after the effective date for award of the contractual action (as shown in block 3, Section A, SF 26)".
- (b) "WARO" means "weeks after the effective date for award of the contractual action".
- (c) "DARO" means "days after the effective date for award of the contractual action".
- (d) "ASREQ" means "as required". Detailed delivery requirements are then specified elsewhere in Section F.

NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

G001 ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (FEB 1997)

Accounting and appropriation data will be set forth on individual orders issued hereunder.

G004 MASSACHUSETTS SALES TAX (MAY 1997)

Massachusetts Sales Tax should NOT be included in prices submitted as sales to the United States Government are exempt from this tax. ESC Massachusetts Exemption No. is E-042-128-085.

G005 PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS FOR MULTIPLE ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CITATIONS (MAR 2001)

Payment for all effort under this contract should be made in the order and amounts shown in the informational subline item(s) in Section B, CLIN 0001 of the contract and recapped below. Exhaust the funds in each ACRN before using funds from the next listed ACRN.

ACRN	SUBCLIN NO.	TOTAL OBLIGATED
As specified in each Task/Delivery Order.		

a. This contract will be funded by multiple accounting classification citations. Payment shall be made from ACRNs in alphabetical order (AA,AB,etc). DO NOT USE A PRORATED METHOD to pay, disburse and liquidate funds. Do not liquidate any funds from an ACRN unless the preceding ACRNs have been fully liquidated, or if revised payment instructions are provided per paragraph b. below.

b. Additional ACRNs will be assigned when new accounting classifications are available. When adding new ACRNs or changing existing ACRNs, the above payment instructions shall apply, unless specific revised payments instructions are provided as part of a contract modification.

G006 INVOICE AND PAYMENT - COST REIMBURSEMENT (FEB 1997)

Invoices (or public vouchers), supported by a statement of cost for performance under this contract, shall be submitted to the cognizant Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) office. Under the provisions of DFARS 242.803(b), the DCAA auditor, is designated as the authorized representative of the contracting officer (CO) for examining vouchers received directly from the contractor.

G017 IMPLEMENTATION OF PATENT RIGHTS CLAUSE (IDIQ) (SEP 1999)

All documents and information required by the patent rights and/or patent reporting clauses set forth in Section I of this contract shall be submitted FOR EACH ORDER to the Administrative Contracting Officer and to ESC/JAZ, 40 Wright Street, Building 1120, Hanscom AFB, MA, 01731-2903. The ESC/JAZ patent administrator can be reached at (781) 377-4072. This notice also constitutes a request (see FAR 52.227-12(f)(10) or DFARS 252.227-7039(c), as applicable) for submission of a copy of the patent application, when filed, along with the patent application serial number, filing date, subsequent U.S. patent number and issue date, as received.

NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

H004 TECHNICAL REVIEW (MITRE) (MAY 1997)

(a) The Government has contracted with The MITRE Corporation for the services of a technical group which, under the program management of the Electronic Systems Center, is responsible to the Government for overall technical review of certain Government programs, including the efforts under this contract.

(b) Explanation of MITRE Role

(1) Technical Review is defined as the process of continually reviewing the technical efforts of Contractors. It does not include any modification, realignment, or redirection of Contractor efforts under this contract; such action may be effected only by the prior written direction of the Procuring Contracting Officer.

(2) The purpose of the review is to:

(i) Evaluate from a technical standpoint whether system concept and performance can be expected to be achieved on schedule and within cost.

(ii) Assure that the impact of new data, new developments and modified requirements is properly assessed and exploited.

(iii) Assure that The MITRE Corporation has available data on the status and technology of Government programs and projects to enable it to carry out its inter-system integration responsibilities to the Government.

(3) The MITRE Corporation has agreed not to engage in the manufacture or the production of hardware or software, to refrain from disclosing proprietary information to unauthorized personnel, and not to compete with any profit seeking concern.

(c) The Contractor agrees to cooperate with The MITRE Corporation by engaging in technical discussions with MITRE personnel, and permitting MITRE personnel access to information and data relating to technical matters (including cost and schedule) concerning this contract to the same degree such access is accorded Government project personnel.

(d) It is expressly understood that the operation of this clause will not be the basis for an equitable adjustment. Modifications, realignment or redirection of the Contractor's technical efforts and/or contract requirements shall be effected only by the written direction of the Contracting Officer.

H005 GENERAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION (GS&I) (MAY 1997)

(a) The Electronic Systems Center (AFMC) has been assigned the responsibility for providing the necessary management surveillance over this program. The Government has entered into a contract with The MITRE Corporation to provide technical services and guidance to the Government program manager on matters pertaining to general systems engineering and integration.

(b) Explanation of MITRE Role.

(1) General Systems engineering and integration is defined as that portion of systems engineering dealing with the overall integration of a system, design compromises among sub-systems, definition of inter and

intra-systems interfaces, analysis of sub-systems and participation in system testing all to the extent required to assure that system concept and objectives will be met on schedule and within costs.

(2) To support the systems engineering and integration role, MITRE may be required to review the progress of the Contractor's technical efforts and exchange information on the various technical areas involved.

(3) The MITRE Corporation has agreed not to engage in the manufacture or the production of hardware or software, to refrain from disclosing proprietary information to unauthorized personnel, and not to compete with any profit-seeking concern.

(c) The Contractor agrees to cooperate with The MITRE Corporation by engaging in technical discussions with MITRE personnel, and permitting MITRE personnel access to information and data relating to technical matters (including cost and schedule) concerning this contract to the same degree such access is accorded Government project personnel.

(d) The Contractor agrees to cooperate with The MITRE Corporation by permitting MITRE to have access to IR&D reviews conducted for the Government.

(e) It is expressly understood that the operation of this clause will not be the basis for an equitable adjustment. Modifications, realignment, or redirection of the Contractor's technical efforts and/or contract requirements shall be effected only by the written direction of the Contracting Officer.

H014 SUCCESSOR CONTRACTOR (SEP 1997)

(a) This contract represents a continuing need of the Government, and as such, it is anticipated that, upon completion or termination of this contract, another contract for substantially the same effort will be executed. In the event that another Contractor is selected as the "successor" Contractor, it is mutually agreed and understood that the Government interests in such a case may be best served through employment by the successor Contractor of Contractor employees who may be acceptable to the successor Contractor and who otherwise elect to accept employment with the successor Contractor.

(b) The Contractor agrees to preserve and make available to the Contracting Officer, if requested, copies of all records and other documentation, developed or acquired under this contract or preceding contracts for this effort, regarding performance of the work required by this contract.

(c) The Contractor agrees to cooperate fully with the Government and any successor Contractor to ensure an orderly transition at the end of this contract. The Contractor shall:

(1) Make available to the Contracting Officer, upon request, the names, job titles, and duties of all employees who have worked under this contract;

(2) Permit current employees to be interviewed for possible employment by a successor Contractor;

(3) Provide, as requested, an orientation for a maximum of 20 successor Contractor employees during the final sixty (60) days of this contract.

H022 DEFINITION OF UNUSUALLY HAZARDOUS RISK (FEB 1997)

For the purpose of the clauses at DFARS 252.235-7000, "Indemnification under 10 U.S.C. 2354 --Fixed Price" (Dec 1991), and DFARS 252.235-7001, "Indemnification under 10 U.S.C. 2354 -- Cost Reimbursement" (Dec 1991), it is agreed that all risk resulting from or in connection with the following are "unusually hazardous risks" whether or not the contractor's liability arises from the design, fabrication, or furnishing of other products or services under this contract:

(a) the burning, explosion, or detonation of material or casting powder:

(b) the burning or explosion during the preparation, mixing, loading, transporting, casting, curing, or testing of propellant;

(c) the toxic, explosive or other unusually hazardous properties of chemicals or energy sources used for, or in conjunction with, the performance of this contract;

(d) the burning, explosive, or detonation of missiles or components; or

(e) the fabrication, assembly, testing, launching, flight or landfall of missiles, rocket engines, or components or parts.

H023 INDEFINITE QUANTITY (SEP 1997)

This is an Indefinite Quantity contract as contemplated by FAR 16.504. The total scope of the technical tasks for which orders may be issued is set forth in paragraph 2.0 of the attached Statement of Work. The maximum dollar amount the Government may order under this contract is \$498,000,000.00; the minimum amount is \$90,000.00.

H025 INCORPORATION OF SECTION K (OCT 1998)

Section K of the solicitation is hereby incorporated by reference.

H029 IMPLEMENTATION OF DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION (OCT 1997) (TAILORED)

In order to comply with DFARS 252.204-7000, Disclosure of Information, the following copies of the information to be released are required at least 45 days prior to the scheduled release date:

(a) one copy(ies) (insert number of copies) to: Office of Public Affairs, ESC/PAM, 9 Eglin Street, Hanscom AFB, MA, 01731-2118 (address)

H033 SOLICITATION NUMBER (APR 1998)

Solicitation Number: F19628-03-R-0064

Contract Clauses in this section are from the FAR, Defense FAR Sup, Air Force FAR Sup, and the Air Force Materiel Command FAR Sup, and are current through the following updates:

Database_Version: 5.2.x.500; Issued: 3/7/2003; Clauses: ; FAR: FAC 2001-12; DFAR: DCN20030301; DL: DL 98-021; Class Deviations: CD 2002o0003; AFFAR: 2002 Edition; AFMCFAR: 2002 Edition; AFAC: AFAC 20021122; IPN: 98-009

I. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION CONTRACT CLAUSES

52.202-01	DEFINITIONS (DEC 2001)
52.203-03	GRATUITIES (APR 1984)
52.203-05	COVENANT AGAINST CONTINGENT FEES (APR 1984)
52.203-06	RESTRICTIONS ON SUBCONTRACTOR SALES TO THE GOVERNMENT (JUL 1995)
52.203-07	ANTI-KICKBACK PROCEDURES (JUL 1995)
52.203-08	CANCELLATION, RESCISSION, AND RECOVERY OF FUNDS FOR ILLEGAL OR IMPROPER ACTIVITY (JAN 1997)
52.203-10	PRICE OR FEE ADJUSTMENT FOR ILLEGAL OR IMPROPER ACTIVITY (JAN 1997)
52.203-12	LIMITATION ON PAYMENTS TO INFLUENCE CERTAIN FEDERAL TRANSACTIONS (JUN 1997)
52.204-02	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS (AUG 1996)
52.204-04	PRINTED OR COPIED DOUBLE-SIDED ON RECYCLED PAPER (AUG 2000)
52.209-06	PROTECTING THE GOVERNMENT'S INTEREST WHEN SUBCONTRACTING WITH CONTRACTORS DEBARRED, SUSPENDED, OR PROPOSED FOR DEBARMENT (JUL 1995)
52.211-05	MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS (AUG 2000)
52.215-02	AUDIT AND RECORDS -- NEGOTIATION (JUN 1999)
52.215-08	ORDER OF PRECEDENCE--UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT (OCT 1997)
52.215-14	INTEGRITY OF UNIT PRICES (OCT 1997)
52.215-21	REQUIREMENTS FOR COST OR PRICING DATA OR INFORMATION OTHER THAN COST OR PRICING DATA--MODIFICATIONS (OCT 1997) - ALTERNATE III (OCT 1997) Alt III, Para (c), Submit the cost portion of the proposal via the following electronic media: 'In accordance with Section L instructions.'
52.216-07	ALLOWABLE COST AND PAYMENT (DEC 2002) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.216-11	COST CONTRACT -- NO FEE (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.216-18	ORDERING (OCT 1995) Para (a), Issued from date is 'date of contract award' Para (a), Issued through date is '60 months after contract award'
52.216-19	ORDER LIMITATIONS (OCT 1995) Para (a). Insert Dollar amount or quantity. '\$50,000.00' Para (b)(1). Insert dollar amount or quantity '\$100,000.00' Para (b)(2). Insert dollar amount or quantity. '\$20,000,000.00' Para (b)(3). Insert number of days. '30' Para (d). Insert number of days. '15'
52.216-22	INDEFINITE QUANTITY (OCT 1995) Para (d), Date is '96 months after contract award'
52.219-07	NOTICE OF PARTIAL SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDE (JUL 1996) - ALTERNATE I (OCT 1995)
52.219-08	UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS (OCT 2000)
52.219-09	SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (JAN 2002)

52.219-14	LIMITATIONS ON SUBCONTRACTING (DEC 1996)
52.222-01	NOTICE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF LABOR DISPUTES (FEB 1997)
52.222-02	PAYMENT FOR OVERTIME PREMIUMS (JUL 1990) Para (a), Dollar amount is 'To be specified in each Task Order Award with Cost type Contract Line Items.' <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.222-19	CHILD LABOR--COOPERATION WITH AUTHORITIES AND REMEDIES (SEP 2002)
52.222-20	WALSH-HEALEY PUBLIC CONTRACTS ACT (DEC 1996)
52.222-21	PROHIBITION OF SEGREGATED FACILITIES (FEB 1999)
52.222-26	EQUAL OPPORTUNITY (APR 2002)
52.222-29	NOTIFICATION OF VISA DENIAL (FEB 1999)
52.222-35	EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR SPECIAL DISABLED VETERANS, VETERANS OF THE VIETNAM ERA, AND OTHER ELIGIBLE VETERANS (DEC 2001)
52.222-36	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FOR WORKERS WITH DISABILITIES (JUN 1998)
52.222-37	EMPLOYMENT REPORTS ON SPECIAL DISABLED VETERANS, VETERANS OF THE VIETNAM ERA, AND OTHER ELIGIBLE VETERANS (DEC 2001)
52.222-41	SERVICE CONTRACT ACT OF 1965, AS AMENDED (MAY 1989)
52.222-49	SERVICE CONTRACT ACT -- PLACE OF PERFORMANCE UNKNOWN (MAY 1989) Para (a), Places or areas are 'none' Para (a), Time and date are '15 MAY 2003'
52.223-03	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION AND MATERIAL SAFETY DATA (JAN 1997) Para (b), Material Identification No: 'none'
52.223-05	POLLUTION PREVENTION AND RIGHT-TO-KNOW INFORMATION (APR 1998)
52.223-06	DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE (MAY 2001)
52.223-07	NOTICE OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS (JAN 1997) Para (a), Number of days is 'fifteen'
52.223-11	OZONE-DEPLETING SUBSTANCES (MAY 2001)
52.223-12	REFRIGERATION EQUIPMENT AND AIR CONDITIONERS (MAY 1995)
52.223-14	TOXIC CHEMICAL RELEASE REPORTING (OCT 2000)
52.225-13	RESTRICTIONS ON CERTAIN FOREIGN PURCHASES (JUL 2000)
52.227-01	AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT (JUL 1995)
52.227-02	NOTICE AND ASSISTANCE REGARDING PATENT AND COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (AUG 1996)
52.228-04	WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND WAR-HAZARD INSURANCE OVERSEAS (APR 1984)
52.228-05	INSURANCE -- WORK ON A GOVERNMENT INSTALLATION (JAN 1997) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.228-07	INSURANCE -- LIABILITY TO THIRD PERSONS (MAR 1996) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.229-03	FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL TAXES (JAN 1991) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.229-05	TAXES -- CONTRACTS PERFORMED IN U.S. POSSESSIONS OR PUERTO RICO (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.229-10	STATE OF NEW MEXICO GROSS RECEIPTS AND COMPENSATING TAX (OCT 1988) Para (c), Agency name 'United States Department of the Air Force' Para (g), Agency name 'United States Department of the Air Force' Para (g), Agency name 'United States Department of the Air Force' Para (g), Agency name 'United States Department of the Air Force' <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.232-07	PAYMENTS UNDER TIME-AND-MATERIALS AND LABOR-HOUR CONTRACTS (DEC 2002) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.232-07	PAYMENTS UNDER TIME-AND-MATERIALS AND LABOR-HOUR CONTRACTS (DEC 2002) - ALTERNATE II (FEB 2002) <i>Applies to Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.</i>

52.232-08	DISCOUNTS FOR PROMPT PAYMENT (FEB 2002) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.232-11	EXTRAS (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.232-17	INTEREST (JUN 1996)
52.232-18	AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS (APR 1984)
52.232-22	LIMITATION OF FUNDS (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.232-23	ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS (JAN 1986)
52.232-25	PROMPT PAYMENT (FEB 2002)
52.232-33	PAYMENT BY ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER--CENTRAL CONTRACTOR REGISTRATION (MAY 1999)
52.233-01	DISPUTES (JUL 2002) - ALTERNATE I (DEC 1991)
52.233-03	PROTEST AFTER AWARD (AUG 1996) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s), Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.233-03	PROTEST AFTER AWARD (AUG 1996) - ALTERNATE I (JUN 1985) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.234-01	INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES DEVELOPED UNDER DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT TITLE III (DEC 1994)
52.236-01	PERFORMANCE OF WORK BY THE CONTRACTOR (APR 1984) Percent is '50 %'
52.236-02	DIFFERING SITE CONDITIONS (APR 1984)
52.236-03	SITE INVESTIGATION AND CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE WORK (APR 1984)
52.236-04	PHYSICAL DATA (APR 1984) Description of investigational methods used: 'to be specified in each applicable task order' Summary of weather records and warnings 'to be specified in each applicable task order.' Summary of transportation facilities providing access from the site 'to be specified in each applicable task order.' Other pertinent information: 'Other pertinent information to be specified in each applicable task order'
52.236-05	MATERIAL AND WORKMANSHIP (APR 1984)
52.236-06	SUPERINTENDENCE BY THE CONTRACTOR (APR 1984)
52.236-07	PERMITS AND RESPONSIBILITIES (NOV 1991)
52.236-08	OTHER CONTRACTS (APR 1984)
52.236-09	PROTECTION OF EXISTING VEGETATION, STRUCTURES, EQUIPMENT, UTILITIES, AND IMPROVEMENTS (APR 1984)
52.236-10	OPERATIONS AND STORAGE AREAS (APR 1984)
52.236-11	USE AND POSSESSION PRIOR TO COMPLETION (APR 1984)
52.236-12	CLEANING UP (APR 1984)
52.236-13	ACCIDENT PREVENTION (NOV 1991) - ALTERNATE I (NOV 1991)
52.236-14	AVAILABILITY AND USE OF UTILITY SERVICES (APR 1984)
52.236-15	SCHEDULES FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS (APR 1984)
52.236-16	QUANTITY SURVEYS (APR 1984)
52.236-17	LAYOUT OF WORK (APR 1984)
52.236-18	WORK OVERSIGHT IN COST-REIMBURSEMENT CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS (APR 1984)
52.236-19	ORGANIZATION AND DIRECTION OF THE WORK (APR 1984)
52.236-21	SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION (FEB 1997) - ALTERNATE I (APR 1984)
52.236-21	SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION (FEB 1997) - ALTERNATE II (APR 1984) Alt II, add to para (g) of the basic clause: Desired amount is 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
52.236-26	PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE (FEB 1995)
52.237-02	PROTECTION OF GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS, EQUIPMENT AND VEGETATION (APR 1984)

52.237-03	CONTINUITY OF SERVICES (JAN 1991)
52.239-01	PRIVACY OR SECURITY SAFEGUARDS (AUG 1996)
52.242-01	NOTICE OF INTENT TO DISALLOW COSTS (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.242-03	PENALTIES FOR UNALLOWABLE COSTS (MAY 2001)
52.242-04	CERTIFICATION OF FINAL INDIRECT COSTS (JAN 1997) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.242-13	BANKRUPTCY (JUL 1995)
52.242-14	SUSPENSION OF WORK (APR 1984)
52.243-01	CHANGES -- FIXED-PRICE (AUG 1987) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.243-01	CHANGES -- FIXED-PRICE (AUG 1987) - ALTERNATE II (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.243-02	CHANGES -- COST-REIMBURSEMENT (AUG 1987) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.243-02	CHANGES -- COST-REIMBURSEMENT (AUG 1987) - ALTERNATE II (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.243-03	CHANGES -- TIME-AND-MATERIALS OR LABOR-HOURS (SEP 2000) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.243-06	CHANGE ORDER ACCOUNTING (APR 1984)
52.243-07	NOTIFICATION OF CHANGES (APR 1984) Para (b), Number of calendar days is (insert 30 for RDSS/C) '30 days' Para (d), Number of calendar days is (insert 30 for RDSS/C) '30 days'
52.244-02	SUBCONTRACTS (AUG 1998) Para (e), approval required on subcontracts to: 'Labor related to design or installation of Integrated Base Defense Security System.' Para (k), Paragraphs (d) and (f) of this clause do not apply to the following subcontracts which were evaluated during negotiations: 'To be determined during negotiations.' <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s), Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.244-02	SUBCONTRACTS (AUG 1998) - ALTERNATE I (AUG 1998) Para (e), Contractor shall obtain the Contracting Officer's written consent before placing the following subcontracts: 'Labor for design, installation, maintenance of Integrated Base Defense Security Systems.' Para (k), the following subcontracts which were evaluated during negotiations: 'To be determined during negotiations.' <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.244-05	COMPETITION IN SUBCONTRACTING (DEC 1996) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.244-06	SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS (MAY 2002)
52.245-01	PROPERTY RECORDS (APR 1984)
52.245-05	GOVERNMENT PROPERTY (COST-REIMBURSEMENT, TIME-AND-MATERIAL, OR LABOR-HOUR CONTRACTS) (DEVIATION) (JAN 1986) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.245-18	SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT (FEB 1993)
52.245-19	GOVERNMENT PROPERTY FURNISHED "AS IS" (APR 1984)
52.246-24	LIMITATION OF LIABILITY -- HIGH-VALUE ITEMS (FEB 1997) - ALTERNATE I (APR 1984)
52.246-25	LIMITATION OF LIABILITY -- SERVICES (FEB 1997)
52.247-01	COMMERCIAL BILL OF LADING NOTATIONS (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.247-05	FAMILIARIZATION WITH CONDITIONS (APR 1984)
52.247-63	PREFERENCE FOR U.S.-FLAG AIR CARRIERS (JAN 1997)

52.247-67	SUBMISSION OF COMMERCIAL TRANSPORTATION BILLS TO THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION FOR AUDIT (JUN 1997) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.249-02	TERMINATION FOR CONVENIENCE OF THE GOVERNMENT (FIXED-PRICE) (SEP 1996) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.249-06	TERMINATION (COST-REIMBURSEMENT) (SEP 1996) <i>Applies to Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.249-06	TERMINATION (COST-REIMBURSEMENT) (SEP 1996) - ALTERNATE IV (SEP 1996) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.249-08	DEFAULT (FIXED-PRICE SUPPLY AND SERVICE) (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.249-10	DEFAULT (FIXED-PRICE CONSTRUCTION) (APR 1984)
52.249-14	EXCUSABLE DELAYS (APR 1984) <i>Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.</i>
52.251-01	GOVERNMENT SUPPLY SOURCES (APR 1984)
52.253-01	COMPUTER GENERATED FORMS (JAN 1991)

B. DEFENSE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.201-7000	CONTRACTING OFFICER'S REPRESENTATIVE (DEC 1991)
252.203-7001	PROHIBITION ON PERSONS CONVICTED OF FRAUD OR OTHER DEFENSE- CONTRACT-RELATED FELONIES (MAR 1999)
252.203-7002	DISPLAY OF DOD HOTLINE POSTER (DEC 1991)
252.204-7000	DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION (DEC 1991)
252.204-7003	CONTROL OF GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL WORK PRODUCT (APR 1992)
252.204-7004	REQUIRED CENTRAL CONTRACTOR REGISTRATION (NOV 2001)
252.204-7005	ORAL ATTESTATION OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES (NOV 2001)
252.205-7000	PROVISION OF INFORMATION TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT HOLDERS (DEC 1991)
252.209-7000	ACQUISITION FROM SUBCONTRACTORS SUBJECT TO ON-SITE INSPECTION UNDER THE INTERMEDIATE-RANGE NUCLEAR FORCES (INF) TREATY (NOV 1995)
252.209-7004	SUBCONTRACTING WITH FIRMS THAT ARE OWNED OR CONTROLLED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF A TERRORIST COUNTRY (MAR 1998)
252.211-7000	ACQUISITION STREAMLINING (DEC 1991)
252.215-7002	COST ESTIMATING SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS (OCT 1998)
252.217-7028	OVER AND ABOVE WORK (DEC 1991)
252.219-7003	SMALL, SMALL DISADVANTAGED AND WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (DOD CONTRACTS) (APR 1996)
252.219-7004	SMALL, SMALL DISADVANTAGED AND WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (TEST PROGRAM) (JUN 1997)
252.222-7000	RESTRICTIONS ON EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONNEL (MAR 2000) para (a), Insert State. 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
252.222-7001	RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL OF EMPLOYMENT--CLOSURE OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS (APR 1993)
252.222-7002	COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL LABOR LAWS (OVERSEAS) (JUN 1997)
252.222-7003	PERMIT FROM ITALIAN INSPECTORATE OF LABOR (JUN 1997)
252.222-7004	COMPLIANCE WITH SPANISH SOCIAL SECURITY LAWS AND REGULATIONS (JUN 1997)
252.222-7005	PROHIBITION ON USE OF NONIMMIGRANT ALIENS--GUAM (SEP 1999)
252.223-7001	HAZARD WARNING LABELS (DEC 1991)
252.223-7002	SAFETY PRECAUTIONS FOR AMMUNITION AND EXPLOSIVES (MAY 1994)
252.223-7003	CHANGE IN PLACE OF PERFORMANCE -- AMMUNITION AND EXPLOSIVES (DEC 1991)
252.223-7004	DRUG-FREE WORK FORCE (SEP 1988)

252.223-7006	PROHIBITION ON STORAGE AND DISPOSAL OF TOXIC AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS (APR 1993)
252.223-7007	SAFEGUARDING SENSITIVE CONVENTIONAL ARMS, AMMUNITION, AND EXPLOSIVES (SEP 1999) Nomenclature, National Stock Number, Sensitivity Category: 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
252.225-7001	BUY AMERICAN ACT AND BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM (MAR 1998)
252.225-7002	QUALIFYING COUNTRY SOURCES AS SUBCONTRACTORS (DEC 1991)
252.225-7007	BUY AMERICAN ACT--TRADE AGREEMENTS--BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM (OCT 2002)
252.225-7009	DUTY-FREE ENTRY--QUALIFYING COUNTRY SUPPLIES (END PRODUCTS AND COMPONENTS) (AUG 2000)
252.225-7012	PREFERENCE FOR CERTAIN DOMESTIC COMMODITIES (FEB 2003)
252.225-7014	PREFERENCE FOR DOMESTIC SPECIALTY METALS (MAR 1998)
252.225-7016	RESTRICTION ON ACQUISITION OF BALL AND ROLLER BEARINGS (DEC 2000)
252.225-7024	RESTRICTION ON ACQUISITION OF NIGHT VISION IMAGE INTENSIFIER TUBES AND DEVICES (DEC 1991)
252.225-7026	REPORTING OF CONTRACT PERFORMANCE OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES (JUN 2000)
252.225-7031	SECONDARY ARAB BOYCOTT OF ISRAEL (JUN 1992)
252.225-7037	DUTY-FREE ENTRY--ELIGIBLE END PRODUCTS (AUG 2000)
252.225-7043	ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION POLICY FOR DEFENSE CONTRACTORS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES (JUN 1998) Information and guidance pertaining to DoD antiterrorism/force protection can be obtained from: 'HQ AFSFC/SFPT Telephone DSN: 473-0927/0928, Commercial (210) 671-0927/0928'
252.225-7044	BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM--CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL (APR 2002) Para (b)(2). C.O. lists materials or "none". 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
252.225-7045	BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM--CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL UNDER TRADE AGREEMENTS (APR 2002) Para (b)(2). C.O. lists materials or "none". 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
252.226-7001	UTILIZATION OF INDIAN ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIAN-OWNED ECONOMIC ENTERPRISES--DOD CONTRACTS (SEP 2001)
252.227-7013	RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA--NONCOMMERCIAL ITEMS (NOV 1995)
252.227-7014	RIGHTS IN NONCOMMERCIAL COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND NONCOMMERCIAL COMPUTER SOFTWARE DOCUMENTATION (JUN 1995)
252.227-7015	TECHNICAL DATA--COMMERCIAL ITEMS (NOV 1995)
252.227-7016	RIGHTS IN BID OR PROPOSAL INFORMATION (JUN 1995)
252.227-7019	VALIDATION OF ASSERTED RESTRICTIONS--COMPUTER SOFTWARE (JUN 1995)
252.227-7020	RIGHTS IN SPECIAL WORKS (JUN 1995)
252.227-7021	RIGHTS IN DATA--EXISTING WORKS (MAR 1979)
252.227-7023	DRAWINGS AND OTHER DATA TO BECOME PROPERTY OF GOVERNMENT (MAR 1979)
252.227-7025	LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OR DISCLOSURE OF GOVERNMENT-FURNISHED INFORMATION MARKED WITH RESTRICTIVE LEGENDS (JUN 1995)
252.227-7030	TECHNICAL DATA--WITHHOLDING OF PAYMENT (MAR 2000)
252.227-7033	RIGHTS IN SHOP DRAWINGS (APR 1966)
252.227-7034	PATENTS--SUBCONTRACTS (APR 1984)
252.227-7036	DECLARATION OF TECHNICAL DATA CONFORMITY (JAN 1997)
252.227-7037	VALIDATION OF RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS ON TECHNICAL DATA (SEP 1999)
252.227-7039	PATENTS--REPORTING OF SUBJECT INVENTIONS (APR 1990)
252.228-7000	REIMBURSEMENT FOR WAR-HAZARD LOSSES (DEC 1991)
252.228-7003	CAPTURE AND DETENTION (DEC 1991)
252.228-7006	COMPLIANCE WITH SPANISH LAWS AND INSURANCE (DEC 1998)
252.229-7001	TAX RELIEF (JUN 1997) - ALTERNATE I (JUN 1997) <i>Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.</i>
252.229-7002	CUSTOMS EXEMPTIONS (GERMANY) (JUN 1997)

- 252.229-7003 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
TAX EXEMPTIONS (ITALY) (JAN 2002)
Para (b)(1)(iii), Fiscal code for military activity w/in Italy. 'to be specified in each applicable task order'
- 252.229-7004 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
STATUS OF CONTRACTOR AS A DIRECT CONTRACTOR (SPAIN) (JUN 1997)
Para (g), Amount at time of award is '\$1,000,000,000.00'
- 252.229-7005 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
TAX EXEMPTIONS (SPAIN) (JUN 1997)
- 252.229-7006 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
VALUE ADDED TAX EXCLUSION (UNITED KINGDOM) (JUN 1997)
- 252.229-7007 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
VERIFICATION OF UNITED STATES RECEIPT OF GOODS (JUN 1997)
- 252.229-7008 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
RELIEF FROM IMPORT DUTY (UNITED KINGDOM) (JUN 1997)
- 252.231-7000 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
SUPPLEMENTAL COST PRINCIPLES (DEC 1991)
- 252.232-7003 ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PAYMENT REQUESTS (MAR 2003) (MAR 2003)
- 252.232-7008 ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS (OVERSEAS) (JUN 1997)
- 252.235-7003 FREQUENCY AUTHORIZATION (DEC 1991)
- 252.235-7003 FREQUENCY AUTHORIZATION (DEC 1991) - ALTERNATE I (DEC 1991)
- 252.236-7005 AIRFIELD SAFETY PRECAUTIONS (DEC 1991)
- 252.242-7000 POSTAWARD CONFERENCE (DEC 1991)
- 252.242-7005 COST/SCHEDULE STATUS REPORT (MAR 1998)
- 252.243-7001 PRICING OF CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS (DEC 1991)
- 252.243-7002 *Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.*
REQUESTS FOR EQUITABLE ADJUSTMENT (MAR 1998)
- 252.244-7000 SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS AND COMMERCIAL COMPONENTS (DOD CONTRACTS) (MAR 2000)
- 252.245-7001 REPORTS OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY (MAY 1994)
- 252.247-7023 TRANSPORTATION OF SUPPLIES BY SEA (MAY 2002)
- 252.249-7002 NOTIFICATION OF ANTICIPATED CONTRACT TERMINATION OR REDUCTION (DEC 1996)
- 252.251-7000 ORDERING FROM GOVERNMENT SUPPLY SOURCES (OCT 2002)
Para (e), Contractor's address is 'to be determined prior to contract award.'
Para (e), Government remittance address is 'to be determined prior to contract award.'

C. AIR FORCE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT CONTRACT CLAUSES

- 5352.204-9000 NOTIFICATION OF GOVERNMENT SECURITY ACTIVITY AND VISITOR GROUP SECURITY AGREEMENTS (NOV 2002)
- 5352.204-9001 VISITOR GROUP SECURITY AGREEMENTS (MAY 1996)
- 5352.216-9000 AWARDING ORDERS UNDER MULTIPLE AWARD CONTRACTS (JUN 2002) - ALTERNATE I (JUN 2002) (JUN 2002) - ALTERNATE II (JUN 2002)
Para (d), Office symbol and telephone number: 'ESC/PK, 5 Eglin Street, Hanscom AFB, MA, 01731'
Para (e), Clause that stipulates minimum guarantee(s): 'Section H, Clause H023'
Alt II, Para (b)(3), Technical and/or managerial approach: 'Technical and Managerial'
Alt II, Para (b)(3), Other factors as appropriate: 'Schedule, and Performance Specifications of proposed solution'
- 5352.223-9000 ELIMINATION OF USE OF CLASS I OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES (ODS) (MAY 1996)
Para (d), Substances are 'none'
- 5352.223-9001 HEALTH AND SAFETY ON GOVERNMENT INSTALLATIONS (JUN 1997)
- 5352.242-9000 CONTRACTOR ACCESS TO AIR FORCE INSTALLATIONS (MAY 2002)

Para (b), Any additional requirements to comply with local security procedures 'as specified in applicable task orders.'

**D. AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT
CONTRACT CLAUSES**

- 5352.215-9008 ENABLING CLAUSE BETWEEN PRIME CONTRACTORS AND SERVICE CONTRACTORS (AFMC) (JUL 1997)
Para (a), Manpower Support Services Contractor's Name 'ACS Defense Inc.; BTAS, Inc.; MCR; MITRE Corporation; Titan Corporation'
Para (a), Major Support Areas (Such as Technical Evaluation and Acquisition Management Support) 'technical evaluation and engineering advice'
Para (b), Applicable Task Detail 'evaluation of ; site design, integraion, technology insertion, sustainment , testing, and production/manufacturing concepts relative to this program.'
Para (c), Manpower Support Services Contractor's Name 'ACS Defense, Inc.; BTAS, Inc.; MCR; MITRE Corporation; and Titan Corporation'
Para (c), Areas for Cooperation: ' responding to invitations from authorized personnel to attend meetings; providing access to technical information and research, development and planning data, test data and results, schedule and milestone data, financial data including the Contractor's cost/schedule management system/records and accounting system, all in original form or reproduced; discussing technical matters related to the program; providing access to Contractor facilities utilized in the performance of this contract; and allowing observation of technical activities by appropriate support Contractor technical personnel.'
- 5352.245-9004 BASE SUPPORT (AFMC) (JUL 1997) - ALTERNATE I (JUL 1997) - ALTERNATE II (JUL 1997)
Para (e), List of Installations 'as specified in each task order.'
para (f), list of support items 'as specified in each task order.'
Applies to Time-and-Materials CLIN(s), Labor-Hour CLIN(s), Cost-Plus-Award-Fee CLIN(s), Cost CLIN(s) only.
- 5352.245-9004 BASE SUPPORT (AFMC) (JUL 1997) - ALTERNATE II (JUL 1997)
Alt II, Para (e), List Installations 'as specified in each task order'
Alt II, para (f), list support items 'as specified in each task order'
Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.
- 5352.291-9000 ADDITIONAL OVER AND ABOVE WORK PROCEDURES (AFMC) (JUL 1997)

II. NOTICE: The following contract clauses pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

52.211-15 DEFENSE PRIORITY AND ALLOCATION REQUIREMENTS (SEP 1990)

This is a rated order certified for national defense use, and the Contractor shall follow all the requirements of the Defense Priorities and Allocations System regulation (15 CFR 700).

52.219-04 NOTICE OF PRICE EVALUATION PREFERENCE FOR HUBZONE SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS (JAN 1999)

(a) Definition. HUBZone small business concern, as used in this clause, means a small business concern that appears on the List of Qualified HUBZone Small Business Concerns maintained by the Small Business Administration.

(b) Evaluation preference. (1) Offers will be evaluated by adding a factor of 10 percent to the price of all offers, except--

(i) Offers from HUBZone small business concerns that have not waived the evaluation preference;

(ii) Otherwise successful offers from small business concerns;

(iii) Otherwise successful offers of eligible products under the Trade Agreements Act when the dollar threshold for application of the Act is exceeded (see 25.402 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)); and

(iv) Otherwise successful offers where application of the factor would be inconsistent with a Memorandum of Understanding or other international agreement with a foreign government.

(2) The factor of 10 percent shall be applied on a line item basis or to any group of items on which award may be made. Other evaluation factors described in the solicitation shall be applied before application of the factor.

(3) A concern that is both a HUBZone small business concern and a small disadvantaged business concern will receive the benefit of both the HUBZone small business price evaluation preference and the small disadvantaged business price evaluation adjustment (see FAR clause 52.219-23). Each applicable price evaluation preference or adjustment shall be calculated independently against an offeror's base offer. These individual preference amounts shall be added together to arrive at the total evaluated price for that offer.

(c) Waiver of evaluation preference. A HUBZone small business concern may elect to waive the evaluation preference, in which case the factor will be added to its offer for evaluation purposes. The agreements in paragraph (d) of this clause do not apply if the offeror has waived the evaluation preference.

[] Offeror elects to waive the evaluation preference.

(d) Agreement. A HUBZone small business concern agrees that in the performance of the contract, in the case of a contract for

(1) Services (except construction), at least 50 percent of the cost of personnel for contract performance will be spent for employees of the concern or employees of other HUBZone small business concerns;

(2) Supplies (other than procurement from a nonmanufacturer of such supplies), at least 50 percent of the cost of manufacturing, excluding the cost of materials, will be performed by the concern or other HUBZone small business concerns;

(3) General construction, at least 15 percent of the cost of the contract performance incurred for personnel will be spent on the concern's employees or the employees of other HUBZone small business concerns; or

(4) Construction by special trade contractors, at least 25 percent of the cost of the contract performance incurred for personnel will be spent on the concern's employees or the employees of other HUBZone small business concerns.

(e) A HUBZone joint venture agrees that in the performance of the contract, the applicable percentage specified in paragraph (d) of this clause will be performed by the HUBZone small business participant or participants.

(f) A HUBZone small business concern nonmanufacturer agrees to furnish in performing this contract only end items manufactured or produced by HUBZone small business manufacturer concerns. This paragraph does not apply in connection with construction or service contracts.

52.222-42 STATEMENT OF EQUIVALENT RATES FOR FEDERAL HIRES (MAY 1989)

In compliance with the Service Contract Act of 1965, as amended, and the regulations of the Secretary of Labor (29 CFR Part 4), this clause identifies the classes of service employees expected to be employed under the contract and states the wages and fringe benefits payable to each if they were employed by the contracting agency subject to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 5341 or 5332.

THIS STATEMENT IS FOR INFORMATION ONLY: IT IS NOT A
WAGE DETERMINATION

Employee Class	Monetary Wage - Fringe Benefits
Systems/Computer Engineer, GS-12 Step 4,	(\$32.03 per hour)
Electronics/Computer Engineer, GS-12 Step 4,	(\$32.03 per hour)
Senior Engineer (Electronics, Etc), GS-13 Step 4,	(\$37.13 per hour)

52.232-01 PAYMENTS (APR 1984) (TAILORED)

The Government shall pay the Contractor, upon the submission of proper invoices or vouchers, the prices stipulated in this contract for supplies delivered and accepted or services rendered and accepted, less any deductions provided in this contract. Unless otherwise specified in this contract, payment shall be made on partial deliveries accepted by the Government if--

- (a) The amount due on the deliveries warrants it; or
- (b) The Contractor requests it and the amount due on the deliveries is at least \$1,000 or 50 percent of the total contract price.

This clause applies to Fixed Price Contract Line Items only.
Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.

52.252-02 CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEB 1998)

This contract incorporates one or more clauses by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. Also, the full text of a clause may be accessed electronically at this/these address(es): <http://farsite.hill.af.mil/>

52.252-06 AUTHORIZED DEVIATIONS IN CLAUSES (APR 1984)

- (a) The use in this solicitation or contract of any Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 CFR Chapter 1) clause with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the date of the clause.
- (b) The use in this solicitation or contract of any Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (48 CFR Chapter 2) clause with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the name of the regulation.

B. DEFENSE FAR SUPP CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

252.232-7007 LIMITATION OF GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATION (AUG 1993)

(a) Contract line item(s) as specified in each applicable task order through as specified in each applicable task order are incrementally funded. For these item (s), the sum of as specified in each applicable task order of the total price is presently available for payment and allotted to this contract. An allotment schedule is set forth in paragraph (i) of this clause.

(b) For item(s) identified in paragraph (a) of this clause, the Contractor agrees to perform up to the point at which the total amount payable by the Government, including reimbursement in the event of termination of those

item(s) for the Government's convenience, approximates the total amount currently allotted to the contract. The Contractor will not be obligated to continue work on those item(s) beyond that point. The Government will not be obligated in any event to reimburse the Contractor in excess of the amount allotted to the contract for those item(s) regardless of anything to the contrary in the clause entitled "Termination for Convenience of the Government." As used in this clause, the total amount payable by the Government in the event of termination of applicable contract line item(s) for convenience includes costs, profit, and estimated termination settlement costs for those item(s).

(c) Notwithstanding the dates specified in the allotment schedule in paragraph (i) of this clause, the Contractor will notify the Contracting Officer in writing at least ninety days prior to the date when, in the Contractor's best judgment, the work will reach the point at which the total amount payable by the Government, including any cost for termination for convenience, will approximate 85 percent of the total amount then allotted to the contract for performance of the applicable item(s). The notification will state (1) the estimated date when that point will be reached and (2) an estimate of additional funding, if any, needed to continue performance of applicable line items up to the next scheduled date for allotment of funds identified in paragraph (i) of this clause, or to a mutually agreed upon substitute date. The notification will also advise the Contracting Officer of the estimated amount of additional funds that will be required for the timely performance of the item(s) funded pursuant to this clause, for a subsequent period as may be specified in the allotment schedule in paragraph (i) of this clause or otherwise agreed to by the parties. If after such notification additional funds are not allotted by the date identified in the Contractor's notification, or by an agreed substitute date, the Contracting Officer will terminate any item(s) for which additional funds have not been allotted, pursuant to the clause of this contract entitled "Termination for Convenience of the Government."

(d) When additional funds are allotted for continued performance of the contract line item(s) identified in paragraph (a) of this clause, the parties will agree as to the period of contract performance which will be covered by the funds. The provisions of paragraphs (b) through (d) of this clause will apply in like manner to the additional allotted funds and agreed substitute date, and the contract will be modified accordingly.

(e) If, solely by reason of failure of the Government to allot additional funds, by the dates indicated below, in amounts sufficient for timely performance of the contract line item(s) identified in paragraph (a) of this clause, the Contractor incurs additional costs or is delayed in the performance of the work under this contract and if additional funds are allotted, an equitable adjustment will be made in the price or prices (including appropriate target, billing, and ceiling prices where applicable) of the item(s), or in the time of delivery, or both. Failure to agree to any such equitable adjustment hereunder will be a dispute concerning a question of fact within the meaning of the clause entitled "Disputes."

(f) The Government may at any time prior to termination allot additional funds for the performance of the contract line item(s) identified in paragraph (a) of this clause.

(g) The termination provisions of this clause do not limit the rights of the Government under the clause entitled "Default." The provisions of this clause are limited to the work and allotment of funds for the contract line item(s) set forth in paragraph (a) of this clause. This clause no longer applies once the contract is fully funded except with regard to the rights or obligations of the parties concerning equitable adjustments negotiated under paragraphs (d) or (e) of this clause.

(h) Nothing in this clause affects the rights of the Government to terminate this contract pursuant to the clause of this contract entitled "Termination for Convenience of the Government."

(i) The parties contemplate that the Government will allot funds to this contract in accordance with the following schedule:

as specified in each applicable task order
Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.

C. AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT CONTRACT CLAUSES IN FULL TEXT

5352.215-9005 INCORPORATION OF CONTRACTOR'S TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (AFMC) (AUG 1998) (TAILORED)

(a) The following documents are incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this contract:

Paragraph Nos. to be determined prior to contract award. (insert paragraph number) through to be determined prior to contract award. (insert paragraph number) of Contractor's Technical Proposal (Integrated Management Plan) Version No. to be determined prior to contract award. (insert appropriate version number), dated to be determined prior to contract award. (insert date), entitled to be determined prior to contract award. (insert title).

(b) Nothing contained in the Contractor's technical proposal shall constitute a waiver to any other requirement of this contract. In the event of any conflict between the Contractor's technical proposal and any other requirement of the contract, the conflict shall be resolved in accordance with the Order of Precedence clause. For purposes of the Order of Precedence clause the document(s) listed above shall rank third (insert rank order).

(c) The detailed technical content of the Contractor's proposal was an important factor in the selection of the Contractor for award of this contract. The documents listed above are now contractually binding. The Contractor shall not change or otherwise deviate from the content of these documents without prior written approval from the Contracting Officer.

(d) If it is necessary to change the performance, design, configuration, or other items specified in the technical proposal in order to comply with the requirements of the contract clauses, special contract requirements, or statement of work, the contract shall be modified appropriately.

(e) The Contractor agrees that the documents listed above reflects the results/responses to exchanges and /or Evaluation Notices (ENs) issued during the negotiation process. If, after contract award, it is discovered that changes made during negotiations were not incorporated in the SOW and/or technical proposal, such changes to the Contractor's documents shall be considered administrative in nature and shall be made by unilateral modification to the contract, at no change in contract cost or price or other terms and conditions.

DOCUMENT	PGS	DATE	TITLE
EXHIBIT A	TBD		DATA ITEMS - RESERVED
EXHIBIT B	TBD		LABOR HOURS, EQUIPMENT, AND SITE SURVEY PRICE TABLES - TO BE DETERMINED DURING CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS
ATTACHMENT 1	TBD		STATEMENT OF WORK FOR IBDSS ACQUISITION - TO BE PROVIDED BY OFFEROR - SEE SECTION L, ATTACHMENT L-10
ATTACHMENT 2	6	10 APR 2003	STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES (SOO) FOR IBDSS ACQUISITION
ATTACHMENT 3	2	11 APR 2003	LABOR CATEGORIES AND QUALIFICATIONS
ATTACHMENT 4	TBD		INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN
ATTACHMENT 5	TBD		INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM SMALL BUSINESS SUB-CONTRACTING PLAN
ATTACHMENT 6	7	09 APR 2003	SECURITY SPECIFICATION FOR IBDSS, DD FORM 254
ATTACHMENT 7	15	11 APR 2003	MODEL AWARD FEE PLAN FOR IBDSS ACQUISITION
ATTACHMENT 8	3	14 APR 2003	DELIVERY ORDER & TASK ORDER AWARD PROCESS
ATTACHMENT 9	TBD		INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEMS RELOCATABLE EQUIPMENT DEFINITIONS

1. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

F19628-03-R-0064

EXHIBIT A
CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST (CDRL)

RESERVED

2. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

F19628-03-R-0064

EXHIBIT B
LABOR HOUR, EQUIPMENT, SITE SURVEY
PRICE TABLES

RESERVED

TO BE COMPLETED DURING CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS. SEE
SECTION L

See separate file on <http://eda.ogden.disa.mil>

or <https://www.nafi.navy.mil> for Exhibit B

3. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

3.1 STATEMENT OF WORK

F19628-03-R-0064

3.1.1 TO BE PROVIDED BY SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR

STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES FOR THE INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM (IBDSS) ACQUISITION 10 April 2003

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This acquisition effort provides a five-year contractual vehicle for enhancing force and asset protection through the use of Integrated Base Defense (IBD) capabilities at temporary and permanent, nuclear and non-nuclear sites around the world. The ultimate goal is to provide the security forces with the capability to "See First, Understand First, and Act First". Keys to achieving this goal are the application of performance-based rather than compliance-based requirements, integration of existing security systems, and the integration of transformational and existing security technologies that contribute to the essential elements of IBD.

Through this contract, users will have access to a family of modular systems/equipment that can be assembled to protect from small units and assets to large base wide mission areas. Integrated Base Defense Security System (IBDSS) components will consist of non-development items wherever practical and developed or upgraded hardware and software elsewhere. Product support (training, repair, spares, technical support, configuration management, sustaining engineering, etc.) for installed and deployed IBDSS will depend on that site's individual requirements. Each site's tailored requirements will be provided in the site-specific delivery order. New and transformational security technologies will be introduced throughout the life of the contract via technology insertion and integration provisions of the contract.

Installation and support requirements may require performance of multiple installations at different locations in parallel as well as quick reaction support to temporary and permanent fixed site deployments around the world, especially during the global war on terrorism. Funding for IBDSS requirements will come from multiple sources.

1.1 DEFINITIONS

1.1.1 Integrated Base Defense (IBD) is the integrated application of offensive and defensive actions, both active and passive, taken across the ground dimension of the force protection battlespace to achieve local and area dominance.

1.1.2 Force Protection Battlespace. This battlespace consists of the following areas and battlespaces where the objective is dominance and increased situational understanding by security forces through the integration of manpower, training, technology, tactics, techniques, and procedures including, but not limited to:

1.1.2.1 Outside the Wire. The area beyond the base perimeter to include the man-portable surface-to-air missile launch footprint and other areas of interest as designated by the commander.

1.1.2.2 Base Perimeter. The legal boundary of the installation, site, or facility being protected, wherein the commander exercises area control and influence.

1.1.2.3 Protection Level (PL) Resources. Some examples of PL resources are:

- Nuclear Weapons
- Command, control, communications, and computer systems critical to the success of active nuclear missions
- Non-nuclear alert forces
- Expensive, few in number, or one of a kind systems
- Weapons systems not on alert but that can be programmed for alert status
- Selected command, control, and communications facilities
- Non-nuclear weapons storage areas
- Parking and alert areas containing mission ready aircraft
- Maintenance and storage areas necessary to operate aircraft (e.g., Petroleum, Oils and Lubricants storage)
- Critical infrastructure (e.g., railways, rail yards, bridges, ports, water supplies, power generating facilities and distribution terminals)

1.1.2.4 Flightline. The area of an installation typically defined by the runway(s), taxiways, aircraft parking areas, hangars, etc.

1.1.2.5 Transients. In bound/out bound aircraft and convoys conveying personnel or resources.

1.1.2.6 Cantonment Area. The area of the base containing organizations and facilities essential to operations.

1.1.3 Performance-based requirements specify the effect that the security system as a whole is expected to provide, e.g., prevention or reduction in the loss of protected resources through earlier detection, reduced communication

time, faster response time, etc., rather than how well individual elements of the system comply with regulatory requirements.

- 1.1.4** Transformational security technologies are those that will provide a “leap in capability” over today’s traditional security systems. Examples of transformational security technology areas include, but are not limited to:
- Wide area intrusion detection and tracking
 - Long range assessment (1-5 km and 5-10 km per the IBDSS draft ORD)
 - Integrated C2 -- "Situational Awareness"
 - Wireless, secure communications
 - Identification friend or foe
 - Robotics (unmanned, remotely operated, air or ground equipment capable of performing one or more of the IBD elements—see paragraph 1.2 below)
 - Rapid, positive base access control
 - Remotely operated weapons (lethal and non-lethal)
 - Integration of "smart" sensors (e.g., sensors that not only detect and track, but assess and characterize the target as well)
 - Stand-off explosives detection
 - Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear detection
 - Non-Lethal denial systems
 - Remote sensing (e.g., aerostat, unmanned air vehicles (UAV))
 - Fly-away security systems (i.e., turn key systems capable of immediate use by the intended user)

1.2 Essential Elements of IBD.

IBD contains a number of essential elements. These are:

- 1.2.1** Deceive. Deception will distort the adversary's view and mislead his course of action.
- 1.2.2** Deter. Deterrence can discourage adversary's action by making the consequences of that action clear and unpalatable.
- 1.2.3** Anticipate. Anticipation allows friendly forces to see and understand the adversary's perspective and to prepare accordingly.
- 1.2.4** Deny. Denial prevents adversary's use of space and the means to attack.
- 1.2.5** Detect. Detection allows friendly forces to see all potential attacks.
- 1.2.6** Delay. Delaying tactics slow the adversary without the need for a massive response at the outset of an engagement and allow friendly forces more time to react.
- 1.2.7** Assess. The defense effect can be analyzed through the leverage of intelligence or other information.
- 1.2.8** Deploy. The ability to rapidly mass a reaction force and attain positional advantage by early and sensible deployment.
- 1.2.9** Neutralize. Neutralization renders the adversary ineffective.
- 1.2.10** Mitigate. Mitigation minimizes the effects of an adversary's success.

2.0 PURPOSE.

IBDSS provides a critical line of defense for all critical assets, fixed, temporary or mobile by way of electronic detection, alarm assessment, access control, communications and command, control and display capabilities to support an effective response. The intent of this acquisition effort is to provide security personnel with integrated security systems that neutralize or mitigate anticipated threats while reducing manpower levels (or staffing requirements) wherever possible.

3.0 SCOPE.

This contract is for the acquisition of fixed and relocatable IBD security equipment, kits and systems that support the ten essential elements of IBD. It includes design, engineering, procurement, installation, integration, test, sustainment, technology insertion, and disposal of IBDSS equipment at Government and non-Government sites throughout the world. IBDSS is intended to provide modular, scalable systems or equipment that can be assembled to protect assets varying in size from small units and assets to large base wide mission areas. Planned capabilities include, but are not limited to, wide area intrusion detection and tracking, long range assessment, integrated command and control of the IBDSS; wireless, secure communications; identification friend or foe; robotics; rapid positive base access control; remotely operated weapons; integration of "smart" sensors; stand-off explosives detection; chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear detection; non-lethal denial systems; remote sensing (i.e., UAV); and fly-away security systems. IBDS components shall be made up of Non-Development Items, and developed or upgraded hardware or software. Security capability improvements shall be accomplished during the life of the contract through development and technology insertion to meet DoD's diverse security requirements and ensure state-of-the-art equipment is available for the myriad of force protection missions. This contract supports quick reaction temporary and permanent fixed site deployments as well as multiple installations at different locations in parallel throughout the world.

4.0 ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES. Award an acquisition contract that meets the below objectives.

4.1 Equipment Assembly, Manufacturing and Delivery.

- 4.1.1** Deliver IBDSS equipment, kits and systems that meet or exceed associated performance specifications.
- 4.1.2** Use non-developmental items (i.e., commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) and government-off-the-shelf (GOTS) equipment) to the maximum extent practical to satisfy IBDSS requirements.
- 4.1.3** Provide an audit trail of testing performed and results achieved that confirms conformance of the delivered equipment, kits, and systems to technical requirements, performance specifications and statements of objectives.

4.2 Installation of Security Equipment and Systems.

- 4.2.1** Provide the ability to support multiple, parallel installations worldwide of varying magnitudes and complexity.
- 4.2.2** Requirements Definition and Design.
 - 4.2.2.1** Support the Government's requirements definitization and site coordination processes as required.
 - 4.2.2.2** Gather, from a single site survey, all information necessary to develop a conceptual design and work task proposal for an IBDSS at the designated site.
 - 4.2.2.3** Prepare and submit proposals for implementing the conceptual design and delivering a supportable "turn key" system at the designated site.
 - 4.2.2.4** Ensure that all systems are designed in accordance with Government approved architectures.
- 4.2.3** Site Installation and Integration.
 - 4.2.3.1** Perform all tasks necessary to implement the Government-approved conceptual design and deliver a supportable "turn key" system. Conduct design reviews as directed.
 - 4.2.3.2** Demonstrate the viability of the integrated design prior to installation.
 - 4.2.3.3** Maintain communications with the Government as to the status of site status/issues/activities in achieving operational, cost and schedule requirements of the project.
 - 4.2.3.4** Provide the Government a completed data package (i.e., performance specification and source control document) for each new system, sub-system or component certified for future use as a result of this installation effort.
 - 4.2.3.5** Support test and turnover activities to include documenting and correcting problems identified by testing.
 - 4.2.3.6** Prepare and deliver "as-built" drawings.

4.3 Sustainment.

- 4.4.1** Provide a tailorable, cost-effective logistics program that allows for the full spectrum of product support to include, but not limited to, the following:
 - Supply chain management, with Web-based tracking.
 - Technical manuals and data.
 - Organizational level training (operations, maintenance and system administration).
 - Preventive maintenance.
 - On-site repairs.
 - Depot level repair or replacement of all failed items.
 - Technical support.
 - Software support.
 - A minimum two-year support period from the date of site acceptance, or turnover.
- 4.4.2** Provide sustainment for previously fielded security systems and equipment, on a case-by-case basis, as directed by the government.
- 4.4.3** Maintain Configuration Control of all delivered IBDSS equipment.
- 4.4.4** Provide sustainment engineering for all delivered IBD security systems and equipment. This includes identification and resolution of reliability and technology obsolescence issues.

4.4 Technology Insertion and Integration.

- 4.5.1** Provide and/or develop and then integrate various upgrades (hardware and software) into the IBDSS and legacy security systems.
 - 4.5.1.1** Utilize technology insertion as a means to add new capabilities.
- Demonstrate that upgraded components are interoperable, interchangeable, and backwards compatible.
- 4.5.2** Use the Government approved architectures, related implementation roadmaps, and sound engineering judgment as a guide as to which technology upgrades to pursue and how they should be integrated into sites and installations.
 - 4.5.3** Establish a testing capability that will be used to plan, perform and report the various tests associated with technology insertion and the acceptance testing as part of IBDSS installations.
 - 4.5.4** Apply, performance, interoperability/interchangeability, testing, quality, schedule, and management objectives to all technology insertions.

5.0 Management Objectives:

- 5.1** Establish a working relationship with the Government and other contractors that fosters open communication and an atmosphere of cooperation.
- 5.1.1** Establish associate contractor agreements with the other IBDSS contractors to realize an implementable vision of a common IBDSS architecture.
- 5.2** Provide modular, scalable IBD security systems or equipment that can be readily assembled to protect assets varying in size from small units and assets to large base wide mission areas.
- 5.3** Maintain interoperability and interchangeability of all like IBDSS equipment down to levels consistent with their respective repair concepts.
- 5.4** Utilize Government approved operational and system architectures as a guide in all design, implementation and technology insertion tasks.
- 5.5** Apply risk management for the duration of the contract.
- 5.6** Provide qualified contractor team personnel.
- 5.7** Establish an ordering process that minimizes buying agency involvement and time to order and receive products.
- 5.8** Implement and utilize contractor internal management processes to plan, schedule, budget, monitor, manage, and report cost schedule, and technical status applicable to the contract.
- 5.9** Respond to short notice, quick reaction delivery orders, especially during the global war on terrorism.
- 5.10** Establish a Web-based management support structure to accomplish the tasks in the contract.

Attachment 3 - IBDSS Acquisition Labor Categories & Qualifications
11 April 2003

Personnel assigned to accomplish tasks within a Delivery Order (DO) must meet the minimum requirements necessary to ensure a sound technical approach to the task. The following paragraphs establish the minimum required qualifications for each labor category. Current registration as a Professional Engineer by a state or federal agency will be considered to be equivalent to a Bachelor of Science degree. Degrees may not be substituted for experience requirements. Experience may not be substituted for degree requirements except where stated otherwise in the descriptions that follow.

a. Systems Engineer. Possesses more than ten years experience in the design and development of physical and electronic security systems. Possesses a Bachelor of Science degree in systems engineering with an advanced degree(s) or studies in engineering or information technology. Individual must be more technically competent than a senior engineer. Comprehensive knowledge and familiarity with physical and electronic security system implementation, including Department of Defense standards is required.

b. Senior Engineer. Possesses ten years technical experience relating to the assigned project area. Possesses, at a minimum, a bachelor's degree applicable to the design, development and installation of physical or electronic security systems and an advanced degree(s) or studies in engineering or information technology. Individual must be particularly knowledgeable and competent in at least one functional/technological area and familiar in all aspects of a particular project. Functional and technological areas include, but not necessarily limited to, systems engineering management, system test and evaluation, project engineering support, software development, civil engineering, electrical engineering, mechanical engineering, reliability and maintainability, parts control program, electromagnetic compatibility, radio frequency management, system safety, and human factors. Comprehensive knowledge and familiarity with physical and electronic security system implementation, including Department of Defense standards is required.

c. Engineer. Possesses a minimum of six years of technical experience relating to the assigned project. Possesses, as a minimum, a bachelor's degree applicable to the design, development and installation of physical or electronic security systems with an advanced degree(s) or studies in engineering or information technology desired. Individual must be particularly knowledgeable and technically competent in at least one following functional/technological areas described for the Senior Engineer above. Possesses detailed knowledge and familiarity with physical and electronic security system implementation.

d. Field Installation Manager. Possesses five years experience supervising field installations of physical and electronic security systems. Possesses a Bachelor's of Science degree in an engineering discipline or computer science or a total of ten years field installation experience with at least five of those years managing the installation of surveillance in lieu of a degree. Be technically competent in field operations, site surveys, planning and executing installations and training. Possesses previous installation, training and technical support experience at overseas locations.

e. Senior Technician. Possesses a minimum of ten years of technical experience in a specific function/technological area related to physical or electronic security systems. Possesses an associate's degree or equivalent in that functional/technological area. (An additional four years experience may be substituted for the degree requirement.) Working knowledge of physical and electronic security systems and equipment as well as their installation, test and operation is required.

f. Technician. Possesses a minimum of three years of technical experience in installation, testing, and maintenance of electronic systems. Possesses a high school diploma or equivalent GED.

g. Logistics Manager. Possesses ten years of experience in logistics management that includes at least five years experience supporting Department of Defense systems, preferably Air Force. Possesses a bachelor's degree applicable to logistics management. A total of 15 years of experience may be substituted in lieu of the bachelor's degree. Be knowledgeable and technically competent in all facets of product support to include but not limited to technical manual development, training, and maintenance concepts.

h. Logistics Specialist. Possesses six years of logistics experience that includes assignments in one or more of the following areas: technical manual development, training material development or supply management. Possesses a bachelor's degree applicable to logistics management. A total of 10 years of experience may be substituted in lieu of the bachelor's degree. Be competent in technical manual development or training material development covering both operational and maintenance documents.

i. Administrative or Clerical. Possesses a minimum of one year of experience as a secretary, technical typist or performing clerical duties related to the preparation of technical documents. Possesses a high school diploma or equivalent GED certificate.

4. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

4.1 INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT PLAN

F19628-03-R-0064

APRIL 14, 2003

4.1.1 TO BE PROVIDED BY SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR

5. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

5.1 SMALL BUSINESS AND SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

F19628-03-R-0064

APRIL 14, 2003

5.1.1 TO BE PROVIDED BY SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICATION <i>(The requirements of the DoD Industrial Security Manual apply to all security aspects of this effort.)</i>				1. CLEARANCE AND SAFEGUARDING a. FACILITY CLEARANCE REQUIRED <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">SECRET</div> b. LEVEL OF SAFEGUARDING REQUIRED <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">SECRET</div>	
2. THIS SPECIFICATION IS FOR: <i>(X and complete as applicable)</i>				3. THIS SPECIFICATION IS: <i>(X and complete as applicable)</i>	
a. PRIME CONTRACT NUMBER <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">F19628-03-R-0064</div>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 30px; margin: 0 auto;">X</div>		a. ORIGINAL <i>(Complete date in all cases)</i> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 60%;">Date (YYMMDD)</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 35%; text-align: center;">030403</div> </div>	
b. SUBCONTRACT NUMBER <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>				b. REVISED <i>(Supersedes all previous specs)</i> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 60%;">Revision No.</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 35%; text-align: center;">Date (YYMMDD)</div> </div>	
c. SOLICITATION OR OTHER NUMBER <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>		Due Date (YYMMDD) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>		c. FINAL <i>(Complete item 5 in all cases)</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>	
4. IS THIS A FOLLOW-ON CONTRACT? YES <input type="checkbox"/> X NO. If Yes, complete the following: Classified material received or generated under <u>None</u> <i>(Preceding contract number)</i> is transferred to this follow-on contract.					
5. IS THIS A FINAL DD FORM 254? YES <input type="checkbox"/> X NO. If Yes, complete the following: In response to the contractor's request dated _____, retention of the identified classified material is authorized for the period of _____.					
6. CONTRACTOR <i>(Include Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code)</i>					
a. NAME, ADDRESS, AND ZIP CODE <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div> <i>*To be filled in by Integrated Base Defense Security System (IBDSS) Acquisition offeror.</i>		b. CAGE CODE <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div>		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE <i>(Name, Address, and Zip Code)</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div>	
7. SUBCONTRACTOR					
a. NAME, ADDRESS, AND ZIP CODE <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div> <i>*To be filled in by Integrated Base Defense Security System (IBDSS) Acquisition offeror. Include all subcontractors.</i>		b. CAGE CODE <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div>		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE <i>(Name, Address, and Zip Code)</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TBD*</div>	
8. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE					
a. LOCATION <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">See Block 13</div>		b. CAGE CODE <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE <i>(Name, Address, and Zip Code)</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>	
9. GENERAL IDENTIFICATION OF THIS PROCUREMENT <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; min-height: 40px;"> Integrated Base Security System (IBDSS) Acquisition </div>					
10. THIS CONTRACT WILL REQUIRE ACCESS TO:			11. IN PERFORMING THIS CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR WILL:		
a. COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) INFORMATION	YES	NO	a. HAVE ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION ONLY AT ANOTHER CONTRACTOR'S FACILITY OR A GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY	YES	NO
b. RESTRICTED DATA		X	b. RECEIVE CLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS ONLY		X
c. CRITICAL NUCLEAR WEAPON DESIGN INFORMATION		X	c. RECEIVE AND GENERATE CLASSIFIED MATERIAL	X	
d. FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA		X	d. FABRICATE, MODIFY, OR STORE CLASSIFIED HARDWARE		X
e. INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION:			e. PERFORM SERVICES ONLY		X
(1) Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)		X	f. HAVE ACCESS TO U.S. CLASSIFIED INFORMATION OUTSIDE THE U.S., PUERTO RICO, U.S. POSSESSIONS AND TRUST TERRITORIES	X	
(2) Non-SCI		X	g. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE SERVICES OF DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) OR OTHER SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION CENTER	X	
f. SPECIAL ACCESS INFORMATION		X	h. REQUIRE A COMSEC ACCOUNT		X
g. NATO INFORMATION		X	i. HAVE TEMPEST REQUIREMENTS	X	
			j. HAVE OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) REQUIREMENTS		X
i. LIMITED DISSEMINATION INFORMATION		X	k. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE		X
j. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY INFORMATION		X	l. OTHER <i>(Specify)</i>		X
k. OTHER <i>(Specify)</i>					

☐ Direct☒ Through (Specify):

ESC/PA, 9 Eglin St. Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2100

to the Directorate for Freedom of Information and Security Review, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs)* for review.

* In the case of non-DoD User Agencies, requests for disclosure shall be submitted to that agency.

- 13. SECURITY GUIDANCE.** The security classification guidance needed for this classified effort is identified below. If any difficulty is encountered in applying this guidance or if any other contributing factor indicates a need for changes in this guidance, the contractor is authorized and encouraged to provide recommended changes; to challenge the guidance or the classification assigned to any information or material furnished or generated under this contract; and to submit any questions for interpretation of this guidance to the official identified below. Pending final decision, the information involved shall be handled and protected at the highest level of classification assigned or recommended. (Fill in as appropriate for the classified effort. Attach, or forward under separate correspondence, any documents/guides/extracts referenced herein. Add additional pages as needed to provide complete guidance.)

Ref Block 8: Performance will occur at (TBD Offeror's facility) and TBD worldwide locations.

Ref 10j: See FOUO

Ref. 11c: Any classified information generated in the performance of this contract shall require the contractor to either apply derivative classification & markings consistent with the source material or governed by the Base and Installation Security System/Electronic Security Equipment (BISS/ESE) Security Classification Guide, dated 15 August 1998.

Ref 11f: Overseas performance will occur at TBD locations. Specific locations will be identified as part of issuing Delivery Orders to perform the work. These will be sent under separate cover.

Ref Block 11i: See attached EMSEC attachment.

The National Industrial Security Manual (NISPOM), January 1995, applies to this contract. Notification of Government security Clauses 5352.204-9000 and 5352.204-9001 (May 96) apply. Notification must be submitted to the Servicing Security Activity (SSA) in CONUS and overseas 30 calendar days before operations begin at any of the seven locations in the SWA region.

The contractor visitor group is authorized to utilize AIS equipment for the processing of classified information at the activity operating location.

Coordination: ESC/DI-ITO, Mr. Alfred Knoll, DSN 478-4716 commercial (617) 377-4716

ESC/INP, Ms. Muriel Stundis, DSN 478-3849 commercial (617) 377-3849

The IBDSS Program Manager is Capt James Dobbs,(ESC/FD), DSN 478-3444

RFP No. 19628-03-R-0066. Contract No. TBD expiration date is TBD

- 14. ADDITIONAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS.** Requirements, in addition to ISM requirements, are established for this contract. (If Yes, identify the

☒

Yes

☐

No

pertinent contractual clauses in the contract document itself, or provide an appropriate statement which identifies the additional requirements. Provide a copy of the requirements to the cognizant security office. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.)

Overseas.

- 15. INSPECTIONS.** Elements of this contract are outside the inspection responsibility of the cognizant security office. (If Yes, explain and identify specific

☒

Yes

☐

No

areas or elements carved out and the activity responsible for inspections. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.)

DSS is relieved of all industrial security inspections for contractor performance on the installation.

Inspections will be conducted according to the Contractor Visitor Group Agreement established between the Contractor and the SSA. The SSA for the overseas region is:

TBD

- 16. CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE.** Security requirements stated herein are complete and adequate for safeguarding the classified information to be released or generated under this classified effort. All questions shall be referred to the official names below.

a. TYPED NAME OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

NEIL S. MILLER

b. TITLE

Contracting Officer
Force Protection C2 Systems

c. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

(781) 377-8560

d. ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)

5 Eglin St. Bldg 1624
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2100

e. SIGNATURE

17. REQUIRED DISTRIBUTION

☒

a. CONTRACTOR

☒

b. SUBCONTRACTOR

☒

c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE FOR PRIME AND SUBCONTRACTOR

☒

d. U.S. ACTIVITY RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEAS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

☒

e. ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTING OFFICER

☒

f. OTHERS AS NECESSARY: ESC/INP and HQ/USAFE/SPI

AWARD-FEE PLAN

FOR

Integrated Base Defense Security System (IBDSS) Acquisition

10 Apr 03

(Contractor's Name)

APPROVED:

**Fee Determining Official
HOWARD BORST, Colonel, USAF
Director
Force Protection C2 Systems
ESC/FD**

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Organization	3
3.0	Responsibilities	3
4.0	Award-Fee Processes	4
5.0	Award-Fee Plan Change Procedure	5
6.0	Contract Termination	5

Annexes

<u>Annex</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Award-fee Organization	7
2	Award-Fee Allocation by Evaluation Periods	8
3	Award-Fee Rating Definitions	9
4	Evaluation Criteria	10
5	Performance Area Weighting	15

6. AWARD-FEE PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This award-fee plan is the basis for the Integrated Base Security Systems (IBDSS) Acquisition evaluation of the contractor's performance and for presenting an assessment of that performance to the Fee Determining Official (FDO). It describes specific criteria and procedures used to assess the contractor's performance and to determine the amount of award fee earned. Actual award-fee determinations and the methodology for determining award fee are unilateral decisions made solely at the discretion of the Government.

The award fee will be evaluated and awarded by Delivery Orders (DOs) issued for efforts against CLINs 0003,0004, 0008,and 0012. It will be provided to the contractor through contract modifications and is in addition to the Cost Reimbursement provisions of the contract. The award fee earned and payable will be determined by the FDO based upon review of the contractor's performance against the criteria set forth in this plan. The FDO may unilaterally change this plan prior to the beginning of an evaluation period. The contractor will be notified of changes to the plan by the Contracting Officer, in writing, before the start of the affected evaluation period. Changes to this plan that are applicable to a current evaluation period will be incorporated by mutual consent of both parties.

2.0 ORGANIZATION

The award-fee organization consists of: the Fee Determining Official (FDO); an Award Fee Review Board (AFRB) which consists of a chairperson, the contracting officer, a recorder, other functional area participants, and advisor members; and the Performance Monitors. The FDO, AFRB members, and performance monitors are listed in Annex 1.

3.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

a. **Fee Determining Official.** The FDO approves the award-fee plan and any significant changes. The FDO reviews the recommendation(s) of the AFRB, considers all pertinent data, and determines the earned award-fee amount for each evaluation period.

b. **Award Fee Review Board.** AFRB members review Performance Monitors' evaluation of the contractor's performance, consider all information from pertinent sources, prepare interim performance reports, and arrive at an earned award-fee recommendation to be presented to the FDO. The AFRB may also recommend changes to this plan.

c. **AFRB Recorder**. The AFRB recorder is responsible for coordinating the administrative actions required by the Performance Monitors, the AFRB and the FDO, including: 1) receipt, processing and distribution of evaluation reports from all required sources; 2) scheduling and assisting with internal evaluation milestones, such as briefings; and 3) accomplishing other actions required to ensure the smooth operation of the award fee.

d. **Contracting Officer (CO)**. The CO is the liaison between contractor and Government personnel.

e. **Performance Monitors**. Performance Monitors maintain written records of the contractor's performance in their assigned evaluation area(s) so that a fair and accurate evaluation is obtained. Prepare interim and end-of-period evaluation reports as directed by the AFRB.

4.0 AWARD-FEE PROCESSES

a. **Available Award-Fee Amount**. The available award fee for each evaluation period is shown in Annex 2. The award fee earned will be paid based on the contractor's performance during each evaluation period. Unearned award fee will not be rolled over into future evaluation periods.

b. **Evaluation Criteria**. If the CO does not give specific notice in writing to the contractor of any change to the evaluation criteria prior to the start of a new evaluation period, then the same criteria listed for the preceding period will be used in the subsequent award-fee evaluation period. Any changes to evaluation criteria will be made by revising Annex 3 and notifying the contractor.

c. **Interim Evaluation Process**. The AFRB Recorder notifies each AFRB member and Performance Monitor 14 calendar days before the midpoint of the evaluation period. Performance Monitors submit their evaluation reports to the AFRB 7 calendar days after this notification. The AFRB determines the interim evaluation results and notifies the contractor of the strength and weaknesses for the current evaluation period. The CO may also issue letters at any other time when it is deemed necessary to highlight areas of Government concern.

d. **End-of-Period Evaluations**. The AFRB Recorder notifies each AFRB member and performance monitor 30 calendar days before the end of the evaluation period. Performance monitors submit their evaluation reports to the AFRB 14 calendar days after the end of the evaluation period. The AFRB prepares its evaluation report and recommendation of earned award fee. The AFRB briefs the evaluation report and recommendation to the FDO. At this time, the AFRB may also recommend any significant changes to the award-fee plan for FDO approval. The FDO determines the overall grade and earned award-fee amount for the evaluation period within 30 calendar days after each evaluation period. The FDO letter informs the contractor of the earned award-fee amount. The CO issues a contract modification within 7 calendar days after the FDO's decision is made authorizing payment of the earned-award-fee amount.

e. **Contractor's Self-Assessment**. When the contractor chooses to submit a self-evaluation, it must be submitted to the CO within five working days. This written assessment of the contractor's performance throughout the evaluation period may also contain any information that may be reasonably expected to assist the AFRB in evaluating the contractor's performance. The contractor's self-assessment may not exceed 5 pages.

5.0 AWARD-FEE PLAN CHANGE PROCEDURE

All significant changes are approved by the FDO; the AFRB Chairperson approves other changes. Examples of significant changes include changing evaluation criteria, adjusting weights to redirect contractor's emphasis to areas needing improvement, and revising the distribution of the award-fee dollars. The contractor may recommend changes to the CO no later than 14 days prior to the beginning of the new evaluation period. After approval, the CO shall notify the contractor in writing of any change(s). Unilateral changes may be made to the award-fee plan if the contractor is provided written notification by the contracting officer before the start of the upcoming evaluation period. Changes effecting the current evaluation period must be by mutual agreement of both parties.

6.0 CONTRACT TERMINATION

If the contract is terminated for the convenience of the Government after the start of an award-fee evaluation period, the award fee deemed earned for that period shall be determined by the FDO using the normal award-fee evaluation process. After termination for convenience, the remaining award-fee amounts allocated to all subsequent award-fee evaluation periods cannot be earned by the contractor and, therefore, shall not be paid.

7.0 GENERAL INFORMATION.

a. The term of the contract is for five years, with delivery orders as required during the period of the contract.

b. The base award fee is zero dollars.

c. The definitions of the grades used to evaluate the contractor's performance are in Annex 3.

d. Each CLIN 0003, 0004, 0008, and 0012 Delivery Order (DO) will constitute a separate award fee effort. For extended DOs (over six months) the Government will unilaterally indicate in the DO the award fee evaluation periods and the allocation of the available award fee by evaluation periods.

e. The categories of performance to be evaluated, and the evaluation criteria used to grade each category of performance are listed in Annex 4.

f. The Performance Area Weighting worksheet is contained in Annex 5. It identifies the weights associated with the performance categories. In no way do these percentages imply that mathematical precision is applied to the judgments used to determine the overall performance quality and amount of earned award fee. As contract work progresses from one evaluation period, milestone, or delivery order into the next, the relative importance of specific performance criteria may change.

g. Any award fee associated with this contract shall be exclusive of the cost-reimbursement items.

5 Annexes

1. Award-fee Organization
2. Award-Fee Allocation by Evaluation
Periods
3. Award-Fee Rating Definition
4. Evaluation Criteria
5. Performance Area Weighting

**ANNEX 1
AWARD FEE ORGANIZATION**

Members

Fee Determining Official (Program Director):	ESC/FD
Award Fee Review Board Chairperson (Program Director):	ESC/FD_
Award Fee Review Board Members:	
Program Manager	ESC/FD_
Contracting Officer	ESC/FDK
Logistics Manager	ESC/FDL
Recorder	ESC/FD_
Financial Management Staff Member	ESC/FDX
DPRO Representative	DPRO
Using Command System Manager	FDO

Performance Monitors

Area of Evaluation

Technical Performance	ESC/FD_ and FDL
Schedule Performance	ESC/FD_ and FDL
Cost Performance	ESC/FDX, DPRO
Systems Engineering	ESC/FD_
Test Management	FDOT

ANNEX 2
AWARD FEE ALLOCATION BY EVALUATION PERIOD(S)

For DOs that are six months or less, the award fee earned by the contractor will be determined at the completion of the performance of each delivery order. For delivery orders that extend beyond six months, the Government will perform an evaluation at each six-month point. The amount of award fee available to be earned for each delivery order will not exceed 15% of the estimated cost of the delivery order. The available award fee allocated for each evaluation period is the maximum amount that can be earned during that particular evaluation period.

BASIC CONTRACT PERIOD (CLINs 0003, 0004, 0008 and 0012)

Evaluation Period	Milestone(s)	Expected Completion Date	Available Award Fee*
6 Months or less	TBD by DO	IAW Section F of Applicable Delivery Order	TBD by DO

* Will be computed in and expressed in dollars with the award of each delivery order.

ANNEX 3
AWARD FEE RATING DEFINITIONS

Excellent Performance: The contractor's performance of virtually all contract tasks is consistently noteworthy and provides numerous significant, tangible or intangible, benefits to the Government. The few areas for improvement are all minor. There are no recurring problems. The contractor's management initiates effective corrective action whenever needed.

Very Good Performance: The contractor's performance of most contract tasks is consistently above standard and provides significant tangible and intangible benefits to the Government (e.g., improved quality, responsiveness, increased timeliness, or generally enhanced effectiveness of operations). Although some areas may require improvement, these areas are minor and are more than offset by better performance in other areas. Few, if any, recurring problems have been noted, and the contractor takes satisfactory corrective action.

Satisfactory Performance: The contractor's performance of most contract tasks is adequate with some tangible benefits to the Government due to the contractor's effort or initiative. Although there are areas of good or better performance, these are more or less offset by lower-rated performance in other areas.

Unsatisfactory Performance: The contractor's performance of most contract tasks is inadequate and inconsistent. Quality, responsiveness and timeliness in many areas require attention and action. Corrective actions have not been taken or are ineffective.

<u>General Rating</u>	<u>Score Point Range</u>
Excellent	91-100%
Very Good	76-90%
Satisfactory	57-75%
*Unsatisfactory	0-56%

* NOTE: Fee will not be earned for unsatisfactory performance

ANNEX 4 EVALUATION CRITERIA

1.0 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES. The categories to be evaluated are listed below:

2.0 CRITERIA

2.1 Technical Performance

2.2 Schedule Performance

2.3 Cost Performance

2.4 Systems Engineering

2.5 Test Management

2.0 CRITERIA

2.1 Technical Performance

Unsatisfactory:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The contractor's enhancements, integration, organizational level maintenance, training and/or new products do not meet project requirements. Contractor needs extensive Government guidance/involvement.• The enhancements require numerous fixes to enable interoperability/interchangeability.• Related technical documentation need several revisions for a complete and accurate package.• Equipment continues to fail and operators/maintainers are not capable of properly operating and/or maintaining equipment.
Satisfactory:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The contractor performs enhancements, integration, organizational level maintenance, training and/or adds new products which meet project requirements. Requires little Government guidance/involvement.• The enhancements require few fixes to enable interoperability/interchangeability.• Related technical documentation need some revision for a complete and accurate package.• Maintained equipment continues to operate at an expected level and operators and maintainers are capable with dealing with normal events.
Very Good:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The contractor performs enhancements, integration, organizational level maintenance, training and/or adds new products which exceed some project requirements. Requires very little Government guidance/involvement.• The enhancements require very few or minor fixes to enable interoperability/interchangeability.• Related technical documentation need few minor revisions for a complete and accurate package.• Maintained equipment continues to operate at better than an expected level and operators and maintainers are capable of dealing with abnormal events.
Excellent:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The contractor performs enhancements, integration, organizational level maintenance, training and/or adds new products which exceed most project requirements. Requires no Government guidance/involvement.• The enhancements require no fixes to enable interoperability/interchangeability.• Related technical documentation need no or very few minor revisions for a complete and accurate package.• Maintained equipment continues to operate at an exceptional level and operators and maintainers are capable with dealing with very difficult situations.

2.2 Schedule Performance

Unsatisfactory	The contractor slips the schedule by more than 10%. The contractor fails to recognize or identify schedule problems in sufficient time to take appropriate corrective action. Corrective actions fail to prevent schedule delay. Constant government surveillance is required to keep the job from slipping. Schedule reports are unclear and not easily reconcilable.
Satisfactory	The contractor does not slip the schedule by more than 10%. Schedule reporting is clear, accurate and timely. Schedule milestone tracking and projections are accurate. Schedule variances (including subcontractor) are identified early and plans for recovery are reported and implemented. The contractor provides early corrective action and planning to preclude potential delays in the schedule. Risk analyses of all proposed or required schedule changes are performed accurately and in a timely manner, and identify the impacts on all levels of the program. The contractor communicates schedule risk areas and proposed action to the Government well in advance of required action.
Very Good	Work is completed on schedule or up to 10% ahead of schedule with no adverse impact on cost and performance. Schedule milestone tracking and projections are very accurate and reflect true program status. Schedule baseline integrity is consistently maintained, and all changes are fully documented. The contractor executes innovative schedule management and planning to minimize the adverse impact of problems. Schedule variances are recovered with minimal impact to overall program goals. Analyses provide recommendations for solutions and/or corrective action plans, and fully describe both current and future programmatic and cost impacts of the current schedule performance. The contractor performs necessary contingency planning and keeps close and timely communication with the Government on schedule issues.
Excellent	Work is completed 10% or more ahead of schedule with no adverse impact on coordination, performance or cost, to the overall benefit of the program. The contractor consistently provides accurate forecasts. Schedule data and projections are comprehensive, clear and extremely accurate. They provide excellent correlation with cost performance reports, permit easy and early identification of problem areas, and prevent adverse program impact.

2.3 Cost Performance.

Unsatisfactory	Considerable cost overrun. No effort made to manage costs or investigate cause of overrun. No actions taken to reduce overrun.
Satisfactory	Provides measures for controlling costs. Occasional minor resource management problems. Efforts made to identify cause of overrun and corrective actions taken to reduce overrun. Provides adequate visibility into cost performance to the SPO.
Very Good	Provides measures for controlling all costs below contract estimated costs. Continuous effort to avoid cost overruns. Considers costs in recommendations to the SPO. Funds and resources are always used in a cost-effective manner. There are no apparent resource management problems. Provides very good day-to-day visibility into cost performance to the SPO.
Excellent	Reductions in direct costs to the Government below contract estimated costs are noteworthy. Provides detailed cost analysis in recommendations to the SPO for problem resolution. Funds and resources are optimally used to provide the maximum benefit for the funds and resources available. Savings are documented. Extensive efforts are made to identify and implement methods for reducing costs. Financial reporting is clear, accurate, and pro-active.

2.4 Systems Engineering

Unsatisfactory	The contractor's Systems Engineering efforts have resulted in solutions that have significant omissions or incompatibilities and require significant rework.
Satisfactory	The contractor's Systems Engineering has resulted in acceptable capabilities with only some minor deficiencies.
Very Good	The contractor's Systems Engineering has resulted in solutions that provide efficient and effective capabilities.
Excellent	The contractor's Systems Engineering has provided exceptional results with capabilities that exceed the requirements.

2.5 Test Management

Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Testing of the enhancements, integration or new products result in several deficiencies, some of which are not easily correctable.- Subsequent major re-testing required at Government test site to demonstrate corrections.
Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Testing of the enhancements, integration or new products result in some deficiencies, all of which are correctable.- Some re-testing may be required at Government test site to demonstrate corrections.
Very Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Testing of the enhancements, integration or new products result in few minor deficiencies, all of which are correctable.- No re-testing is required at Government test site.
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Testing of the enhancements, integration or new products result in no deficiencies or very few minor deficiencies, all of which are easily correctable.- No re-testing is required.

ANNEX 5
PERFORMANCE AREA WEIGHTING

<u>Performance Area Rating</u>	<u>Rating</u>	<u>Weighting**</u>	<u>Weighted</u>
1.1 Technical Performance	_____	X .40	= _____%
1.2 Schedule Performance	_____	X .15	= _____%
1.3 Cost Performance	_____	X .30	= _____%
1.4 Systems Engineering	_____	X .10	= _____%
1.5 Test Management	_____	X .05	= _____%
TOTAL WEIGHTED RATING:			= _____%
AWARD FEE AVAILABLE FOR THIS PERIOD:			= \$ _____
AMOUNT OF AWARD FEE RECOMMENDED FOR PAYMENT:			= \$ _____

<u>General Rating</u>	<u>Score Point Range</u>
Excellent	91-100%
Very Good	76-90%
Satisfactory	57-75%
*Unsatisfactory	0-56%

* NOTE: Fee will not be earned for unsatisfactory performance

** These weightings will be used to place emphasis on certain areas of the contractor's performance. The individual weightings may be revised by the Award Fee Review Board to reflect changes in mission priorities.

ATTACHMENT 8 - DELIVERY ORDER (DO) & TASK ORDER (TO) AWARD PROCESS

14 April 03

1.0 Equipment Assembly, Manufacturing, and Delivery Orders (DO)

The Government intends to issue an RFQ to all IBDSS contractors. The RFQ will contain a list of the ordered equipment, the associated need date(s) and shipping instructions. Contractor responses will contain a delivery schedule, equipment price(s) and shipping cost(s). The Contractor shall take no action until the DO is issued.

1.1 Site Design, Installation and Sustainment Task Orders (TO)

The Government intends to utilize a Request for Proposal (RFP) to the IBDSS contractors for Site Specific Task Orders (SSTO). Included in the SSTO RFP will be a statement of objectives for the site, site system performance specification and all releasable requirements documentation (e.g., Security System Project Description (SSPD)) and an invitation to participate in a site visit, which will typically take place within 10 days after release of the SSTO RFP. Proposal submittal will depend on the complexity effort. In addition, the Government may request a change in contract type in the SSTO RFP, from Cost Plus Award Fee to Fixed Price Award Fee, if the project risks for the site are acceptable and warrant doing so. Participation in the site visit will be at the contractor's expense and is intended to provide contractors the opportunity to view site conditions first hand and ask clarifying questions regarding site characterization data provided during the visit. Contractors electing not to participate in the site visit may request the site characterization data, handed out during the visit, be mailed to them. Responding contractors will then be responsible for the following: developing a systems design, tailoring the contract statement of work (SOW) and proposing by work breakdown structure (WBS), the expenditures and integrated schedules for specific work to be accomplished. The Contractor's proposal shall include a tailored SOW, a system specification, a site design and installation plan and the other information described below.

- a. Assumptions made in selection and availability of equipment proposed in the conceptual design.
- b. For any new equipment, not previously installed, include a narrative as to why that particular piece of equipment was selected; a technical data package containing the performance specifications of the product (i.e., product "cut sheet") and available test data (including test plan used to acquire the data); and references, if any, of where the product is currently in use in a similar manner.
- c. Assumptions made in regard to availability of information about the site, access to the installation site and its facilities, installation manpower support, etc.
- d. An event based integrated master schedule (IMS) by WBS level three consistent with annex 4. The schedules shall also include the following additional items:
 - Travel
 - Meetings
 - Document deliveries
 - Equipment deliveries

Based on proposals received, the Government may or may not award a DO for the site-specific installation effort. The Contractor shall take no action until the TO is issued.

1.2 CLS Task Order

The Government intends to issue a TO RFP for depot level hardware and software maintenance of existing, fielded IBDSS equipment and all IBDSS equipment provided by task or delivery order under the IBDSS contract(s) within 120 days after award of the IBDSS contract(s). The CLS task order will consolidate all depot maintenance for IBDSS equipment under a single IBDSS contractor. The CLS Contractor will be responsible for the repair or replacement of all failed line replaceable units and will maintain depot level spares at the contractor's facility to support the program spares concept. Contractor proposals shall be based upon the sustainment approaches captured in the Integrated Master Plan.

Based on proposals received, the Government may or may not award a TO for the CLS – Depot Level effort. The Contractor shall take no action until the TO is issued.

1.3 Technology Insertion and Integration Task Orders

The Government intends to issue RFP to IBDSS contractors for technology insertion and integration efforts through out the life of the contract. The TO RFPs will contain a SOO and if applicable Technical Requirements Document (TRD). Contractor proposals shall include the following:

- a. A descriptive narrative of the contractor's understanding of the requested effort
- b. Assumptions made in preparing the proposal
- c. A tailored SOW
- d. Estimated material, labor and travel costs (including equipment rental) by CLIN and by WBS. Labor costs shall be based on B-1 Table labor rates.
- e. Narrative to provide justification of hours for each labor category by each WBS level two element.
- f. An event based integrated master schedule (IMS) by WBS level three.

Based on proposals received, the Government may or may not award a TO for the proposed effort. The Contractor shall take no action until the TO is issued.

1.4 Other Task Orders

The Government may issue RFP to IBDSS contractors for other efforts within the scope of the contract throughout the life of the contract. These RFPs at a minimum will contain a SOO. Contractor shall respond with proposals that include the following:

- a. A descriptive narrative of the contractor's understanding of the requested effort
- b. Assumptions made in preparing the proposal
- c. A tailored SOW
- d. Estimated material, labor and travel costs (including equipment rental) by CLIN and by WBS. Labor costs shall be based on B-1 Table labor rates.
- e. Narrative to provide justification of hours for each labor category by each WBS level two element.
- f. An event based integrated master schedule (IMS) by WBS level three.

Based on proposals received, the Government may or may not award a TO for the proposed effort. The Contractor shall take no action until the TO is issued.

1.5 Other Delivery Orders

The Government intends to issue an RFQ to all IBDSS contractors for other efforts within the scope of the contract throughout the life of the contract. The RFQ will contain a list of the ordered products, delivery dates and delivery instructions. Contractor responses will contain a delivery schedule, product price(s) and delivery costs. The Contractor shall take no action until the DO is issued.

1.6 Evaluation Factors and their Relative Order of Importance

The Government will award to the offeror whose proposal is deemed most advantageous to the Government based upon an integrated assessment using the following evaluation factors: mission capability, proposal risk, past performance and cost/price. For purposes of evaluation, mission capability, past performance and cost/price will be of equal importance and of greater importance than proposal risk. Subfactors, if any, for each factor and any changes to the relative order of importance will be provided in the DO or TO RFP.

7. INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE SECURITY SYSTEM

7.1 RELOCATABLE EQUIPMENT DEFINITIONS

F19628-03-R-0064

7.1.1 TO BE PROVIDED BY SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR

I. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

52.222-38 COMPLIANCE WITH VETERANS' EMPLOYMENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS (DEC 2001)
52.225-02 BUY AMERICAN ACT CERTIFICATE (MAY 2002)

B. DEFENSE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

252.209-7001 DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL BY THE GOVERNMENT OF A TERRORIST COUNTRY (MAR 1998)

II. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

52.203-02 CERTIFICATE OF INDEPENDENT PRICE DETERMINATION (APR 1985)

(a) The offeror certifies that--

(1) The prices in this offer have been arrived at independently, without, for the purpose of restricting competition, any consultation, communication, or agreement with any other offeror or competitor relating to (i) those prices, (ii) the intention to submit an offer, or (iii) the methods or factors used to calculate the prices offered;

(2) The prices in this offer have not been and will not be knowingly disclosed by the offeror, directly or indirectly, to any other offeror or competitor before bid opening (in the case of a sealed bid solicitation) or contract award (in the case of a negotiated solicitation) unless otherwise required by law; and

(3) No attempt has been made or will be made by the offeror to induce any other concern to submit or not to submit an offer for the purpose of restricting competition.

(b) Each signature on the offer is considered to be a certification by the signatory that the signatory--

(1) Is the person in the offeror's organization responsible for determining the prices being offered in this bid or proposal, and that the signatory has not participated and will not participate in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this provision; or

(2) (i) Has been authorized, in writing, to act as agent for the following principals in certifying that those principals have not participated, and will not participate in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this provision ____ (insert full name of person(s) in the offeror's organization responsible for determining the prices offered in this bid or proposal, and the title of his or her position in the offeror's organization);

(ii) As an authorized agent, does certify that the principals named in subdivision (b)(2)(i) of this provision have not participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this provision; and

(iii) As an agent, has not personally participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this provision; and

(c) If the offeror deletes or modifies subparagraph (a)(2) of this provision, the offeror must furnish with its offer a signed statement setting forth in detail the circumstances of the disclosure.
Applies to Firm-Fixed-Price CLIN(s) only.

52.203-11 CERTIFICATION AND DISCLOSURE REGARDING PAYMENTS TO INFLUENCE CERTAIN FEDERAL TRANSACTIONS (DEVIATION) (APR 1991)

(Applicable only to this instant procurement, not to 'any' contract, and only if proposal or resultant contract is in excess of \$100,000).

(a) The definitions and prohibitions contained in the clause, at FAR 52.203-12, Limitation on Payments to Influence Certain Federal Transactions, included in this solicitation, are hereby incorporated by reference in paragraph (b) of this certification.

(b) The offeror, by signing its offer, hereby certifies to the best of his or her knowledge and belief that on or after December 23, 1989--

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress on his or her behalf in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds (including profit or fee received under a covered Federal transaction) have been paid, or will be paid, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress on his or her behalf in connection with this solicitation, the offeror shall complete and submit, with its offer, OMB standard form LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, to the Contracting Officer; and

(3) He or she will include the language of this certification in all subcontract awards at any tier and require that all recipients of subcontract awards in excess of \$100,000 shall certify and disclose accordingly.

(c) Submission of this certification and disclosure is a prerequisite for making or entering into this contract imposed by section 1352, title 31, United States Code. Any person who makes an expenditure prohibited under this provision or who fails to file or amend the disclosure form to be filed or amended by this provision, shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000, and not more than \$100,000, for each such failure.

52.204-03 TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION (OCT 1998)

(a) Definitions.

Common parent, as used in this provision, means that corporate entity that owns or controls an affiliated group of corporations that files its Federal income tax returns on a consolidated basis, and of which the offeror is a member.

Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN), as used in this provision, means the number required by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to be used by the offeror in reporting income tax and other returns. The TIN may be either a Social Security Number or an Employer Identification Number.

(b) All offerors must submit the information required in paragraphs (d) through (f) of this provision to comply with debt collection requirements of 31 U.S.C. 7701(c) and 3325(d), reporting requirements of 26 U.S.C. 6041, 6041A, and 6050M, and implementing regulations issued by the IRS. If the resulting contract is subject to the payment reporting requirements described in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 4.904, the failure or refusal by the offeror to furnish the information may result in a 31 percent reduction of payments otherwise due under the contract.

(c) The TIN may be used by the Government to collect and report on any delinquent amounts arising out of the offeror's relationship with the Government (31 U.S.C. 7701(c)(3)). If the resulting contract is subject to the payment reporting requirements described in FAR 4.904, the TIN provided hereunder may be matched with IRS records to verify the accuracy of the offeror's TIN.

(d) Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN).

☐ TIN:-----

☐ TIN has been applied for.

☐ TIN is not required because:

☐ Offeror is a nonresident alien, foreign corporation, or foreign partnership that does not have income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and does not have an office or place of business or a fiscal paying agent in the United States;

☐ Offeror is an agency or instrumentality of a foreign government;

☐ Offeror is an agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government.

(e) Type of organization.

☐ Sole proprietorship;

☐ Partnership;

☐ Corporate entity (not tax-exempt);

☐ Corporate entity (tax-exempt);

☐ Government entity (Federal, State, or local);

☐ Foreign government;

☐ International organization per 26 CFR 1.6049-4;

☐ Other-----

(f) Common parent.

☐ Offeror is not owned or controlled by a common parent as defined in paragraph (a) of this provision.

☐ Name and TIN of common parent:

Name-----

TIN-----

**52.209-05 CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, PROPOSED DEBARMENT,
AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS (DEC 2001)**

(a)

(1) The Offeror certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that --

(i) The Offeror and/or any of its Principals --

(A) Are ☐ are not ☐ presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, or declared ineligible for the award of contracts by any Federal agency;

(B) Have ☐ have not ☐, within a three-year period preceding this offer, been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for: commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, state, or local) contract or subcontract; violation of Federal or state antitrust statutes relating to the submission of offers; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, or receiving stolen property; and

(C) Are ☐ are not ☐ presently indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with, commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(B) of this provision.

(ii) The offeror has ☐ has not ☐ within a three-year period preceding this officer, had one or more contracts terminated for default by any Federal agency.

(2) "Principals," for the purposes of this certification, means officers; directors; owners; partners; and, persons having primary management or supervisory responsibilities within a business entity (e.g., general manager; plant manager; head of a subsidiary, division, or business segment, and similar positions).

This Certification Concerns a Matter Within the Jurisdiction of an Agency of the United States and the Making of a False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Certification May Render the Maker Subject to Prosecution Under Section 1001, Title 18, United States Code.

(b) The Offeror shall provide immediate written notice to the Contracting Officer if, at any time prior to contract award, the Offeror learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

(c) A certification that any of the items in paragraph (a) of this provision exists will not necessarily result in withholding of an award under this solicitation. However, the certification will be considered in connection with a determination of the Offeror's responsibility. Failure of the Offeror to furnish a certification or provide such additional information as requested by the Contracting Officer may render the Offeror nonresponsive.

(d) Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render, in good faith, the certification required by paragraph (a) of this provision. The knowledge and information of an Offeror is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

(e) The certification in paragraph (a) of this provision is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when making award. If it is later determined that the Offeror knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Government, the Contracting Officer may terminate the contract resulting from this solicitation for default.

52.219-01 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS (APR 2002) - ALTERNATE I (APR 2002)

(a)

(1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is 578731.

(2) The small business size standard is 750.

(3) The small business size standard for a concern which submits an offer in its own name, other than on a construction or service contract, but which proposes to furnish a product which it did not itself manufacture, is 500 employees.

(b) Representations.

(1) The offeror represents as part of its offer that it [] is, [] is not a small business concern.

(2) (Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.) The offeror represents, for general statistical purposes, that it [] is, [] is not, a small disadvantaged business concern as defined in 13 CFR 124.1002.

(3) (Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.) The offeror represents as part of its offer that it [] is, [] is not a women-owned small business concern.

(4) (Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.) The offeror represents as part of its offer that it [] is, [] is not a veteran-owned small business concern.

(5) (Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a veteran-owned small business concern in paragraph (b)(4) of this provision.) The offeror represents as part of its offer that it [] is, [] is not a service-disabled veteran-owned small business concern.

(6) (Complete only if the offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.) The offeror represents, as part of its offer, that--

(i) It [] is, [] is not a HUBZone small business concern listed, on the date of this representation, on the List of Qualified HUBZone Small Business Concerns maintained by the Small Business Administration, and no material change in ownership and control, principal office, or HUBZone employee percentage has occurred since it was certified by the Small Business Administration in accordance with 13 CFR part 126; and

(ii) It [] is, [] is not a joint venture that complies with the requirements of 13 CFR part 126, and the representation in paragraph (b)(6)(i) of this provision is accurate of the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture. [The offeror shall enter the name or names of the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture: .] Each HUBZone small business concern participating in the joint venture shall submit a separate signed copy of the HUBZone representation.

(c) Definitions. As used in this provision--

"Service-disabled veteran-owned small business concern"--

(1) Means a small business concern-

(i) Not less than 51 percent of which is owned by one or more service-disabled veterans or, in the case of any publicly owned business, not less than 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more service-disabled veterans; and

(ii) The management and daily business operations of which are controlled by one or more service-disabled veterans or, in the case of a veteran with permanent and severe disability, the spouse or permanent caregiver of such veteran.

(2) Service-disabled veteran means a veteran, as defined in 38 U.S.C. 101(2), with a disability that is service-connected, as defined in 38 U.S.C. 101(16).

"Small business concern," means a concern, including its affiliates, that is independently owned and operated, not dominant in the field of operation in which it is bidding on Government contracts, and qualified as a small business under the criteria in 13 CFR Part 121 and the size standard in paragraph (a) of this provision.

"Veteran-owned small business concern" means a small business concern-

(1) Not less than 51 percent of which is owned by one or more veterans (as defined at 38 U.S.C. 101(2)) or, in the case of any publicly owned business, not less than 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more veterans; and

(2) The management and daily business operations of which are controlled by one or more veterans.

"Women-owned small business concern," means a small business concern --

(1) That is at least 51 percent owned by one or more women; or, in the case of any publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of the stock of which is owned by one or more women; and

(2) Whose management and daily business operations are controlled by one or more women.

(d) Notice.

(1) If this solicitation is for supplies and has been set aside, in whole or in part, for small business concerns, then the clause in this solicitation providing notice of the set-aside contains restrictions on the source of the end items to be furnished.

(2) Under 15 U.S.C. 645(d), any person who misrepresents a firm's status as a small, HUBZone small, small disadvantaged, or women-owned small business concern in order to obtain a contract to be awarded under the preference programs established pursuant to section 8(a), 8(d), 9, or 15 of the Small Business Act or any other provision of Federal law that specifically references section 8(d) for a definition of program eligibility, shall --

(i) Be punished by imposition of fine, imprisonment, or both;

(ii) Be subject to administrative remedies, including suspension and debarment; and

(iii) Be ineligible for participation in programs conducted under the authority of the Act.

Alternate I (Apr 2002). As prescribed in 19.307(a)(2), add the following paragraph (b)(7) to the basic provision:

(7) [Complete if offeror represented itself as disadvantaged in paragraph (b)(2) of this provision.]
The offeror shall check the category in which its ownership falls:

___ Black American.

___ Hispanic American.

___ Native American (American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians).

___ Asian-Pacific American (persons with origins from Burma, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, Brunei, Japan, China, Taiwan, Laos, Cambodia (Kampuchea), Vietnam, Korea, The Philippines, U.S. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (Republic of Palau), Republic of the Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Samoa, Macao, Hong Kong, Fiji, Tonga, Kiribati, Tuvalu, or Nauru).

___ Subcontinent Asian (Asian-Indian) American (persons with origins from India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Bhutan, the Maldives Islands, or Nepal).

___ Individual/concern, other than one of the preceding.

52.219-22 SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS STATUS (OCT 1999)

(a) General. This provision is used to assess an offeror's small disadvantaged business status for the purpose of obtaining a benefit on this solicitation. Status as a small business and status as a small disadvantaged business for general statistical purposes is covered by the provision at FAR 52.219-1, Small Business Program Representation.

(b) Representations.

(1) General. The offeror represents, as part of its offer, that it is a small business under the size standard applicable to this acquisition; and either-

___(i) It has received certification by the Small Business Administration as a small disadvantaged business concern consistent with 13 CFR 124, Subpart B; and

(A) No material change in disadvantaged ownership and control has occurred since its certification; and

(B) Where the concern is owned by one or more disadvantaged individuals, the net worth of each individual upon whom the certification is based does not exceed \$750,000 after taking into account the applicable exclusions set forth at 13 CFR 124.104(c)(2); and

(C) It is identified, on the date of its representation, as a certified small disadvantaged business concern in the database maintained by the Small Business Administration (PRO-Net); or

___(ii) It has submitted a completed application to the Small Business Administration or a Private Certifier to be certified as a small disadvantaged business concern in accordance with 13 CFR 124, Subpart B, and a decision on that application is pending, and that no material change in disadvantaged ownership and control has occurred since its application was submitted.

(2) ___ For Joint Ventures. The offeror represents, as part of its offer, that it is a joint venture that complies with the requirements at 13 CFR 124.1002(f) and that the representation in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision is accurate for the small disadvantaged business concern that is participating in the joint venture. [The offeror shall enter the name of the small disadvantaged business concern that is participating in the joint venture: _____.]

(c) Penalties and Remedies. Anyone who misrepresents any aspects of the disadvantaged status of a concern for the purposes of securing a contract or subcontract shall:

(1) Be punished by imposition of a fine, imprisonment, or both;

(2) Be subject to administrative remedies, including suspension and debarment; and

(3) Be ineligible for participation in programs conducted under the authority of the Small Business Act.

52.222-22 PREVIOUS CONTRACTS AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS (FEB 1999)

The offeror represents that--

(a) It ☐ has, ☐ has not participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to the Equal Opportunity clause of this solicitation;

(b) It ☐ has, ☐ has not, filed all required compliance reports; and

(c) Representations indicating submission of required compliance reports, signed by proposed subcontractors, will be obtained before subcontract awards.

52.222-25 AFFIRMATIVE ACTION COMPLIANCE (APR 1984)

The offeror represents that

(a) It ☐ has developed and has on file, ☐ has not developed and does not have on file, at each establishment, affirmative action programs required by the rules and regulations of the Secretary of Labor (41 CFR 60-1 and 60-2), or

(b) It ☐ has not previously had contracts subject to the written affirmative action programs requirement of the rules and regulations of the Secretary of Labor.

52.223-13 CERTIFICATION OF TOXIC CHEMICAL RELEASE REPORTING (OCT 2000)

(a) Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this contract imposed by Executive Order 12969, August 8, 1995.-

(b) By signing this offer, the offeror certifies that----

(1) As the owner or operator of facilities that will be used in the performance of this contract that are subject to the filing and reporting requirements described in section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA) (42 U.S.C. 11023) and section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990 (PPA) (42 U.S.C. 13106), the offeror will file and continue to file for such facilities for the life of the contract the Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form (Form R) as described in sections 313(a) and (g) of EPCRA and section 6607 of PPA; or--

(2) None of its owned or operated facilities to be used in the performance of this contract is subject to the Form R filing and reporting requirements because each such facility is exempt for at least one of the following reasons: (Check each block that is applicable.)-

_____ (i) The facility does not manufacture, process, or otherwise use any toxic chemicals listed under section 313(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. 11023(c);-

_____ (ii) The facility does not have 10 or more full-time employees as specified in section 313(b)(1)(A) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. 11023(b)(1)(A);-

_____ (iii) The facility does not meet the reporting thresholds of toxic chemicals established under section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. 11023(f) (including the alternate thresholds at 40 CFR 372.27, provided an appropriate certification form has been filed with EPA);

_____ (iv) The facility does not fall within Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC) major groups 20 through 39 or their corresponding North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) sectors 31 through 33; or

_____ (v) The facility is not located within any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the United States Virgin Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, or any other territory or possession over which the United States has jurisdiction.

52.230-01 COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS NOTICES AND CERTIFICATION (JUN 2000)

Note: This notice does not apply to small businesses or foreign governments. This notice is in three parts, identified by Roman numerals I through III.

Offerors shall examine each part and provide the requested information in order to determine Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) requirements applicable to any resultant contract.

If the offeror is an educational institution, Part II does not apply unless the contemplated contract will be subject to full or modified CAS coverage pursuant to 48 CFR 9903.201-2(c)(5) or 9903.201-2(c)(6), respectively.

I. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT--COST ACCOUNTING PRACTICES AND CERTIFICATION

(a) Any contract in excess of \$500,000 resulting from this solicitation will be subject to the requirements of the Cost Accounting Standards Board (48 CFR Chapter 99), except for those contracts which are exempt as specified in 48 CFR 9903.201-1.

(b) Any offeror submitting a proposal which, if accepted, will result in a contract subject to the requirements of 48 CFR Chapter 99 must, as a condition of contracting, submit a Disclosure Statement as required by 48 CFR 9903.202. When required, the Disclosure Statement must be submitted as a part of the offeror's proposal under this solicitation unless the offeror has already submitted a Disclosure Statement disclosing the practices used in connection with the pricing of this proposal. If an applicable Disclosure Statement has already been submitted, the offeror may satisfy the requirement for submission by providing the information requested in paragraph (c) of Part I of this provision.

CAUTION: In the absence of specific regulations or agreement, a practice disclosed in a Disclosure Statement shall not, by virtue of such disclosure, be deemed to be a proper, approved, or agreed-to practice for pricing proposals or accumulating and reporting contract performance cost data.

(c) Check the appropriate box below:

☐ (1) Certificate of Concurrent Submission of Disclosure Statement.

The offeror hereby certifies that, as a part of the offer, copies of the Disclosure Statement have been submitted as follows: (i) original and one copy to the cognizant Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) or cognizant Federal agency official authorized to act in that capacity (Federal official), as applicable, and (ii) one copy to the cognizant Federal auditor.

(Disclosure must be on Form No. CASB DS-1 or CASB DS-2, as applicable. Forms may be obtained from the cognizant ACO or Federal official and/or from the loose-leaf version of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.)

Date of Disclosure Statement: _____

Name and Address of Cognizant ACO or Federal Official Where Filed:

The offeror further certifies that the practices used in estimating costs in pricing this proposal are consistent with the cost accounting practices disclosed in the Disclosure Statement.

☐ (2) Certificate of Previously Submitted Disclosure Statement.

The offeror hereby certifies that the required Disclosure Statement was filed as follows:

Date of Disclosure Statement: _____ Name and Address of Cognizant ACO or Federal
Official Where Filed: _____

The offeror further certifies that the practices used in estimating costs in pricing this proposal are consistent with the cost accounting practices disclosed in the applicable Disclosure Statement.

☐ (3) Certificate of Monetary Exemption.

The offeror hereby certifies that the offeror, together with all divisions, subsidiaries, and affiliates under common control, did not receive net awards of negotiated prime contracts and subcontracts subject to CAS totaling \$50 million or more in the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this proposal was submitted. The offeror further certifies that if such status changes before an award resulting from this proposal, the offeror will advise the Contracting Officer immediately.

☐ (4) Certificate of Interim Exemption.

The offeror hereby certifies that (i) the offeror first exceeded the monetary exemption for disclosure, as defined in (3) of this subsection, in the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this offer was submitted and (ii) in accordance with 48 CFR 9903.202-1, the offeror is not yet required to submit a Disclosure Statement. The offeror further certifies that if an award resulting from this proposal has not been made within 90 days after the end of that period, the offeror will immediately submit a revised certificate to the Contracting Officer, in the form specified under subparagraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of Part I of this provision, as appropriate, to verify submission of a completed Disclosure Statement.

CAUTION: Offerors currently required to disclose because they were awarded a CAS-covered prime contract or subcontract of \$50 million or more in the current cost accounting period may not claim this exemption (4). Further, the exemption applies only in connection with proposals submitted before expiration of the 90-day period following the cost accounting period in which the monetary exemption was exceeded.

II. COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS--ELIGIBILITY FOR MODIFIED CONTRACT COVERAGE

If the offeror is eligible to use the modified provisions of 48 CFR 9903.201-2(b) and elects to do so, the offeror shall indicate by checking the box below. Checking the box below shall mean that the resultant contract is subject to the Disclosure and Consistency of Cost Accounting Practices clause in lieu of the Cost Accounting Standards clause.

☐ The offeror hereby claims an exemption from the Cost Accounting Standards clause under the provisions of 48 CFR 9903.201-2(b) and certifies that the offeror is eligible for use of the Disclosure and Consistency of Cost Accounting Practices clause because during the cost accounting period immediately preceding the period in which this proposal was submitted, the offeror received less than \$50 million in awards of CAS-covered prime contracts and subcontracts. The offeror further certifies that if such status changes before an award resulting from this proposal, the offeror will advise the Contracting Officer immediately.

Caution: An offeror may not claim the above eligibility for modified contract coverage if this proposal is expected to result in the award of a CAS-covered contract of \$50 million or more or if, during its current cost accounting period, the offeror has been awarded a single CAS-covered prime contract or subcontract of \$50 million or more.

III. ADDITIONAL COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO EXISTING CONTRACTS

The offeror shall indicate below whether award of the contemplated contract would, in accordance with subparagraph (a)(3) of the Cost Accounting Standards clause, require a change in established cost accounting practices affecting existing contracts and subcontracts.

☐ YES ☐ NO

B. DEFENSE FAR SUPP SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

252.217-7026 IDENTIFICATION OF SOURCES OF SUPPLY (NOV 1995)

(a) The Government is required under 10 U.S.C. 2384 to obtain certain information on the actual manufacturer or sources of supplies it acquires.

(b) The apparently successful Offeror agrees to complete and submit the following table before award:

TABLE

Line	National	Commercial		Source of Supply	Actual
Items	Stock	Item		Company	Address Part No. Mfg?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5) (6)

(1) List each deliverable item of supply and item of technical data.

(2) If there is no national stock number, list "none."

(3) Use "Y" if the item is a commercial item; otherwise use "N." If "Y" is listed, the Offeror need not complete the remaining columns in the table.

(4) For items of supply, list all sources. For technical data, list the source.

(5) For items of supply, list each source's part number for the item.

(6) Use "Y" if the source of supply is the actual manufacturer; "N" if it is not; and "U" if unknown.

252.225-7000 BUY AMERICAN ACT-- BALANCE OF PAYMENTS PROGRAM CERTIFICATE (SEP 1999)

(a) Definitions. "Domestic end product," "qualifying country," "qualifying country end product," and "nonqualifying country end product" have the meanings given in the Buy American Act and Balance of Payments Program clause of this solicitation.

(b) Evaluation. Offers will be evaluated by giving preference to domestic end products and qualifying country end products over nonqualifying country end products.

(c) Certifications.

(1) The Offeror certifies that--

(i) Each end product, except those listed in paragraphs (c)(2) or (3) of this provision, is a domestic end product; and

(ii) Components of unknown origin are considered to have been mined, produced, or manufactured outside the United States or a qualifying country.

(2) The Offeror certifies that the following end products are qualifying country end products:

Line	Item Number	Qualifying Country End Products	Country of Origin
------	-------------	---------------------------------	-------------------

(List only qualifying country end products.)

(3) The Offeror certifies that the following end products are nonqualifying country end products:

Line Item Number	Nonqualifying Country End Products	Country of Origin (If known)
------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------

252.227-7017 IDENTIFICATION AND ASSERTION OF USE, RELEASE, OR DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS (JUN 1995)

(a) The terms used in this provision are defined in following clause or clauses contained in this solicitation--

(1) If a successful offeror will be required to deliver technical data, the Rights in Technical Data--Noncommercial Items clause, or, if this solicitation contemplates a contract under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software--Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) Program clause.

(2) If a successful offeror will not be required to deliver technical data, the Rights in Noncommercial Computer Software and Noncommercial Computer Software Documentation clause, or, if this solicitation contemplates a contract under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software--Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) Program clause.

(b) The identification and assertion requirements in this provision apply only to technical data, including computer software documentation, or computer software to be delivered with other than unlimited rights. For contracts to be awarded under the Small Business Innovative Research Program, the notification and identification requirements do not apply to technical data or computer software that will be generated under the resulting contract. Notification and identification is not required for restrictions based solely on copyright.

(c) Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall identify, to the extent known at the time an offer is submitted to the Government, the technical data or computer software that the Offeror, its subcontractors or suppliers, or potential subcontractors or suppliers, assert should be furnished to the Government with restrictions on use, release, or disclosure.

(d) The Offeror's assertions, including the assertions of its subcontractors or suppliers or potential subcontractors or suppliers shall be submitted as an attachment to its offer in the following format, dated and signed by an official authorized to contractually obligate the Offeror:

Identification and Assertion of Restrictions on the Government's Use, Release, or Disclosure of Technical Data or Computer Software.

The Offeror asserts for itself, or the persons identified below, that the Government's rights to use, release, or disclose the following technical data or computer software should be restricted:

Technical Data or Computer Software to be Furnished With Restrictions*	Basis for Assertion**	Asserted Rights Category***	Name of Person Asserting Restrictions****
---	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

*For technical data (other than computer software documentation) pertaining to items, components, or processes developed at private expense, identify both the deliverable technical data and each such item, component, or process. For computer software or computer software documentation identify the software or documentation.

**Generally, development at private expense, either exclusively or partially, is the only basis for asserting restrictions. For technical data, other than computer software documentation, development refers to development of the item, component, or process to which the data pertain. The Government's rights in computer software documentation generally may not be restricted. For computer software, development refers to the software. Indicate whether development was accomplished exclusively or partially at private expense. If development was not accomplished at private expense, or for computer software documentation, enter the specific basis for asserting restrictions.

***Enter asserted rights category (e.g., government purpose license rights from a prior contract, rights in SBIR data generated under another contract, limited, restricted, or government purpose rights under this or a prior contract, or specially negotiated licenses).

****Corporation, individual, or other person, as appropriate.

*****Enter "none" when all data or software will be submitted without restrictions.

Date -----

Printed Name and Title -----

Signature -----

(End of identification and assertion)

(e) An offeror's failure to submit, complete, or sign the notification and identification required by paragraph (d) of this provision with its offer may render the offer ineligible for award.

(f) If the Offeror is awarded a contract, the assertions identified in paragraph (d) of this provision shall be listed in an attachment to that contract. Upon request by the Contracting Officer, the Offeror shall provide sufficient information to enable the Contracting Officer to evaluate any listed assertion.

252.236-7010 OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- PREFERENCE FOR UNITED STATES FIRMS (JAN 1997)

(a) Definition.

"United States firm," as used in this provision, means a firm incorporated in the United States that complies with the following:

(1)The corporate headquarters are in the United States;

(2)The firm has filed corporate and employment tax returns in the United States for a minimum of 2 years (if required), has filed State and Federal income tax returns (if required) for 2 years, and has paid any taxes due as a result of these filings; and

(3)The firm employs United States citizens in key management positions.

(b) Evaluation. Offers from firms that do not qualify as United States firms will be evaluated by adding 20 percent to the offer.

(c) Status. The offeror _____ is, _____ is not a United States firm.

252.247-7022 REPRESENTATION OF EXTENT OF TRANSPORTATION BY SEA (AUG 1992)

(a) The Offeror shall indicate by checking the appropriate blank in paragraph (b) of this provision whether transportation of supplies by sea is anticipated under the resultant contract. The term "supplies" is defined in the Transportation of Supplies by Sea clause of this solicitation.

(b) Representation. The Offeror represents that it--

_____ Does anticipate that supplies will be transported by sea in the performance of any contract or subcontract resulting from this solicitation.

_____ Does not anticipate that supplies will be transported by sea in the performance of any contract or subcontract resulting from this solicitation.

(c) Any contract resulting from this solicitation will include the Transportation of Supplies by Sea clause. If the Offeror represents that it will not use ocean transportation, the resulting contract will also include the Defense FAR Supplement clause at 252.247-7024, Notification of Transportation of Supplies by Sea.

**C. AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT
SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT**

5352.215-9007 USE OF NON-GOVERNMENT ADVISORS (AFMC) (NOV 1998)

(a) Offerors are advised that technical and cost/price data submitted to the Government in response to this solicitation may be released to non-Government advisors for review and analysis. The non-Government advisor support will be provided by:

Name of firm(s)

ACS Defense, Inc./ BTAS, Inc./ MCR/ MITRE Corporation/ Titan Corporation

(b) Offerors shall complete paragraph (b)(2) or provide written objection to disclosure as indicated in paragraph (b)(1). If the offeror objects to disclosure of a portion of the proposal, the consent in (b)(2) should be provided for the remainder of the proposal.

(1) Any objection to disclosure:

(i) Shall be provided in writing to the contracting officer within 10 days of RFP issuance;
and

(ii) Shall include a detailed statement of the basis for the objection. The detailed statement shall identify the specific portions of the proposal the offeror objects to disclosure to non-Government advisors. (2) I understand technical and cost/price data submitted to the Government in response to this solicitation may be released to non-Government advisors. I consent to release of any (unless objection is provided in (b)(1) above) proprietary, confidential, or privileged commercial or financial data provided by the firm(s) named below in response to this solicitation, to non-Government advisors for review and analysis:

Firm:

Name (individual authorized to commit firm):

Title:

Date of Execution:

D. OTHER SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

K001 JOINT VENTURE (MAY 1997)

In addition to the requirements of FAR 4.102, and to assure a single point of contact for resolution of contractual matters and payments under any resultant contract, each participant in a joint venture must complete and sign the certification hereunder. The completed certifications are to be provided with the offerors'/bidders' response to this solicitation.

The parties hereto expressly understand and agree as follows:

(a) ____ (name, title, company) is the principal representative of the joint venture. As such, all communications regarding the administration of the contract and the performance of the work thereunder may be directed to him or her. In the absence of ____ (same name, title, and company as above), ____ (name, title, and company of alternate) is the alternate principal representative of the joint venture.

(b) Direction, approvals, required notices, and all other communications from the Government to the joint venture, including transmittal of payments by the Government, must be directed to ____ (name, title and company of principal), principal representative of the joint venture.
(AF FAR Sup 5304.102(d))

FIRM ____ FIRM ____

NAME ____ NAME ____

TITLE ____ TITLE ____

DATE OF EXECUTION ____ DATE OF EXECUTION ____

NOTE: If additional signatures are required, submit the above certification, in the identical format, as an attachment to your response to this solicitation and complete this block indicating the same [].

I. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

- 52.204-06 DATA UNIVERSAL NUMBERING SYSTEM (DUNS) NUMBER (JUN 1999)
- 52.211-02 AVAILABILITY OF SPECIFICATIONS LISTED IN THE DOD INDEX OF SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS (DODISS) AND DESCRIPTIONS LISTED IN THE ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS AND DATA REQUIREMENTS CONTROL LIST, DOD 5010.12-L (DEC 1999)
- 52.211-14 NOTICE OF PRIORITY RATING FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE USE (SEP 1990)
Rated Order: 'DO'
- 52.215-01 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS--COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION (MAY 2001) - ALTERNATE I (OCT 1997)
- 52.215-20 REQUIREMENTS FOR COST OR PRICING DATA OR INFORMATION OTHER THAN COST OR PRICING DATA (OCT 1997) - ALTERNATE IV (OCT 1997)
Alt IV, Para (b), Insert description of the information and the format that are required: 'in accordance with instructions in Section L, Part III, paragraph 5.0.'
- 52.216-01 TYPE OF CONTRACT (APR 1984)
Type of contract is 'Multiple Award Task Order, Indefinite Delivery/ Indefinite Quantity'
- 52.216-27 SINGLE OR MULTIPLE AWARDS (OCT 1995)
- 52.222-24 PREAWARD ON-SITE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMPLIANCE EVALUATION (FEB 1999)
- 52.222-46 EVALUATION OF COMPENSATION FOR PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES (FEB 1993)
- 52.232-38 SUBMISSION OF ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER INFORMATION WITH OFFER (MAY 1999)
- 52.233-02 SERVICE OF PROTEST (AUG 1996)
Para (a) Official or location is 'Alicia J. Gettman, ESC/FDK, Building 1624, 15 Eglin Street, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731'
- 52.237-10 IDENTIFICATION OF UNCOMPENSATED OVERTIME (OCT 1997)
- 52.247-46 SHIPPING POINT(S) USED IN EVALUATION OF F.O.B. ORIGIN OFFERS (APR 1984)

B. DEFENSE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

- 252.211-7001 AVAILABILITY OF SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS NOT LISTED IN DODISS, DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS NOT LISTED IN DOD 5010.12-L, AND PLANS, DRAWINGS, AND OTHER PERTINENT DOCUMENTS (DEC 1991)
Activity name is 'ESC/FD'
Activity address is '15 Eglin Street, Hanscom AFB, MA, 01731'
- 252.211-7002 AVAILABILITY FOR EXAMINATION OF SPECIFICATIONS, STANDARDS, PLANS, DRAWINGS, DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS, AND OTHER PERTINENT DOCUMENTS (DEC 1991)
Activity's complete address is 'ESC/FD, 15 Eglin Street, Hanscom AFB, MA, 01731'
- 252.227-7028 TECHNICAL DATA OR COMPUTER SOFTWARE PREVIOUSLY DELIVERED TO THE GOVERNMENT (JUN 1995)
- 252.237-7022 SERVICES AT INSTALLATIONS BEING CLOSED (MAY 1995)
- 252.242-7006 COST/SCHEDULE STATUS REPORT PLANS (MAR 1997)

C. AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

- 5352.215-9006 INTENT TO INCORPORATE CONTRACTOR'S TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (AFMC) (AUG 1998)

II. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

52.252-01 SOLICITATION PROVISIONS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEB 1998)

This solicitation incorporates one or more solicitation provisions by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. The offeror is cautioned that the listed provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. In lieu of submitting the full text of those provisions, the offeror may identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with its quotation or offer. Also, the full text of a solicitation provision may be accessed electronically at this/these address(es): <http://farsite.hill.af.mil/>

52.252-05 AUTHORIZED DEVIATIONS IN PROVISIONS (APR 1984)

(a) The use in this solicitation of any Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 CFR Chapter 1) provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the date of the provision.

(b) The use in this solicitation of any Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (48 CFR Chapter 2) provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the name of the regulation.

B. OTHER SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

ESC-L001 L-III - INFORMATION TO OFFERORS (ITO) AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPOSAL PREPARATION (APR 2003)

1.0 General Instructions

This section provides general guidance for preparing proposals as well as specific instructions on the format and content of the proposal. The offeror's proposal must include all data and information requested and must be submitted in accordance with these instructions. The offer shall be compliant with the requirements as stated in the Statement of Objectives (SOO); Technical Data Package; DD Form 254, Security Specification; and Model Contract. Non-conformance with the instructions provided may result in an unfavorable proposal evaluation.

The proposal shall be clear, concise, and shall include sufficient detail for effective evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims. The proposal should not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but rather shall provide convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet these requirements. Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of their facilities and experience and will base its evaluation on the information presented in the offeror's proposal.

The proposal shall be unclassified.

Elaborate brochures or documentation, binding, detailed artwork, or other embellishments are unnecessary and not desired. Similarly, for oral presentations, if requested, elaborate productions are unnecessary and not desired.

The proposal shall be valid for a period of not less than 120 days from the required submission date of the Cost/Price Proposal (Volume IV). The offeror shall make a clear statement in Volume IV that the proposal is valid until that date.

In accordance with FAR Subpart 4.8 (Government Contract Files), the Government will retain one copy of all unsuccessful proposals. Unless the offeror requests otherwise, the Government will destroy extra copies of such unsuccessful proposals.

The full proposal will be submitted to the Contracting Officer not later than 1600 EDT, 27 May 03. The completion and submission of these volumes constitutes the offeror's acceptance to the terms and conditions in this RFP and in any attachments thereto.

Additional instructions and information are contained in the following attachments to this section and are located at the website <http://herbb.hanscom.af.mil/>

L-1 Past Performance Instructions
L-2 Site Survey Pricing Instructions
L-3 IBDSS Cost Formats
L-4 IBDSS Bills of Materials
L-5 Draft Statement of Work (SOW)
L-6 IBDSS PWBS and Dictionary
L-7 Relocatable Equipment GFI
L-8 Four Sample Problems
L-9 Initial DO Requirements

a. General Information

i. Point of Contact

The Contracting Officer (CO) and the Contract Specialist are the sole points of contact for this acquisition. Address any questions or concerns you may have to the CO or the Contract Specialist. Written requests for clarification may be sent to the CO or the Contract Specialist at the address located in Section A of the solicitation or via email to the addresses listed in the RFP cover letter.

ii. Debriefings

All offerors may request a post-award debriefing by providing a written request to the CO. The CO will notify offerors of the award decision within three calendar days after award. Offerors desiring a debriefing must request one in writing within three days after CO notification. To the maximum extent practicable, debriefings will be conducted within five days of receipt of the offeror's request. Debriefings will be conducted IAW AFFARS 5315.506.

iii. Discrepancies

If an offeror believes that the requirements in these instructions contain an error, omission, or are otherwise unsound, the offeror shall immediately notify the CO in writing with supporting rationale. The offeror is reminded that the Government reserves the right to award this effort based on the initial proposal, as received, without discussion.

iv. Reference Library

An electronic reference library has been established on the Hanscom Electronic RFP Bulletin Board (HERBB) at <http://herbb.hanscom.af.mil>.

v. Plant Visit

The Government may conduct a Plant Visit at each offeror's facility. The Plant Visit will be limited to no longer than 6 hours (nominally 0900-1500) at each plant. The offeror shall show the various facilities and explain the processes that will be used to perform this contract. The Government will notify offerors by phone, email, or fax on whether plant visits will be conducted no later than one week after proposals are received.

b. Organization/Number of Copies/Page Limits

The offeror shall prepare the proposal as set forth in the Proposal Organization Table below (Table 1). The titles and contents of the volumes shall be as defined in this table, all of which shall be within the required page limits and with the number of copies as specified. The contents of each proposal volume are described in the paragraphs below.

Table 1 Proposal Organization

Volume	Title	Hardcopies	Electronic Copies	Page Limit**
I	Executive Summary	15	1	5
II	Mission Capability/Management Proposal	15	1	35 Excluding specified attachments
III	Present and Past Performance	5	1	3 pages per past performance, citation not to exceed 45 pages total
IV	Cost/Price Proposal*	3	3	no limit
V	Contracts	2	1	no limit

* Cost data shall be contained in this volume only.

** Page limits exclude cover pages.

Attachments to Executive Summary (Volume I)

Attachment	Title	Hardcopies	Electronic copies	Page Limit
1	IFPP Reference Matrix	15	1	None
2	Organization chart	15	1	5

Attachments to Mission Capability/Management Proposal (Volume II)

Attachment	Title	Hardcopies	Electronic copies	Page Limit
1	Performance specification(s) for any equipment not listed in attachment L7	15	1	None
2	Sample Problem #1-3 Solutions	15	1	10 pages per solution excludes cost/pricing data
3	Sample Problem #4 Solution	15	1	5 excludes cost/pricing
4	Integrated Master Plan	15	1	20
5	Statement of Work	15	1	None

Attachments to Cost and Pricing (Volume IV)

Attachment	Title	Hardcopies	Electronic copies	Page Limit
1	Cost Worksheets	2	1	None

Attachments to Contract (Volume V)

Attachment	Title	Hardcopies	Electronic copies	Page Limit
1	Subcontracting Plan	2	1	None
2	DD Form 254, Security Specification	2	1	None
3	Associate Contract Agreements	2	1	None

i. Page Limitations

Page limitations shall be treated as maximums. If exceeded, the excess pages will not be read or considered in the evaluation of the proposal and (for paper copies) will be returned to the offeror as soon as practicable. Page limitations shall be placed on responses to Evaluation Notices (EN). The specified page limits for EN responses will be identified in the letters forwarding the ENs to the offerors. When both sides of a sheet display printed material, it shall be counted as 2 pages.

ii. Cost or Pricing Information

All cost or pricing information shall be addressed ONLY in the Cost/Price Proposal. Work-hour estimates and material kinds and quantities may be used in other volumes only as appropriate for presenting rationale for alternatives or design and trade-off decisions.

iii. Cross Referencing

To the greatest extent possible, each volume shall be written on a stand-alone basis so that its contents may be evaluated with a minimum of cross-referencing to other volumes of the proposal. Information required for proposal evaluation that is not found in its designated volume will be assumed to have been omitted from the proposal. Cross-referencing within a proposal volume is permitted where its use would conserve space without impairing clarity.

iv. Glossary of Abbreviations and Acronyms

Each volume shall contain a glossary of all abbreviations and acronyms used, with an explanation for each. Glossaries do not count against the page limitations for their respective volumes.

c. Page Size and Format

For all volumes of the proposal (including the Mission Capability briefing), the page size shall be 8.5 x 11 inches, including foldouts, with at least 3/4-inch margins on the top and bottom and 1/2-inch side margins, and, except for the reproduced sections of the solicitation document, the text size shall be no less than 11 point. In addition, all pages shall be numbered sequentially by volume. For textual volumes, pages shall be single spaced and tracking, kerning, and leading values shall not be changed from the default values of the word processing or page layout software. These page format restrictions shall also apply to responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs). These limitations shall apply to both electronic and hard copy proposals.

Legible tables, charts, graphs and figures shall be used wherever practical to depict organizations, systems and layout, implementation schedules, plans, etc. These displays shall be uncomplicated, legible and shall not exceed 11 by 17 inches in size. Foldout pages shall fold entirely within the volume, and count as a single page. Foldout pages may only be used for large tables, charts, graphs, diagrams and schematics, not for pages of text. For tables, charts, graphs and figures, the text shall be no smaller than 11 point. These limitations shall apply to both electronic and hard copy proposals. Section and Volume cover pages do not count toward page limits.

d. Binding and Labeling

Each volume of the proposal should be separately bound. A cover sheet should be included with each volume, clearly marked as to volume number, title, solicitation identification and the offeror's name. Be sure to apply all appropriate markings including those prescribed in accordance with FAR 52.215-1(e), Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data, and 3.104-54, Disclosure, Protection, and Marking of Contractor Bid or Proposal Information and Source Selection Information.

e. Electronic Offers

For electronic copies, indicate on each CD-ROM the volume number and title. Use separate files to permit rapid location of all portions, including exhibits, annexes, and attachments, if any. If files are compressed, the necessary decompression program must be included. The electronic copies of the proposal shall be submitted in a format readable by Microsoft (MS) Word 2000, MS Excel 2000, MS-Project 98, and MS-Power Point 2000, as applicable. In the event of a conflict between paper and electronic versions, the paper copy will govern.

f. Distribution

The "original" proposal shall be identified. Proposals shall be addressed to the Contracting Officer and mailed to ESC/FDK, 5 Eglin St., Bldg 1624, First floor, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2100. Be sure to advise the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) and the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) for prime and subcontractors that the proposal is "For Official Use Only" and "Source Selection Information--See FAR 3.104". The prime, subcontractor(s), and interdivisional organizations must deliver by the date and time specified in the RFP, two copies each of its proposal to the cognizant ACO and DCAA offices.

2.0 VOLUME I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Submit an executive summary containing a brief overview of the complete proposal, the work to be accomplished, key program activities, and risk areas. This summary should identify corporate team members, the roles for each team member and the rationale for selecting each team member.

2.1. Attachment 1 - IFPP Reference Matrix

The Offeror shall complete the Government provided cross-reference matrix indicating where in the proposal (Volume and Paragraph Number) the IFPP required information could be found.

2.2 Attachment 2 - Organization Chart

The Offeror shall submit an organization chart of the corporation and the department of where the IBDSS Acquisition will be managed. Include names of key individuals who will be assigned to the program and their organizational responsibilities. Include similar organization charts for all major subcontractors. All charts must be included in the 5 page limit for this section.

3.0 VOLUME II - MISSION CAPABILITY/MANAGEMENT PROPOSAL

The Mission Capability/Management volume will provide the Government visibility into the Offeror's proposed approach and understanding of the proposed effort. It also provides the Offeror an opportunity to amplify technical information contained in Technical Data Package (specification documents incorporated by reference in Section C of the model contract) and the Draft SOW. The Mission Capability/Management volume shall provide an overview of the entire technical effort. Specific technical areas shall be addressed in the appropriate attachments. As a minimum, the Offeror shall describe the following:

3.1 Mission Capability Subfactor 1, Equipment Delivery (Purchasing, Manufacturing, Assembly and Packaging)

The proposed equipment delivery approach for each of the relocatable equipment items listed in B-Table 1, including: use of vendors/subcontractors; processes for purchasing, manufacturing, assembly, packaging, and shipping of equipment; in process electrical verification and checkout. The requirement is to start equipment deliveries no later than 120 days after contract award with a goal of starting deliveries 60 days after contract award (see Attachment L-9 at the website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>).

Quality assurance approach for ensuring the following:

- Performance of all delivered equipment.
- Interoperability and interchangeability with fielded IBDSS equipment.
- Consistent delivery of high quality products for the duration of the contract to include vendor and subcontractor activities, as applicable.
- Approach for purchasing, manufacturing, assembling and packaging equipment

Qualification and validation approach for initial delivery of equipment not in Attachment L-7.

Approach for integrating software with hardware. The approach for confirming the capability to connect and operate related equipment to achieve the required performance.

As an attachment to this volume, provide the performance specification(s) of any proposed equipment that is not in Attachment L-7 to this section at the website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>. An equipment "cut sheet" describing the capabilities of that item is acceptable. Final acceptability determination of this equipment for purchase and delivery will be made by the Government based on existing test data and/or the requirement for additional testing.

3.2 Mission Capability Subfactor 2, Site Design and Installation

The approach for performing site design and installation of IBDSS at fixed nuclear and non-nuclear sites. The approach shall address the following: site-specific data collection, requirements development, design synthesis with emphasis on equipment selection, integration with emphasis on integrating software with hardware, site installation, test, training and turnover. In addition, as attachments to this volume, describe the proposed approach and solution for each of the sample problems contained in Attachment L-8 at the website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>.

3.3 Mission Capability Subfactor 3, Technology Insertion and Integration Engineering Capability

Building upon your solution to the Sample Problems, describe what R&D investments you would recommend over the next five years (your vision) to improve the solution in terms of performance, cost, and effectiveness.

Describe other R&D investments that you would recommend over the next five years that supports the AF's vision of an IBDSS system architecture and that may not be directly related to the Sample Problems or your recommended solution.

Describe the process for selecting, developing, integrating into existing solutions, and testing the above R&D based improvements.

3.4 Mission Capability Subfactor 4, Sustainment

Describe the process for establishing and providing Contractor services for organizational maintenance support, and depot maintenance support, addressing the start-up/continuing requirements for data, sustaining engineering, configuration management, material, spares, repair services, software support, test equipment, facilities, and transportation. Include a plan to implement depot support requirements for currently fielded legacy equipment, as well as for future IBDSS equipment.

Describe the Technical Manual process. Include an approach for evaluating, supplementing (as required) and delivering existing commercial manuals. Also address the development of new commercial manuals for organizational level, maintenance, as well as the update of existing legacy equipment manuals.

Describe the approach for establishing contractor training, including the development and delivery of any required training material. Address a plan to present the approved training at operational Air Force units, worldwide.

3.5 Mission Capability Subfactor 5, Management

Describe the process (e.g. ISO 9000 or other processes you can trace back to) for maintaining the quality of products and services to be delivered under this contract.

Describe the process for establishing and maintaining a qualified team to perform Technology Insertion and Integration, and site design and installations at worldwide locations.

Describe the approach for managing the configuration of IBDSS equipment throughout the contract (e.g., MIL-STD-973 and MIL-HDBK-61A).

3.6 Factor 2, Proposal Risk

In this section, the Offeror shall describe all schedule, technical, and performance risks and proposed risk mitigation actions for each mission capability subfactor as stated in Section M.

a. Address the following areas:

Technical/Management

Moderate and high risk areas of the equipment manufacturing; interoperability; interchangeability; technology insertion and integration; site design and installation; logistics; and integration approach and key technical factors (issues).

Cost

Moderate and high risk areas of cost due to manufacturing, redesign, integration, interfaces, engineering change orders, system engineering/program management, etc.

Schedule

Program schedule and program management risk including discussions on moderate and high-risk areas.

b. Identify risk as high, moderate, or low, as defined below:

High (H)

Likely to cause significant serious disruption of schedule; increase in cost, or degradation of performance even with special contractor emphasis and monitoring.

Moderate (M)

Can potentially cause some disruption of schedule, increase in cost, or degradation of performance. However, special contractor emphasis will probably be able to overcome difficulties.

Low (L)

Has little potential to cause disruption of schedule, increase in cost, or degradation of performance. Normal contractor effort monitoring will probably be able to overcome difficulties.

3.7 Attachment 4 - Integrated Master Plan (IMP)

The Offeror shall provide an IMP as part of their proposal submittal. The IMP should reflect the offeror's framework for managing the individual efforts and encompass all functional disciplines tied to post-award D.O.s. Some examples of functional disciplines that might be included are configuration management, cost control and reporting, managing cyclical requirements, risk management, systems engineering, sustainment, etc. The Offeror's proposed IMP (contractor format acceptable) shall be provided as an attachment (see Section J) to the Model Contract.

3.8 Attachment 5 - Statement of Work (SOW)

The Statement of Objectives (SOO), included as Attachment 1 to the RFP, provides the Government's overall objectives for this solicitation. Offerors shall use the SOO, together with other applicable portions of this RFP, as the basis for preparing their proposal, including the CWBS, SOW and CDRL. The Offeror shall ensure all aspects of the SOO are addressed. The SOW should specify in clear, understandable terms the work to be done in developing or producing the goods to be delivered or services to be performed by the contractor. Preparation of an effective SOW requires both an understanding of the goods or services that are needed to satisfy a particular requirement and an ability to define what is required in specific, performance based, quantitative terms. Attachment L-5 to the IFPP (at the website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>) contains a draft SOW that shall serve as a framework for the Offeror's SOW preparation. The Offeror's understanding of both required goods/services, and work effort required to accomplish should be fully demonstrated in the Offeror's proposed CWBS, SOW, and CDRL.

The Offeror's SOW shall be structured, using compliance language, per the Offeror's completed CWBS and reflect the tasking necessary to meet program objectives. Also, the Offeror should reference all deliverables within the appropriate SOW paragraphs.

4.0 Volume III - Past and Present Performance

4.1 General

Each offeror shall submit a Past and Present Performance volume, containing past performance information in accordance with the format contained in Attachment L-1, Past Performance, at the website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>. This information is required on the offeror and all subcontractors, teaming partners, and/or joint venture partners proposed to perform any significant integration efforts under the contemplated contract. Offerors are cautioned that the Government will use data provided by each offeror in this volume and data obtained from other sources in the evaluation of past and present performance.

The offeror shall submit, along with the information required in this paragraph, a consent letter, executed by each subcontractor, teaming partner, and/or joint venture partner, authorizing release of adverse past performance information to the offeror so the offeror can respond to such information. For each identified effort for a commercial customer, the offeror shall also submit a client authorization letter, authorizing release to the Government of requested information on the offeror's performance. If necessary, subcontractors can submit their information directly to the Government. The consent and authorization letters are not included in the 45 total page limit for this volume.

4.2 Organizational Structure

This section shall introduce the key subcontractors, teaming partners, and joint venture partners on the offeror's team and provide a brief description of their planned roles and responsibilities with regard to the IBDSS Acquisition.

NOTE: Key Sub-Contractors, teaming partners, and joint venture partners are those whose failure to perform would cause failure of the total project. A list of all participating divisions and locations (prime, subcontractors, and joint venture partners) shall be furnished indicating whether teaming agreements have been executed or not. An

organizational chart of the offeror's IBDSS program management team shall be provided which depicts how the offeror's team fits within the company. The organizational chart shall start at the level of the offeror's CEO and clearly identify the entire chain of command specifying the names, title, division name, and location.

4.3 Relevant Contracts

In accordance with Attachment L-1, Past Performance Information, the offeror shall submit past performance information on five (5) recent contracts that the offeror considers most relevant in demonstrating the offeror's ability to perform the proposed IBDSS Acquisition effort. Also include information on two (2) recent contracts performed by each key subcontractor, teaming partner, or joint venture partner (not to exceed four key members), that you consider most relevant in demonstrating their ability to perform the proposed effort. Include rationale supporting your assertion of relevance. For a description of the characteristics or aspects the Government will consider in determining relevance, see Section M, Evaluation Factors for Award, paragraph 3.2 - Past Performance Factor.

4.4 Specific Content

Offerors are required to explain what aspects of the contracts are deemed relevant to the proposed effort and to what aspects of the proposed effort they relate. This may include a discussion of efforts accomplished by the offeror to resolve problems encountered on prior contracts as well as past efforts to identify and manage program risk. Merely having problems does not automatically equate to a little or no confidence rating, since the problems encountered may have been on a more complex program, or an offeror may have subsequently demonstrated the ability to overcome the problems encountered. The offeror is required to clearly demonstrate management actions employed in overcoming problems and the effects of those actions, in terms of improvements achieved or problems rectified. This may allow the offeror to be considered a higher confidence candidate. For example, submittal of quality performance indicators or other management indicators that clearly support that an offeror has overcome past problems is required.

4.5 Organizational Structure Change History

Many companies have acquired, been acquired by, or otherwise merged with other companies, and/or reorganized their divisions, business groups, subsidiary companies, etc. In many cases, these changes have taken place during the time of performance of relevant present or past efforts or between conclusion of recent past efforts and this source selection. As a result, it is sometimes difficult to determine what past performance is relevant to this acquisition. To facilitate this relevancy determination, include in this proposal volume a "roadmap" describing all such changes in the organization of your company over the past three years. As part of this explanation, show how these changes impact the relevance of any efforts you identify for past performance evaluation/ performance confidence assessment. Since the Government intends to consider past performance information provided by other sources as well as that provided by the offeror(s), your "roadmap" should be both specifically applicable to the efforts you identify and general enough to apply to efforts on which the Government receives information from other sources.

5.0 Volume IV - Cost/Price Proposal

5.1 Introduction

These instructions are to assist the offeror in preparing and submitting cost information required in accordance with FAR 15.403-3. The Government needs this data in order to assess properly the reasonableness, completeness and accuracy of the offeror's proposed Cost/Price. Compliance with these instructions is mandatory and failure to do so could possibly result in the rejection of your proposal.

The Contracting Officer (CO) has made a preliminary determination of "Adequate Price Competition". Therefore, a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data per FAR 15.403-4 will not be required, and any references to said Certificate or to "PL 87-653" elsewhere in this document are not applicable. In the event that adequate competition does not emerge in response to the solicitation, the CO may require current cost and pricing data in accord with FAR 15.4.

All information regarding cost or pricing documentation must be included only in the Cost/Price Volume or in the associated Price Tables (B-Tables). Under no circumstances shall any cost or pricing documentation be included elsewhere in the proposal.

5.2 General Instructions

5.2.1 Cost/Price Reasonableness and Realism

Note that unrealistically low or high proposed costs or prices, either initially or subsequently, may be grounds for eliminating a proposal from competition either on the basis that the offeror does not understand the requirement or has made an unrealistic proposal. Offers should be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate their reasonableness and realism as discussed in AFFARS 5315.305(a)(1) and FAR 15.404-1(d)(2). The burden of proof for credibility of proposed costs/prices rests with the offeror. All cost information included in the proposal (e.g. IMP, Project Plans) must be consistent with the Cost Volume.

5.2.2 Ground rules and assumptions

The ground rules and assumptions (e.g., contract type, contract items, delivery schedule, GFE/GFP, etc) of the prospective contract are provided in the appropriate sections of the RFP. Proposals shall be based on the Government fiscal year (GFY), which begins on 1 October and ends on 30 September. Equipment proposed in sample problems is for proposal evaluation, cost and technical purposes only and will not be incorporated into the contract. Labor hours and rates per the government provided BEQ are for both price and contract purposes. Offerors may not propose other labor categories at this time.

5.2.3 Estimating Techniques, Tools, Methods and Documentation

When responding to the Cost/Price Volume requirements in the solicitation, the offeror and associated subcontractors may use any generally accepted estimating technique, including contemporary estimating methods (such as Cost-to-Cost and Cost-to-Non-Cost Estimating Relationships (CERs), commercially available parametric cost models, in-house developed parametric cost models, etc.) to develop their estimates. If necessary, reasonable and supportable allocation techniques may be used to spread hours and/or cost to lower levels of the WBS. The Government intends to use Microsoft (MS) Excel to analyze the offerors' proposals and to create the Government's Most Probable Cost. Offerors are required to submit electronic files of the proposal estimate using sample Excel cost formats (IBDSS Cost Formats) provided by the Government in the RFP Attachment L-3, IBDSS Cost Formats located at the website <<http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil/>>. Offerors are to provide narrative documentation to support the Basis of Estimate.

5.2.4 Non-Required Data

Data beyond that required by this instruction shall not be submitted, unless you consider it essential to document or support your cost/price position. All information relating to the proposed price including all required supporting documentation must be included in the section of the proposal designated as the Cost/Price volume. Under no circumstances shall this information and documentation be included elsewhere in the proposal.

5.2.5 Cost or Pricing Information Requirements

In accordance with FAR 15.403-1(b) and 15.403-3(a), information other than cost or pricing data may be required to support price reasonableness. Information shall be provided in accordance with FAR 15.403-5. If, after receipt of proposals, the Contracting Officer determines that there is insufficient information available to determine price reasonableness and none of the exceptions in FAR 15.403-1 apply, the offeror shall be required to submit cost or pricing data. Requirements for certified cost information are found in FAR 15.403-4.

5.2.6 Subcontractor/Interdivisional Transfer Cost Proposals

A separate Cost Volume, including a Cost Format, shall be submitted by each major subcontractor, joint venture partners, teaming partners, and/or interdivisional transfer (IDT) (including subsidiaries) that exceeds a threshold of ten percent, of labor effort only, on the CPAF effort. (Note: If the BOEs for an IDT or subcontractor over the ten percent threshold is included in the prime contractor's consolidated BOE, the subcontractor proposal need not include a separate BOE submission as long as there is a one-to-one match between BOEs and the subcontractor proposal.) If a subcontractor considers any portion of cost documentation "proprietary," that portion of the documentation shall be submitted under separate cover directly to the cognizant ESC Contracting Officer. This documentation must be submitted by the same date and time that the prime contractor's proposal is due to the ESC Contracting Officer. The prime contractor proposal, however, shall uniquely identify major subcontractor, interdivisional, and other subcontractor labor hours, material prices, and total burdened costs. The prime contractor is responsible for the consistency of the cost data between the prime contractor submission and the subcontractor/interdivisional submission. Any price adjustments to the major subcontractor/interdivisional submission, and vendor's and other subcontractor's proposed prices, that is included in the prime proposal submission, including discounting or expected decreases to be achieved in negotiations, shall be fully identified and explained. All subcontract and vendor costs presented in the prime contractor proposal shall include the prime's indirect burden (e.g., G&A or handling burden).

5.2.7 Rounding

All dollar amounts provided shall be rounded to the nearest dollar. All loaded labor rates shall be rounded to the nearest penny.

5.3 Volume Organization

The Cost Volume shall be prefaced by a table of contents, which shall specify, by page number, where each requested section of cost narrative documentation is located. The Cost Volume shall include the following:

Table of Contents
Section 1 - Proposal Summary and Estimating Methodology Overview
Section 2 - Bill of Materials
Section 3 - Basis of Estimates (BOEs) for Labor
Section 4 - Other Information
Section 5 - Cost Formats

5.3.1 Section 1 - Proposal Summary and Estimating Methodology Overview

5.3.1.1 Proposal Summary

Prepare the proposal summary in accordance with Paragraph A of the General Instructions for Table 15-2 contained in FAR 15.408.

5.3.1.2 Estimating Methodology Overview

Provide an estimating methodology overview as described below.

5.3.1.2.1 Estimating System

Provide a summary description of your standard estimating system or methods. The summary description shall cover separately each major cost element (e.g., Direct Material, Engineering Labor, Manufacturing Labor, Indirect Costs, Other Direct Costs, Overhead, G&A, etc.). Also, identify any deviations from your standard estimating procedures in preparing this proposal volume. Indicate whether you have Government approval of your system and if so, provide evidence of such approval.

5.3.1.2.2 Purchasing System

Provide a summary description of your purchasing system or methods (e.g., how material requirements are determined, how sources are selected, when firm quotes are obtained, what provision is made to ensure quantity and

other discounts). Also, identify any deviations from your standard procedures in preparing this proposal. Indicate whether you have Government approval of your system and if so; provide evidence of such approval.

5.3.1.2.3 Accounting System

Indicate whether you have Government approval of your accounting system and if so; provide evidence of such approval. Also, identify any deviations from your standard procedures in preparing this proposal.

5.3.1.2.4 Explanation of Estimating Methods Used

Explain the methodology used to estimate each cost element. As a minimum, provide the following information for each cost element. If a portion of the required information is not applicable for a particular cost element, so state.

5.3.1.2.5 Past Experience-Based Estimates

Where cost estimates are based upon past experience, identify the past experience, explain how the past experience relates to the current effort, including similarities and differences, and how cost data available from the past experiences was adapted to the current effort.

5.3.1.2.6 Not used.

5.3.1.2.7 Engineering Labor Hours

If engineering labor hours have been estimated based upon other than past experience, provide detailed rationale on how they have been estimated.

5.3.1.2.8 Engineering Labor Hour Skill Mix

Explain how the proposed engineering labor hour's skill mixes has been derived and how the skill mix on this proposal compares with the overall plant skill mix. Explain why the cost element requires an average, higher, or lower than average skill mix, as applicable. If your normal estimating system uses a plant-wide average for proposal purposes, so state.

5.3.1.2.9 Manufacturing Labor Hours

If manufacturing labor hours have been estimated based upon other than past experience and/or learning curve application; provide detailed rationale on how they have been estimated. If standards were used, identify and explain how they were derived and state whether or not they have been used on other programs. If other than normal procedures were used to estimate manufacturing hours, explain.

5.3.1.2.10 Subcontract Analysis

For each subcontract, provide an analysis of the methodology used by the subcontractor to estimate cost and your results of reviews and evaluations of subcontract proposals. Also explain how the subcontract effort relates to the overall effort and why the subcontract cost can be considered reasonable.

5.3.2 Section 2 - Materials and Services/Subcontracts Summary

To support the identified costs of purchased parts on the CPAF effort, include a Materials listing in Section 2 of the Cost Volume. This listing should identify all major material items with an extended value equal to or exceeding \$5,000.00 and provides the following for each purchased part -- vendor, quantity, supporting rationale for the quantity, unit price, source of unit price, any decrement factors and associated supporting rationale, and total price. The Material listing shall provide traceability to each entry under "Purchased Parts" and "Raw Materials" in the Cost Format. The aggregate value of material items with an extended value under TBD shall be listed under "Miscellaneous" to ensure traceability to the Cost Formats. An equipment item specification sheet shall be provided for each component with an individual price of \$5,000.00 or greater.

To support the identified costs of services/subcontracts/divisional transfers, include a summary in Section 2 of the Cost Volume that identifies the following: name of supplier, method of choosing each supplier (i.e., competitive, non-competitive method, etc), description of effort, type of contract, price and hours proposed, and price and hours included in the prime contractor's proposal to the Government. Any price adjustments to subcontract/divisional/vendor submissions, including discounting or expected decreases to be achieved in negotiations, shall be fully identified and explained. This summary shall provide traceability to entries in the Cost Formats.

5.3.3 Section 3 - Basis of Estimates (BOEs)

The BOE shall provide the basis, rationale, estimating methodology and historical database used to derive proposed labor and material estimates. For all proposed software efforts, including sustainment, software migration, integration and new software development, the offeror shall describe the technical analysis, design, and implementation approach planned for the software effort (this requirement may be fulfilled by reference), the estimating methods and supporting historical data base used to develop the cost estimates. This should include appropriate software sizing methods and supporting rationale, development and application of metrics used as a basis for estimating, and methods of qualitatively and quantitatively addressing software development risk. If the offeror uses parametric methods as a part of the estimating methodology, then the offeror shall identify the model used and provide a copy of model inputs and outputs. Describe each labor category identified in the Cost Formats to include duties, level of responsibility and minimum qualifications (i.e., years of experience, education requirements, etc).

The offeror must provide clear traceability from the BOEs to their CWBS MS Excel Cost Format. Cost per labor hour and total labor costs will not be included in the BOE submission. To support the proposed labor hours presented in the Cost Formats, provide a BOE for each WBS element. The BOE shall include a comparison of the proposed hours to historical experiences on similar/analogous projects and rationale for the proposed labor-skill mix. Identify the man-month to man-hour conversion factors used in preparing the cost proposal.

5.3.4 Section 4 - Other Information

Include other information such as GFP/GFI, base support, long lead costs, termination costs, development/production schedule, inflation rate summary and explanation, life cycle cost, and special tooling/test equipment. List each exception to the ground rules and assumptions provided in the solicitation and each qualification of the cost/price volume, if any, and provide complete rationale.

5.3.4.1 Cost Risk

Discuss each SOO or TDP paragraph or WBS item, as applicable, for which the cost risk is considered other than low. Identify the inherent technical, schedule or other risks that may impact cost. Explain how such risks, including subcontract risks, have been treated in preparing the cost proposal.

5.3.4.2 Contract Work Breakdown Structure (CWBS) and Definitions

For purposes of cost proposal preparation, the Proposal Work Breakdown Structure (PWBS) provided in Attachment L-6 must be followed. The offeror in developing a proposed Contract WBS (CWBS) will adhere to this structure, however if any deviations are required they will be fully explained and supporting rationale will be provided. Beyond this summary PWBS, offerors have complete flexibility based on the proposed implementation approach. However, the offeror must provide cost visibility by extending the CWBS to the same level at which the BOEs are created.

Attachment L-6 (located at website <http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil>) also contains the PWBS dictionary that corresponds to the CWBS. The offeror must adhere to these definitions when identifying costs. Also, when the offeror extends the CWBS below levels identified in Attachment L-6, the offeror must provide WBS definitions at these lower levels.

5.3.4.3 Cost Reductions

5.3.4.3.1 Management Reduction

If estimated costs to perform the proposed effort have been decreased due to a management decision, provide a summary of the reduction by major cost element summary. Also provide complete rationale for the reduction.

5.3.4.3.2 Commonality with Other Programs

Any cost reductions made in the offeror's proposal that are attributed to commonality with other programs, company-funded efforts, or capitalization of equipment must be supported with the following:

(a) Commonality - Identify the specific program(s) and why it is applicable. - Address the cost allowability and allocability of this action per FAR and the offeror's CAS Disclosure Statement.

(b) Company Funded Efforts - Identify the specific efforts, the planned start and end dates, the applicability to the current solicitation, the source of company funding and how the offeror plans to account for or allocate these costs in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the offeror's CAS Disclosure Statement, if applicable.

(c) Capital Equipment - Identify the specific item(s) capitalized and what other applications exist for the equipment, provide corporate approvals for each action, and address the cost allowability and allocability of the action per the FAR and the offeror's CAS Disclosure Statement.

5.3.4.4 Administrative Information

Provide names and addresses of the cognizant Government Defense Contract Management Administration (DCMA) and Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Office representatives.

5.3.4.5 Rate and Systems Reviews

State whether or not the proposed labor and burden rates have been reviewed by the cognizant Government Administrative Contracting Officer and approved for proposal pricing purposes.

5.3.4.6 Government Owned Facilities

Indicate any Government-owned facilities, including production and test equipment, which are in the possession of the offeror and are planned to be used in the performance of this contract. These items shall be listed on a separate schedule that indicates each item's rental value per FAR Sections 45.1 and 45.2.

5.3.4.7 Government Support

Any Government support (e.g., GFP, GFI, base support), in addition to that specified in the RFP, which the offeror has requested, shall be listed on a separate schedule by individual item and its estimated rental value per FAR Sections 45.1 and 45.2.

5.3.4.8 Escalation Rates

Identify the escalation rates used for materials, equipment, subcontracts, direct labor and indirect expenses. Describe the basis of the escalation rates and explain why they should be considered reasonable.

5.3.5 Section 5 - Cost Formats

Cost formats are identified in Section 5.4 TBD.

5.4 Cost Format Instructions

Cost Formats: Offerors shall submit Cost Formats using an electronically submitted format compatible with MS EXCEL 2000 (".xls") that provide insight into the build-up of your cost proposal. These formats are provided in an Excel file ("IBDSS Cost Formats.XLS"), Attachment L-3, provided by the Government in the RFP located at the website <<http://www.herbb.hanscom.af.mil/>>. A second file ("IBDSS Bill of Materials.xls"), Attachment L-4, has

also been provided for listing bill of materials on each Sample Problem. This file is also located at the same website.

"IBDSS Cost Formats.xls" Below is a description of each Worksheet (format) included in the "IBDSS Cost Formats.xls" file.

5.4.1 Cost-Price Summary: This worksheet provides a summary of the Estimated Cost and Award Fee for each CPAF CLIN, Prices for each FFP, Labor Hour and T&M CLIN, and the Total Estimated Cost for the Cost Reimbursable Effort. Cells in this worksheet include embedded formulas that reference the applicable cells for each CLIN in the "CLINs by GFY" worksheet. The award fee value must be the same as the maximum award fee value proposed in the Model Contract, Attachment 7 (see paragraph 5.5 below).

5.4.2 CLINs by FY: This worksheet provides a summary of each CLIN by Government fiscal year. Cells in this worksheet include embedded formulas that reference the applicable cells for each CLIN in other worksheets within the file.

5.4.3 CPAF Summary by Cost Element: This worksheet provides a summary of each CPAF CLIN by element of cost. Cells in this worksheet include embedded formulas that reference the totals of each element of cost for each CLIN.

5.4.4 CPAF Summary by WBS: This worksheet provides a detail by element of cost at the WBS level shown in the worksheet for the CPAF effort. Cells in this worksheet include embedded formulas that reference the totals of each WBS by GFY.

5.4.5 CPAF Contractor Rates: This worksheet provides the direct labor rates and indirect rates used in this proposal by Contractor fiscal year. Offerors are to explain the basis of allocation of all rates, as appropriate. Rates for Award Fee and Escalation (Cells A45 - G60) should be provided by Government fiscal year, as the cells shaded with a green background will be referenced in embedded formulas in other worksheets elsewhere in the file.

5.4.6 CLIN 0001 Summary by B-Table: This worksheet provides a summary of the price of FFP CLIN 0001 by FY. Cells in this worksheet include embedded formulas that reference applicable cells in the CLIN 0001 B-Tables worksheet. This includes the proposed equipment unit prices multiplied by BEQ quantities included in the worksheet tables.

5.4.7 CLIN 0001 B-Tables: For Tables B-1-1 to B-1-69, there are two (2) formats--unit prices and extended amounts-- included in this worksheet. The first is the B-Table, unit prices and economic price quantities, that shall also be included in the Model Contract. The second format includes the BEQs for each Government fiscal year. The proposed unit fixed price at the BEQ for each CLIN by fiscal year should be entered in the applicable cells. The third row includes imbedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times each unit estimated cost and award fee to arrive at the extended amount. Tables B-1-1 through B-1-69 contain relocatable IBDSS equipment that is described in Attachment L-7. This equipment is required to meet the requirements of BISS-SEG-2700B and TASS System Specifications included in the Technical Data Package referenced in Paragraph C003 of Section C, Model Contract.

5.4.8 CLINs 0002 and 0005 B-3 Tables: There are three (3) tables included in this worksheet for each T&M CLIN (0002 and 0005). In the first table the Government provides the BEQs by labor categories for each Government fiscal year. In the second table, the Offeror is to enter the composite labor rates for each labor category for each fiscal year in the applicable cells (even if no BEQs are identified). The Offeror shall also provide their methodology and rationale used to develop the composite hourly rates (i.e. "50% prime contractor, 15% sub-contractor A,"). The third table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times each unit price to arrive at the proposed CLIN price. The offeror shall also provide their own labor category descriptions, including education and experience requirements in Section H101 of the Model Contract that correspond to the labor categories listed in the B-Tables.

5.4.9 CLIN 0007 B-4 Tables: There are three (3) tables included in this worksheet for each CLIN (0002 and 0005). In the first table the Government provides the BEQs by site size for each Government fiscal year. In the second table, the Offeror is to enter the unit fixed prices for each applicable site for each fiscal year in the applicable

cells (even if no BEQs are identified). The third table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times each unit price to arrive at the proposed CLIN price. Additional site survey pricing instructions are included in Attachment L-2. NOTE: these prices will be incorporated into the contract and will be effective for the life of the contract.

5.4.10 CLIN 0011 B-Table: There are three (3) tables included in this worksheet for Labor Hour CLIN 0011. In the first table the Government provides the BEQs by labor categories for each Government fiscal year. In the second table, the Offeror is to enter the unit prices for composite rates for each labor category for each fiscal year in the applicable cells (even if no BEQs are identified). The Offeror shall also provide their methodology and rationale used to develop the composite hourly rates (i.e. "50% prime contractor, 15% sub-contractor A,"). The third table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times each unit price to arrive at the proposed CLIN price. The offeror shall also provide their own labor category descriptions, including education and experience requirements in Attachment 3 of the Model Contract that correspond to the labor categories listed in the B-Tables.

5.4.11 CLIN 0008 Summary: There are three (3) tables included in this worksheet. In the first table the Government provides the BEQs by size of base for each Government fiscal year. The second table includes the escalated estimated cost and award fee for a unit of each size base included in the sample problem, using embedded formulas referencing applicable cells in the CLIN 0008 Small Medium, and Large worksheets. The third table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times each unit estimated cost and award fee.

5.4.12 CLIN 0008 Small Sites: This worksheet includes three tables by WBS shown in Attachment L-6 and two by element of cost.

(a) The "Sample Problem" by WBS table provides a detail of estimated cost by at the WBS level provided by the Government.

(b) The second WBS table includes embedded formulas that provide the estimated cost of a Sample Problem unit escalated by the Composite Escalation Rates for each GFY entered by the offeror in the applicable cells in the "Contractor Rates" worksheet.

(c) The third WBS table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times the escalated unit cost by GFY for each WBS.

(d) The "Sample Problem by Element of Cost" table includes embedded formulas that escalate the total cost of each WBS by GFY, using the Composite Escalation Rates for each GFY entered by the offeror in the applicable cells in the "Contractor Rates" worksheet. Likewise, the Award Fee was also calculated on embedded formulas for each GFY, using the Award Fee rates for each GFY entered by the offeror in the applicable cells in the "Contractor Rates" worksheet.

(e) The final "Elements of Cost" table includes embedded formulas that extend the amounts of the BEQs times the escalated unit cost by GFY for each element of cost.

5.4.13 CLIN 0008 Medium Sites:: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.14 CLIN 0008 Large Sites: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.15 CLIN 0003 Summary: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.11.

5.4.16 CLIN 0003 Small Sites: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.17 CLIN 0003 Small Sites: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.18 CLIN 0003 Small Sites: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.19 CLIN 0012 Summary (Technology Insertion/Integration): This worksheet provides a table that summarizes, via embedded formulas, the BEQ, Sample Problem unit estimated cost and award fee escalated by GFY, and the extended amounts of the BEQ times the escalated unit estimated cost and award fee by GFY.

5.4.20 CLIN 0012 Worksheet: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.12.

5.4.21 CLIN 0004 Summary: This worksheet is similar to that described in Paragraph 5.4.11.

5.4.22 CLIN 0004 Worksheet: Because this CLIN includes only the WBS's related to Training Presentation (WBS 1.1.x.8.2) for the Small, Medium, and Large Base Installations, only tables by element of cost are provided in this worksheet. See Paragraph 5.4.12 (d) and (e).

5.4.23 Price Track: This format will be required only if discussions are conducted and a request for Final Proposal Revision is issued. This format is used to summarize the impact of changes (e.g., revised labor hours or direct and/or indirect rates, escalation factors, subcontract prices, changes in technical approach, etc.) made to your proposal in developing your Final Proposal Revision. Dollars on this format may be shown in thousands. The headings on the "Changes" column are generic samples of changes and are strictly for illustrative purposes only. The Offeror is free to delete those headings and substitute more appropriate headings that may be more descriptive of specific reasons for changes between the Initial and Final Proposals.

Any changes in the offeror's proposal from initial to final should be reflected through profit/fee. As an example, the amount that should be shown for a change in the Spares Management effort should reflect the change in Direct Labor dollars, and also include any burdens (Fringe, Overhead, G&A, Facilities Capital Cost of Money, and Profit/Fee). As an attachment to the price track format, provide rationale to support the Final Proposal Revision adjustments. The price track format is to be completed by Base Size for the CPAF effort and by CLIN for the FFP, T&M, Labor Hour and Cost Reimbursable efforts. Cost formats required with the Final Proposal Revision, in addition to this format will be identified if cost discussions are conducted during the Source Selection process.

5.4.24 "IBDSS Bill of Materials.xls": This file provides a worksheet for the bill of materials for each Sample Problem. Each worksheet identifies the costs for purchased parts, services and/or subcontracts, and interdivisional transfers for each WBS element.

5.5 Maximum Award Fee Rate: The offeror shall propose a maximum award fee rate, not to exceed 15%, that will be incorporated into Attachment 7, Model Award Fee Plan, of the Model Contract. This proposed maximum award fee rate shall be used by the offeror in preparing the cost formats described in section 5.4 above.

6.0 Volume V - Contracts

6.1 Model Contract/Representations and Certifications

The purpose of this volume is to provide information to the Government for preparing the contract document and supporting file. Include one (1) signed and dated original of the Model Contract, Sections A through K. The original should be clearly marked and should be provided without any punched holes. Fill in all blanks in the Model Contract. Specifically, complete the following:

6.1.1 Section A - Solicitation/Contract Forms

Standard Form 1449 - Solicitation/Contract/Order for Commercial Items

The offeror shall fully complete Blocks 12, 17, and 30, including required codes under Block 17. Identify the county associated with your address as completed in Block 17a. Signature by the offeror on the SF 1449 constitutes an offer, which the Government may accept. Do not complete Blocks 23 and 24. The Government will calculate the prices based on your proposal (see paragraph 4.1.2.1 below).

6.1.2 Section B - Supplies or Services and Costs/Prices

6.1.2.1 CLIN Definitions and Prices

Leave all CLIN prices blank. The Government will fill in the prices based on your proposal.

6.1.2.2 Pricing B-Tables

The contract will include multiple Pricing B-Tables. These tables will be included as part of your Cost/Price volume and the Government will incorporate the appropriate tables into the contract at the time of award for the successful offeror(s) in each equipment category.

Offerors may propose any equipment items meeting the requirements listed in the B-tables. Offerors awarded contracts may propose additional equipment items to meet program requirements as they arise.

For the IBDSS relocatable equipment the offeror shall prepare a price table, B-1 in the format shown in Attachment L-3. This table shall contain the equipment and associated prices based on the offeror's proposed solutions to the equipment requirements. The B-1 table shall also reflect the offeror's proposed equipment economic order quantity price breaks (include and submit in B-1 Table).

For the IBDSS fixed rate labor hours the offeror shall prepare a price table, B-3 in the format shown in Attachment L-3. This table shall contain the labor prices for all the labor categories contained in Attachment 3 is based on a composite rate. In addition, the offeror shall provide information on the composite rate determination.

For the IBDSS fixed priced site surveys the offeror shall prepare a price table B-4 in the format shown in Attachment L-3.

Table B-2 in Attachment L-3 is not used at this time.

6.1.3 Section F - Deliveries or Performance

A specific schedule will be established when each order is placed. The basic contract will require a delivery schedule of not longer than 12 months for any order.

6.1.4 Section G - Contract Administrative Data

Complete AFFARS Clause 5352.232-9000, "Remittance Address".

Do not fill in obligation amounts. We will insert applicable accounting citations in the individual orders.

6.1.5 Section I - Contract Clauses

See Section I, AFMC FAR Sup Clause, 5352.245-9000, "Government-Furnished Property (GFP)" for the list of Government Furnished Property (GFP). The list identifies the ONLY GFP the Government is providing. There are no base support requirements.

6.1.6 Section K - Representations, Certifications, and other Statements of Offerors

Complete and submit all applicable representations, certifications, acknowledgments and statements required under paragraphs A and B.

6.1.7 Additions/Exceptions to Terms and Conditions

Additions/exceptions taken to terms and conditions of the model contract, to any of its attachments, or to other parts of the solicitation shall be identified. Each exception shall be specifically related to each paragraph and/or specific part of the solicitation to which the exception is taken. Provide rationale in support of the exception and fully explain its impact, if any, on the performance, schedule, cost, and specific requirements of the solicitation. Failure to provide rationale with a full explanation may result in the offeror being removed from consideration for award.

6.2 Additional Information

In addition, include the following information in your response:

a. The names, addresses, telephone, fax numbers, and facility codes for the DCMA/DFAS/DCAA representatives.

b. The name, title and telephone number of the company/division point of contact regarding decisions made with respect to your proposal and who can obligate your company contractually. Also, identify those individuals authorized to negotiate with the Government.

c. The name, address, and telephone number of senior manager (CEO, Vice President, General Manager) responsible for the business unit submitting your proposal.

d. The company/division's street address, county and facility code; CAGE code; DUNS code; size of business (large or small); and labor surplus area designation. This same information must be provided if the work for this contract will be performed at any other location(s). List all locations where work is to be performed and indicate whether such facility is a division, affiliate, or subcontractor, and the percentage of work to be performed at each location.

e. Provide a clear statement as to the data rights, which are proposed for this effort. Provide any information required by the Section L, DFARS Clauses 252.227-7028, "Technical Data or Computer Software Previously Delivered to the Government", 252.227-7013 "Rights in Technical Data-Non-Commercial" and 252.227-7014 Rights in Non-Commercial Computer Software and Non-Commercial Computer Software Documentation. If any items are to be provided with limited or restricted rights, include sufficient information to specifically identify those items (e.g., catalog reference or part number, descriptive noun/title, and the name of the company/vendor claiming such right). Provide sufficient documentation to support each claim.

6.3 Attachments to the Model Contract

The offeror shall provide the following as attachments to the model contract:

6.3.1 DD Form 254, Security Specification

Complete Blocks 6a through 8c and provide the completed DD254. The DD254 will be included as an attachment in the contract for those offeror(s) selected for equipment categories containing COMSEC. The baseline defined in the RFP includes COMSEC in the voice, data, and muxing equipment categories.

6.3.2 Subcontracting Plan

Include a Subcontracting Plan in accordance with FAR 19.702. The CO before contract award must approve the plan unless a company or division-wide Subcontracting Plan has already been approved. If you have a corporate plan, you must submit that plan. The plan will become part of the contract.

6.3.3 Statement of Work

In accordance with paragraph 3.8 above.

6.3.4 Integrated Master Plan

In accordance with paragraph 3.7 above. The IMP will become part of the contract and applicable to subsequent delivery orders awarded under this contract.

L003 NEW MEXICO GROSS RECEIPTS TAX (SEP 2001)

(a) New Mexico Gross Receipts (NMGR) applies to all receipts collected (cost and profit) from engaging in business in New Mexico (NM); selling property in NM, leasing property employed in NM, selling R&D services performed outside NM the product of which is initially used in NM if the contractor has nexus in NM, or performing services in NM.

(b) Offerors are expected to be knowledgeable of the Gross Receipts and Compensating Tax Act for the State of New Mexico in the preparation of their proposal. For assistance, please contact the State of New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, P.O. Box 630, Santa Fe NM 87509-0603, or call (505) 827-0928 or 0909.

L011 APPLICABLE CLAUSES (MAY 2002)

The appropriate clauses to be included in the contract will be determined based on Offeror's response to the Section K representations.

(a) Patent Rights. If the Offeror is a small business firm or nonprofit organization, then FAR 52.227-11, PATENT RIGHTS-RETENTION BY THE CONTRACTOR (SHORT FORM), DFARS 252.227-7034, PATENTS - SUBCONTRACTS, and DFARS 252.227-7039, PATENTS - REPORTING OF SUBJECT INVENTIONS will be used in Section I. Otherwise, FAR 52.227-12, PATENT RIGHTS - RETENTION BY THE CONTRACTOR (LONG FORM), will be included in Section I consistent with FAR Part 27.

(b) Cost Accounting Standards. Section I of this solicitation may contain the three Cost Accounting Standards clauses at FAR 52.230-3, 52.230-4, 52.230-5, and/or 52.230-6. The resultant contract will contain only those clauses required based on the Offeror's response to the Section K certification titled Cost Accounting Standards Notices and Certification (National Defense).

(c) State of New Mexico. Section I of this solicitation may contain the clause at FAR 52.229-10, STATE OF NEW MEXICO GROSS RECEIPTS AND COMPENSATING TAX. The resultant contract will contain this clause only if performance is in whole or in part within the State of New Mexico and the contract directs or authorizes the contractor to acquire property as a direct cost under the contract.

(d) Educational institutions and nonprofit organizations. If a cost-reimbursement type contract is contemplated and the offeror is an educational institution, paragraph (a) of the clause at FAR 52.216-7, Allowable Cost and Payment shall be altered in the resultant contract to refer to FAR Subpart 31.3 for determining allowable costs. Similarly, if the offeror is a nonprofit organization (other than an educational institution, a State or local government, or a nonprofit organization exempted under OMB Circular No. A-122), paragraph (a) of the clause at FAR 52.216-7 shall be altered to refer to FAR Subpart 31.7. In addition, if the offeror is an educational institution, DFARS 252.209-7005, MILITARY RECRUITING ON CAMPUS, will be added to Section I of the resultant contract.

(e) Subcontracting Plan. If the offeror has a comprehensive subcontracting plan under the test program described in 219.702(a), DFARS 252.219-7004, SMALL, SMALL DISADVANTAGED AND WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (TEST PROGRAM) and AFMCFARS 5352.219-9002, SMALL, SMALL DISADVANTAGED AND WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (TEST PROGRAM) (AFMC) will be used in Section I in lieu of FAR 52.219-9, FAR 52.219-10, FAR 52.219-16, DFARS 252.219-7003, and AFMCFARS 5352.219-9000.

L014 SOLICITATION EXCEPTIONS (FEB 1997)

Should the Offeror not concur with the proposed contract schedule and provisions, or desires modification thereto, it should be so stated in the proposal transmittal letter with reasons therefor.

L015 RFP TECHNICAL CLARIFICATIONS (FEB 1997)

Offerors who determine that the technical requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit all questions in writing within 10 days of receipt of the RFP. These questions shall be directed to the Contract Negotiator identified on the cover page of the solicitation.

L021 SMALL BUSINESS AND SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS (FEB 1997)

FAR 52.219-9 AND DFARS 252.219-7003 and 252.219-7005 are included in this solicitation and will be incorporated into any resultant contract. A subcontracting plan is required from all offerors other than small

business concerns for proposals exceeding \$500,000 which contain subcontracting opportunities. The plan shall be submitted with the initial proposal and will be concurrently negotiated. If a cost proposal is required by this solicitation, it must relate to, and substantiate, the submissions under FAR 52.219-9(d). Also substantiate the reasonableness of any additional costs to be expended in pursuit of the small disadvantaged business goal. The offeror's submission must provide sufficient information to support the contracting officer's review of the subcontracting plan to determine: (a) if it is acceptable (otherwise an offeror will be ineligible to receive the contract award); and (b) if at the time of contract completion any small disadvantaged business subcontracting incentive or award fee has been earned. Contractors who have been selected for participation in the DoD test program authorized by Section 834 of Public Law 101-189 and who have approved comprehensive subcontracting plans are not required to negotiate subcontracting plans on an individual contract basis. If the offeror has an approved comprehensive subcontracting plan under the DoD test program, the offeror shall provide a copy of its approved comprehensive subcontracting plan in lieu of the individual plan required herein. Any contract resulting from this solicitation which includes a comprehensive subcontracting plan will include the clause at 252.219-7004, Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan (Test Program), in lieu of the clauses at FAR 52.219-9, and DFARS 252.219-7003 and 252.219-7005.

L029 DETERMINATION OF COMPETITIVE RANGE (FEB 1997)

a. Pursuant to FAR 15.306, the Contracting Officer's determination of competitive range of proposals submitted as a result of this solicitation will consider such criteria as technical evaluation/ranking of the proposal, initial cost/ price proposed, and other items set forth in Section M of this solicitation. See the Section M paragraph entitled "Evaluation Criteria," for a definitive listing of these criteria and their relative importance.

b. Offerors are hereby advised that only those proposals determined to have a reasonable chance for award of a contract will be included in the competitive range. While every effort will be made to maintain strong competition, the Contracting Officer will also look to eliminate time consuming and unnecessary discussions with those offerors whose proposals have no reasonable chance for award. This procedure is considered beneficial to both the Air Force and the offerors involved since, in addition to saving further expenditure of resources, acquisition lead time should be reduced.

c. Accordingly, offerors should submit initial proposals on their most favorable terms, from both a technical and cost/price standpoint. Again, it should be noted that proposals will not be included in the competitive range solely on the basis of technical acceptability, nor will they be included due to cost/price considerations alone.

d. Offerors whose proposals are not included in the competitive range will be notified as soon as practicable. Additional information relative to such proposals will be provided through debriefing of unsuccessful offerors.

L045 ACCESS TO AIR FORCE COMPUTER SYSTEMS (MAR 1999)

If performance under this contract will require access to Air Force computer systems (stand alone or networked), compliance with Air Force Instruction (AFI) 33-119 and Air Force Systems Security Instruction (AFSSI) 5027 is mandatory. It should be noted that such access requires, at a minimum, a National Agency Check or Entrance National Agency Check in accordance with DoD 5200.2-R, Personal Security Program. Offerors should make themselves familiar with local procedures for processing such requirements, and be prepared to be in compliance on the first day of contract performance. Failure to comply with this requirement may be considered a failure to perform.

I. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated by reference:

A. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

52.214-22 EVALUATION OF BIDS FOR MULTIPLE AWARDS (MAR 1990)
52.217-05 EVALUATION OF OPTIONS (JUL 1990)
52.247-47 EVALUATION -- F.O.B. ORIGIN (APR 1984)
52.247-50 NO EVALUATION OF TRANSPORTATION COSTS (APR 1984)

B. AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION SUPPLEMENT SOLICITATION PROVISIONS

5352.215-9019 ADDITIONAL EVALUATION FACTOR FOR CONSIDERATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE
RED-YELLOW-GREEN PROGRAM (OVER \$100K) (AFMC) (AUG 2002)

II. NOTICE: The following solicitation provisions pertinent to this section are hereby incorporated in full text:

OTHER SOLICITATION PROVISIONS IN FULL TEXT

ESC-M001 SOURCE SELECTION CRITERIA (APR 2003)

M001 SOURCE SELECTION CRITERIA (APR 2003)

M001 SOURCE SELECTION CRITERIA (APR 2003)

M001 SOURCE SELECTION

a. Basis for contract award

The Government will select the best overall offer, based upon an integrated assessment of Mission Capability, Proposal Risk, Past Performance, and Price/Cost. This is a best value source selection conducted in accordance with Air Force Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (AFFARS) 5315.3 Source Selection and the AFMC supplement (AFMCFARS) thereto. Contract(s) may be awarded to offerors who are deemed responsible in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), as supplemented, whose proposal conforms to the solicitation's requirements (to include all stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information required by Section L and is judged, based on the evaluation factors and subfactors, to represent the best value to the Government. Best value means the expected outcome of an acquisition that, in the Government's estimation, provides the greatest overall benefit. The Government seeks to award to the offerors who give the Air Force the greatest confidence that they will best meet or exceed the requirements affordably. This may result in an award to higher rated, higher priced offerors, where the decision is consistent with the evaluation factors and the Source Selection Authority (SSA) reasonably determines that the technical superiority and/or overall proposed approach and/or superior past performance of the higher price offerors outweighs the cost differences. To arrive at a best value decision, the SSA will integrate the source selection team's evaluations of the offerors' proposals against the evaluation factors and subfactors (described below). While the Government source selection evaluation team and the SSA will strive for maximum objectivity, the source selection process, by its nature, is subjective and, therefore, professional judgment is implicit throughout the entire process.

b. Number of contracts to be awarded

The Government intends to award a sufficient number of contracts for the IBDSS acquisition effort that will allow the Government to provide a "fair opportunity" for awardees to compete for individual orders to FAR 16.505(b). It is intended to award at least two full and open contracts and two small business set asides, but the Government reserves the right to award more, less or no contracts at all, depending on the quality of the proposals received. The government will evaluate small business separately. The Government reserves the right to award more, less or no contracts at all, depending on the quality of the proposals received.

c. Rejection of Unrealistic Offers

The Government may reject any proposal that is evaluated to be unrealistic in terms of program commitment, contract terms and conditions, or is unrealistically low or high when compared to Government estimates, such that the proposal is deemed to reflect an inherent lack of competence or an inherent failure to understand/comprehend the complexity and risks of the program.

d. Correction Potential of Proposals

The Government will consider, throughout the evaluation, the "correction potential" of any deficiency or proposal inadequacy. The judgment of such "correction potential" is within the sole discretion of the Government. If an aspect of an offeror's proposal not meeting the Government's requirements is not considered correctable, the offeror may be eliminated from the competitive range.

e. Competitive Advantage from Use of GFP

The Government will eliminate any competitive advantage resulting from an offeror's proposed use of Government-furnished property (GFP). To achieve this, allowable GFP has been restricted to the re-use of existing physical and electronic security systems at the installation sites as well as available infrastructure systems, such as electrical power and local area network.

M 002 EVALUATION FACTORS

a. Evaluation Factors and Subfactors and their Relative Order of Importance

The Government will award to the offeror(s) whose proposal is deemed most advantageous to the Government based upon an integrated assessment of the evaluation factors and subfactors described below.

Factor 1: Mission Capability

Subfactor 1: Equipment Delivery (Purchasing, Manufacturing, Assembly and Packaging)

Subfactor 2. Site Design and Installation

Subfactor 3: Technology Insertion and Integration Engineering Capability

Subfactor 4. Sustainment

Subfactor 5. Management

Factor 2: Proposal Risk

Factor 3: Past Performance

Factor 4: Cost/Price

Mission Capability, and Past Performance are of equal importance; likewise Proposal Risk and Price/Cost are of equal importance. However, mission capability and past performance are of greater importance than the proposal risk and price/cost factor. Within the Mission Capability Factor, subfactors 1 and 2 are equally important to each other and more important than subfactors 3, 4, and 5. Subfactor 5 is of greater importance than subfactors 3 and 4. Subfactors 3 and 4 are equally important.

All proposals will be evaluated as to the extent of participation of SDB firms. Offerors will provide targets for SDB participation, expressed as dollars and percentages of total contract value, in each of the applicable and authorized SIC Major Groups as well as a total target for SDB participation as joint venture partners, team members, or subcontractors. The authorized SIC Major Groups are 10, 12 - 17, 22 - 31 34, 36 - 42, 44, 46 - 65, 67, 70, 73, 75, 76, 80, 82, 87, and 89. These targets will be incorporated into and become a part of the contract. The successful offeror will be required to provide reports on SDB subcontractor participation in accordance with FAR clause 52.219-25 in Section I of the contract.

If the offeror is other than a small business, the offeror's Small Business Subcontracting Plan submitted in accordance with FAR 52.219-9 and Section L paragraph 6.3.2 4.,1,8,2 shall also be evaluated to determine the extent to which the offeror identifies and commits to the participation of small business concerns, veteran-owned small business, service-disabled veteran-owned small business, HUB Zone small business concerns, small disadvantaged business, and with women-owned small business concerns whether as joint venture members, teaming arrangement, or subcontractor. Failure to submit such a plan will render the offeror ineligible for award.

b. Importance of Cost/Price

In accordance with FAR 15.304(e), the evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than cost or price; however, cost/price will contribute substantially to the selection decision.

c. Factor and Subfactor Rating

A color rating will be assigned to each subfactor under the Mission Capability factor as described in AFFARS 5315.305(a)(3)(i) based on the assessed strengths and proposal inadequacies of each offeror's proposal. The color rating depicts how well the offeror's proposal meets the Mission Capability subfactor requirements in accordance with the stated evaluation criteria and solicitation requirements. The Mission Capability subfactors are described in paragraph d. below. A proposal risk rating will be assigned to each of the Mission Capability subfactors as described in AFFARS 5315.305(a)(3)(ii). Proposal risk represents the risks identified with an offeror's proposed approach as it relates to the Mission Capability subfactors. A Performance Confidence Assessment will be assigned to the Past Performance factor. Performance Confidence represents the Government's assessment of the probability of an offeror successfully performing as proposed and is derived from an evaluation of the offeror's present and past work record. Price/cost will be evaluated as described in paragraph g below. When the integrated assessment of all aspects of the evaluation is accomplished, the color ratings, proposal risk ratings, performance confidence assessment, and evaluated price will be considered in the order of priority listed in paragraph a above. Any of these considerations can influence the SSA's decision.

d. Mission Capability Factor

Mission Capability will be evaluated at the subfactor level. Each subfactor within the Mission Capability Factor will receive one of the color ratings described in AFFARS 5315.305(a)(3)(i), based on the assessed strengths and proposal inadequacies of each offeror's proposal as they relate to each of the Mission Capability subfactors. Subfactor ratings shall not be rolled up into an overall color rating for the Mission Capability factor.

In arriving at a best value decision, the Government reserves the right to give positive consideration for performance in excess of that required by the Baseline Requirements Documents.

Subfactor 1: Equipment Delivery (Purchasing, Manufacturing, Assembly and Packaging)

The Government will evaluate the offerors' proposed equipment delivery approach; COTS selection and acquisition; and the capability and capacity to meet the IBDSS Acquisition requirements. The Government will evaluate the capability of proposed equipment, not previously qualified (i.e., equipment not in Attachment L-7), to meet the requirements of the various IBDSS Acquisition Specifications. The evaluation will also address the offerors' facilities, if plant visits are conducted, to perform the equipment delivery activities. Emphasis will be placed on the following areas:

- Approach for confirming the performance of all manufactured equipment.
- Approach for maintaining the accuracy of the manufacturing process.
- Approach for ensuring delivery of interoperable and interchangeable components for the duration of the contract.
- Approach for purchasing, manufacturing, assembling and packaging IBDSS relocatable (tactical) equipment for delivery will be evaluated.

Subfactor 2: Site Design and Installation

The Government will evaluate the offerors' proposed management and system engineering processes for performing site design and installation of IBDSS at nuclear and non-nuclear (fixed and tactical) sites. These evaluations will be based on the offerors' responses to the sample problems in this area. Emphasis will be placed on the following areas:

- Compliance with the Integrated Base Defense Security Systems (IBDSS) Architecture
- Approach for site-specific data collection (requirements validation, site survey, etc.)
- Approach for design (component selection, selection rationale to include previous applications, integration, interface design, infrastructure, etc.)

- Approach for installation (infrastructure, security system, quality assurance, subcontract management, etc.)
- Test approach, including the process for confirming that the installation meets all requirements including turnover to the Government
- Effectiveness of proposed solution to achieve desired security effect or capability

Subfactor 3: Technology Insertion/Integration Engineering Capability

The Government will evaluate the offerors' understanding of what is required to choose, develop and integrate leap ahead/transformational technologies together with legacy equipment to form an IBDSS system that is both effective and affordable. Emphasis will be based upon the following:

- The offeror's knowledge of the state-of-the-art in physical security equipment and his vision for where that should be going.
- The offeror's ability to push the state-of-the-art in providing an Integrated Base Defense Security System
- Application of the systems engineering process to the technology insertion and integration plan/approach.
- Test methodology to include validation and qualification phases.
- The effect on Security Forces manpower
- The proposed solution can operate on US Government installations (e.g. frequency authorization requests are likely to be approved), allow multiple communications paths from sources of data, and integrate multiple communications topologies (e.g., hardwire, wireless, fiber optic).

Subfactor 4: Sustainment

Each offeror's proposal will be evaluated on its capabilities to provide all aspects of organizational and depot level support. The evaluation will address the proposal in terms of completeness, as well as the feasibility of completing the objectives within the proposed timeframes. The Government will evaluate the offerors' proposals with emphasis on the following:

- Approach for establishing and providing organizational level maintenance services
- Approach for establishing and providing CLS depot maintenance services
- Configuration Management
- Sustaining Engineering for developed and non-developmental items
- Technical Manual approach (process for evaluating COTS manuals)
- Training approach
- Warranty management approach

Subfactor 5: Management

The Government will evaluate the offerors' prepared contract documents (e.g. completed SOW and Integrated Master Plan) to confirm that the indicated activities/tasks will provide the capability to achieve a successful program. Emphasis will be placed on the following:

- Identified program risks and proposed approach to risk management
- Experience and qualifications of the offeror's key team members
- Proposed approach for establishing and maintaining a cooperative relationship among the multiple IBDSS Acquisition contractors.
- Configuration management approach for the duration of the contract.
- Application of the system engineering process in satisfying the cost, schedule and performance requirements of the contract.
- Quality assurance approach for the products and services delivered under this contract.
- Approach for ensuring delivery of interoperable and interchangeable components for the duration of the contract.

e. Proposal Risk Factor

Proposal Risk will be evaluated at the Mission Capability subfactor level. The Proposal Risk assessment focuses on the risks and weaknesses associated with an offeror's proposed approach and includes an assessment of the potential for disruption of schedule, degradation of performance, and the need for increased Government oversight, as well as the likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance. For each identified risk, the assessment also addresses the

offeror's proposal for mitigating the risk and why that approach is or is not manageable. Each Mission Capability subfactor will receive one of the Proposal Risk ratings defined at AFFARS 5315.305(a)(3)(ii).

f. Past Performance Factor

Under the Past Performance factor, the Performance Confidence Assessment represents the evaluation of an Offeror's and associated key or major subcontractors', teaming partners', and joint venture partners' present and past work records to assess the Government's confidence the Offeror will successfully perform as proposed. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's and all key or major subcontractors', teaming partners', and joint venture partners' demonstrated record of contract compliance in supplying products and services that meet user's needs, including cost and schedule. The Past Performance Evaluation is accomplished by reviewing the aspects of an Offeror's and all key or major subcontractors', teaming partners', and joint venture partners' present and recent past performance, focusing on and targeting performance which is relevant to the Mission Capability subfactors and the requirements of the solicitation. The Government may consider as relevant efforts performed for agencies of federal, state, or local Governments and commercial customers. As a result of an analysis of this past/current work history, each Offeror will receive a Performance Confidence Assessment, which is the rating for the Past Performance factor. Although the past performance evaluation focuses on performance that is relevant to the Mission Capability subfactors, the resulting Performance Confidence Assessment is made at the factor level and represents an overall evaluation of the likelihood of successful contractor performance. The Government will evaluate current and past (within the last three years) performance to determine the Government's confidence in each Offeror's ability to successfully perform the IBDSS acquisition effort. The Government will assess the performance and relevancy of each Offeror's work on current and past contracts, both Government and Commercial. This information may include data on efforts performed by other divisions, critical subcontractors, or teaming contractors, if such resources will be brought to bear or significantly influence the performance of the proposed effort. Past performance for other divisions, critical subcontractors, or teaming contractors must be for the same type of effort as is proposed for IBDSS acquisition effort. The PRAG will then go on to determine relevancy in accordance with the following criteria:

To be considered at least somewhat relevant, prime's past performance efforts must have been performed by the same division and location within the past three (3) years. Subcontractors will be assessed as either relevant or not relevant. In order for a subcontractor's effort to be considered Relevant, the contract must have been performed at the same division/location, within the past three years, and must have been the same type effort proposed for the IBDSS program. The Government will then assess only contract efforts considered to be somewhat relevant, relevant, or very relevant for the prime's and relevant for subcontractors in the determination of the Confidence rating. Relevancy for prime contractor's efforts will be based on the following criteria:

Demonstrates ability to upgrade security systems (or systems of equivalent complexity) through technology insertion

Demonstrates an ability to design and integrate electronic security systems (or systems of equivalent complexity) comprised of components with both open- and closed-architectures

Conveys experience in defining site-specific requirements, design, site preparation, installation, integration and test of large-scale electronic security systems (or systems of equivalent complexity) for fixed sites.

Conveys experience in defining site-specific requirements, design, site preparation, installation, integration and test of large-scale electronic security systems (or systems of equivalent complexity) for tactical sites.

Conveys experience in defining site-specific requirements, design, site preparation, installation, integration and test of large-scale electronic security systems (or systems of equivalent complexity) for nuclear sites.

Ability to accomplish similar efforts as proposed for the IBDSS effort within the continental United States.

Ability to accomplish similar efforts as proposed for the IBDSS effort at overseas locations.

Conveys a capability to support cyclical requirements ranging from one to as many as four large scale design and installation projects simultaneously

Demonstrates a capability of tracking and reporting commitments and expenses on a time and materials, delivery order contract

Ability to accomplish work at the Government's SECRET level.

In order to be considered Very Relevant, must meet criteria number 1 or 2; 3, 4 or 5; 6 or 7; 8; 9 and 10 as listed above. To be considered Relevant, must meet criteria number 1 or 2; 3, 4 or 5; 6 or 7 and 9 as listed above. To be considered Somewhat Relevant, must meet must meet criteria number 1 or 2; 3, 4 or 5; and 6 or 7 as listed above.

When an Offeror's relevant performance record indicates performance problems, the Government will consider the number and severity of the problems and the appropriateness and effectiveness of any corrective actions taken (not just planned or promised). The Government may review more recent contracts or performance evaluations to ensure corrective actions have been implemented and to evaluate their effectiveness.

Each Offeror will receive one of the ratings described in AFFARS 5315.305 for the Past Performance factor. Offerors without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance and, as a result, will receive a "Neutral/Unknown Confidence" rating for the Past Performance factor.

More recent and relevant performance may have a greater impact on the Performance Confidence Assessment than less recent or less relevant effort. A strong record of relevant past performance may be considered more advantageous to the Government than a "Neutral/Unknown Confidence" rating. Likewise, a recent relevant record of favorable performance may receive a higher confidence rating and be considered more favorably than a less recent relevant record of favorable performance.

Past performance information may be obtained through the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting Systems (CPARS), similar systems of other Government departments and agencies, questionnaires tailored to the circumstances of this acquisition, Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) channels, interviews with program managers and contracting officers and other sources known to the Government, including commercial sources. Offerors are to note that, in conducting this assessment, the Government reserves the right to use both data provided by each Offeror and data obtained from other sources.

g. Cost/Price Factor

The Government plans to award multiple ID/IQ contracts with Firm Fixed-Price (FFP), Fixed-Price Labor Hour (FPLH), Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF), time and material (T&M) and Cost Reimbursable (CR) CLINs. The total evaluated cost/price will be calculated as the sum of all CLINs.

(1) The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated in accordance with the following:

(i) Firm-Fixed Price CLINs: These efforts shall be evaluated, for award purposes, based upon the best-estimated quantities (BEQ) set forth in Section L.

(ii) Fixed Price Labor Hour CLINs: For evaluation purposes, the applicable hourly rates shall be multiplied by the corresponding quantity of labor hours comprising the BEQ specified in Section L (IFPP) of the solicitation for both the basic FPLH CLINs and FPLH option CLINs. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise such options.

(iii) Time and Material CLINs: Price will be evaluated at the best-estimated quantity (BEQ) for the contract period. The applicable hourly rates shall be multiplied by the corresponding quantity of labor hours specified in section L (IFPP).

(iv) Cost Reimbursable CLINs: Cost reimbursable efforts will be evaluated at the amounts provided by the government.

(v) Cost Plus Award Fee CLINs: Government estimate of anticipated performance costs plus any base fee proposed, plus any fee anticipated to be awarded.

(2) The offeror's cost/price proposal will be evaluated, using one or more of the techniques defined in FAR 15.404, in order to determine if it is reasonable and realistic.

(3) The Government will evaluate the realism of each offerors' proposed costs. This will include an evaluation of the extent to which proposed costs indicate a clear understanding of solicitation requirements, and reflect a sound approach to satisfying those requirements. The Cost/Price Realism Assessment (CPRA) will consider technical/management risks identified during the evaluation of the proposal and associated costs. Cost information supporting a cost judged to be unrealistically low and technical/management risk associated with the proposal will be quantified by the Government evaluators and included in the CPRA for each offeror. When the Government evaluates an offer as unrealistically low compared to the anticipated costs of performance and the offeror fails to explain these underestimated costs, the Government will consider, under the applicable Proposal Risk subfactor, the offeror's lack of understanding of the technical requirements of the corresponding Mission Capability subfactor.

Additional detail regarding the price evaluation methodology for each individual CLIN is contained in Section L, paragraph 5.4.

h. Discussions

If, during the evaluation period, it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government to hold discussions, offeror responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs) and the Final Proposal Revision (FPR) will be considered in making the source selection decision. The Government reserves the right to award without discussions.

M003 PLANT VISITS

The Source Selection Evaluation Team (SSET) may conduct plant visits during the evaluation phase to gather information for judging the offeror's potential for correcting deficiencies, quality of development or manufacturing practices/processes, or other areas useful in evaluating the offer. If conducted, the results will be assessed under the applicable factors/subfactors and will be used to validate and confirm the offeror's written proposal and/or oral presentation. The Government will notify offerors on whether plant visits will be conducted no later than one week after proposals are received.

M004 SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Offerors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, such as terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as factors and subfactors to be eligible for award. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the solicitation may result in the offeror being removed from consideration for award. Any exceptions to the solicitation's terms and conditions must be fully explained and justified.